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## Stochastic process algebras

The semantics of process algebras is classically described by means of Labelled Transition Systems (LTSs)

$$
P \xrightarrow{a} Q
$$

The semantics of stochastic process algebras is classically defined by means of Continuous Time Markov Chains (CTMCs)


## Problems with a point-wise stochastic semantics

Typically, process algebras are endowed with a structural equivalence relation $\equiv$ equating processes with the same behaviour

Example: modeling the parallel operator we expect no differences between $Q|R, R| Q$, and $R|Q| \mathbf{0}$.
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## Problems with a point-wise stochastic semantics

Typically, process algebras are endowed with a structural equivalence relation $\equiv$ equating processes with the same behaviour

Example: modeling the parallel operator we expect no differences between $Q|R, R| Q$, and $R|Q| \mathbf{0}$.
$P \xrightarrow{a, r} Q \mid R$

$$
P \xrightarrow{a, r} R \mid Q
$$

by additivity
$P \xrightarrow{a, r} R|Q| \mathbf{0}$

$$
P \xrightarrow{a, 3 r}\{Q|R, R| Q, R|Q| \mathbf{0}\}
$$

## A-Markov kernel

## [Mardare-Cardelli‘10]

Mardare and Cardelli generalized the concept of CTMC to generic measurable spaces $(M, \Sigma)$ :
A-Markov kernel: $(M, \Sigma, \theta)$
where
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## A-Markov kernel

## [Mardare-Cardelli‘10]

Mardare and Cardelli generalized the concept of CTMC to generic measurable spaces $(M, \Sigma)$ :
A-Markov kernel: $(M, \Sigma, \theta)$
where

$\theta(\alpha)(m)$ is a measure on $(M, \Sigma)$
$\theta(\alpha)(m)(\mathcal{N}) \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$is the rate of $m \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{N}$

## Stochastic bisimulation

The definition of Markov kernel induces a new definition of stochastic bisimulation

## Stochastic bisimulation:

A rate-bisimulation relation $\mathcal{R} \subseteq M \times M$ is an equivalence relation such that for all $\alpha \in A$ and $\mathcal{R}$-closed measurable sets $\mathcal{C} \in \Sigma$.

$$
(m, n) \in \mathcal{R} \quad \text { iff } \quad \theta(\alpha)(m)(\mathcal{C})=\theta(\alpha)(n)(\mathcal{C})
$$

## Stochastic bisimulation

The definition of Markov kernel induces a new definition of stochastic bisimulation

## Stochastic bisimulation:

A rate-bisimulation relation $\mathcal{R} \subseteq M \times M$ is an equivalence relation such that for all $\alpha \in A$ and $\mathcal{R}$-closed measurable sets $\mathcal{C} \in \Sigma$.

$$
(m, n) \in \mathcal{R} \quad \text { iff } \quad \theta(\alpha)(m)(\mathcal{C})=\theta(\alpha)(n)(\mathcal{C})
$$

we say $m$ and $n$ are stochastic bisimilar, written $m \sim_{(M, \Sigma, \theta)} n$, if they are related by a stochastic bisimulation.

## Outline of the construction

Problem: the definition of a Markov kernel needs a structural presentation of the semantics (SOS).

+ Brane Calculus
+ SOS for Brane Calculus
+ Markov kernel for Brane Calculus


## (Finite state) Brane calculus

Systems $\mathbb{P}: \quad P, Q::=\diamond|\sigma \boxtimes P D| P \circ Q \quad$ nests of membranes Membranes $\mathbb{M}: \quad \sigma, \tau::=\mathbf{0}|\sigma| \tau \mid$ a. $\sigma \quad$ combinations of actions Actions: $\quad a, b::=\ldots$ (not now)


## Brane Calculus Reactions

Actions: $\ldots \searrow_{n}\left|\cup_{n}^{\perp}(\sigma)\right| \mho_{n}\left|৩_{n}^{\perp}\right| \odot(\sigma)$ phago シ, exo ৩, pino ๑


## Reduction Semantics for Brane Calculus

Reduction relation ("reaction"): $\quad \boldsymbol{\square} \subseteq \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\mho_{n}^{1}(\rho) . \tau\left|\tau_{0} \Omega Q D \circ \mho_{n} . \sigma\right| \sigma_{0} \Omega P D \rightarrow \tau\left|\tau_{0} \oslash \rho \Omega \sigma\right| \sigma_{0} \Omega P D D \circ Q D}{ }^{\text {(red-phago) }} \\
& \overline{\circlearrowleft_{n}^{\perp} \cdot \tau\left|\tau_{0} \Theta_{n} . \sigma\right| \sigma_{0} Q P D \circ Q D \rightarrow \sigma\left|\sigma_{0}\right| \tau \mid \tau_{0} \oslash Q D \circ P}{ }^{(\text {red-exo })} \\
& \overline{\odot(\rho) . \sigma \mid \sigma_{0} \subseteq P D} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \sigma \mid \sigma_{0} \oslash \rho \Omega \diamond D \circ P D{ }^{(r e d-\text { pino) }} \\
& \frac{P \rightarrow Q}{\sigma(P D \rightarrow \sigma Q Q D}{ }^{\text {(red-loc) }} \quad \frac{P \boldsymbol{\rightarrow})}{P \circ R \boldsymbol{A} Q R}{ }^{\text {(red-comp) }} \\
& \frac{P \equiv P^{\prime} \quad P^{\prime} \Rightarrow Q^{\prime} \quad Q^{\prime} \equiv Q}{P \rightarrow Q} \text { (red-equiv) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Reduction Semantics for Brane Calculus

Reduction relation ("reaction"): $\quad \boldsymbol{\square} \subseteq \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\bigcup_{n}^{1}(\rho) . \tau\left|\tau_{0} \oslash Q D \circ v_{n} . \sigma\right| \sigma_{0} \Omega P D \rightarrow \tau\left|\tau_{0} \oslash \rho \Omega \sigma\right| \sigma_{0} \Omega P D D \circ Q D}{ }^{\text {(red-phago })} \\
& \overline{\circlearrowleft_{n}^{\perp} \cdot \tau\left|\tau_{0} \Theta_{n} . \sigma\right| \sigma_{0} Q P D \circ Q D \rightarrow \sigma\left|\sigma_{0}\right| \tau \mid \tau_{0} \oslash Q D \circ P}{ }^{(\text {red-exo })} \\
& \overline{\odot(\rho) . \sigma \mid \sigma_{0} \triangle P D} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mid \sigma_{0} \oslash \rho \Omega \odot D \circ P D{ }^{(\text {red-pino })} \\
& \frac{P \rightarrow Q}{\sigma \Omega P D \rightarrow \sigma Q Q D}{ }^{\text {(red-loc) }} \quad \frac{P \boldsymbol{\rightarrow})}{P \circ R \boldsymbol{G} Q \circ R} \text { (red-comp) } \\
& \frac{P \equiv P^{\prime} \quad P^{\prime} \Rightarrow Q^{\prime} \quad Q^{\prime} \equiv Q}{P \rightarrow Q} \text { (red-equiv) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Towards a Structural Operational Semantics

We give a LTS for the Brane Calculus (along [Rathke-Sobocinski'08])

## Meta-syntax**

(typed $\lambda$-calculus)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Terms } \quad M::=\mathbf{0}|\diamond| \alpha . M|M| M|M \circ M| M(M D \\
& X \\
& \text { (variable) } \\
& \lambda X \text { :t. M (lambda abstraction) } \\
& M(M) \quad \text { (application) } \\
& \alpha::=\mho_{n}\left|\vartheta_{n}^{\perp}(M)\right| \vartheta_{n}\left|\vartheta_{n}^{\perp}\right| \odot_{n}(M) \\
& \text { Types } \quad t::=\text { sys } \mid \text { mem } \mid \text { act } \mid t \rightarrow t
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{(* *)}$ It is not a language extension, $\lambda$-terms are introduced only for a structural definition of the LTS.

## Typing System for Brane Calculus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\Gamma(X)=t}{\Gamma \vdash X: t}{ }_{(\text {var })} \\
& \frac{\Gamma, X: t \vdash M: t^{\prime}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda X: t . M: t \rightarrow t^{\prime}} \text { (lambda) } \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M: t \rightarrow t^{\prime} \quad \Gamma \vdash N: t}{\Gamma \vdash M(N): t^{\prime}}{ }_{\text {(app) }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Typing System for Brane Calculus



$$
\frac{a \in\left\{\nu_{n}, \nu_{n}, ৩_{n}^{\perp}\right\}}{\Gamma \vdash a: \text { act }} \text { (act) } \quad \frac{a \in\left\{\nu_{n}^{\perp}, \odot_{n}\right\} \quad \Gamma \vdash M: \text { mem }}{\Gamma \vdash a(M): \text { act }} \text { (act-arg) }
$$

## Typing System for Brane Calculus



## (Judgement)

$$
\frac{\Gamma_{1} \vdash \alpha: \text { act } \quad \Gamma_{2} \vdash M: \mathrm{mem}}{\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2} \vdash \alpha \cdot M: \text { mem }}(\alpha-\text { pref })
$$

$$
\frac{\Gamma_{1} \vdash M: \text { mem } \quad \Gamma_{2} \vdash N: \mathrm{mem}}{\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2} \vdash M \mid N: \text { mem }}(\text { par })
$$

union of environments
supposed to be disjoint

## Typing System for Brane Calculus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\Gamma \vdash \diamond: \text { sys }} \text { (void) } \quad \frac{\Gamma_{1} \vdash M: \text { mem } \Gamma_{2} \vdash N: \text { sys }}{\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2} \vdash M \mathbb{N D}: \text { sys }} \text { (loc) } \\
& \frac{\Gamma_{1} \vdash M: \text { sys } \Gamma_{2} \vdash N: \text { sys }}{\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2} \vdash M \circ N: \text { sys }} \text { (comp) } \\
& \text { union of environments } \\
& \text { supposed to be disjoint }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Labelled Transition System

Labels for mem-transitions: $\mathbb{A}_{\text {mem }}=\left\{\searrow_{n}, \searrow_{n}^{\perp}(\rho), \searrow_{n}, \searrow_{n}^{\perp}, ๑_{n}(\rho)\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {๑_{n}(\rho) \cdot \sigma \xrightarrow{๑_{n}(\rho)} \sigma}^{(\text {(--pref) }} \\
& \frac{\sigma \xrightarrow{\alpha} \sigma^{\prime}}{\sigma\left|\tau \xrightarrow{\alpha} \sigma^{\prime}\right| \tau}(\text { L-par }) \quad \frac{\sigma \xrightarrow{\alpha} \sigma^{\prime}}{\tau|\sigma \xrightarrow{\alpha} \tau| \sigma^{\prime}} \text { (R-par) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Labelled Transition System

Labels for sys-transitions: $\mathbb{A}_{\text {sys }}^{+}=\left\{\right.$phago $_{n},{\overline{\operatorname{phago}_{n}}}_{n}$, exo $\left._{n}\right\} \cup\{i d\}$
Phago fragment**


$$
\xrightarrow{P \xrightarrow{\text { phago }_{n}} F \quad Q \xrightarrow{\overline{\text { phago }}_{n}} A} \underset{P \circ Q \xrightarrow{\text { id }} F(A)}{(L-i d \vartheta)}
$$

(**) Right-symmetric rules are omitted

Labels for sys-transitions: $\mathbb{A}_{\text {sys }}^{+}=\left\{\right.$phago $_{n},{\overline{\operatorname{phago}_{n}}}_{n}$, exo $\left._{n}\right\} \cup\{i d\}$
Phago fragment**


has type sys $\rightarrow$ sys

## Labelled Transition System

Labels for sys-transitions: $\mathbb{A}_{\text {sys }}^{+}=\left\{\right.$phago $_{n},{\overline{\text { phago }_{n}}}_{n}$, exo $\left._{n}\right\} \cup\{i d\}$
Exo fragment**

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sigma \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{n}} \sigma^{\prime}}{\sigma \Omega P D \xrightarrow{\text { exo }} \lambda X y \cdot \sigma^{\prime} \mid y \Omega X D \circ P}{ }^{(0)} \\
& \left.\xrightarrow\left[{P \circ Q \xrightarrow{P \xrightarrow{\text { exo }_{n}} S} \lambda X X . S(X \circ Q)(y}\right)\right]{(L \circ v)} \\
& \left.\xrightarrow\left[{\sigma \Omega P D \xrightarrow{\text { id }} S(\diamond)\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right.}\right)\right]{\stackrel{\text { exo }_{n}}{ } S}{ }^{\stackrel{{ }^{\perp}}{n}} \sigma^{\prime}(\mathrm{id}-\mathrm{v})
\end{aligned}
$$

(**) Right-symmetric rules are omitted

## Labelled Transition System

Labels for sys-transitions: $\mathbb{A}_{\text {sys }}^{+}=\left\{\right.$phago $_{n},{\overline{\operatorname{phago}_{n}}}_{n}$, exo $\left._{n}\right\} \cup\{i d\}$
Pino fragment

$$
\frac{\sigma \xrightarrow{\oplus_{n}(\rho)} \sigma^{\prime}}{\sigma \llbracket P D \xrightarrow{i d} \sigma^{\prime} \llbracket \rho \llbracket \diamond D \circ P D}(\mathrm{id}-\odot)
$$

Cong-closures**

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P \xrightarrow{i d} P^{\prime}}{\sigma \varangle P D \xrightarrow{i d} \sigma \varangle P^{\prime} D} \text { (id-loc) } \quad \frac{P \xrightarrow{i d} P^{\prime}}{P \circ Q \xrightarrow{i d} P^{\prime} \circ Q} \tag{Loid}
\end{equation*}
$$

(**) Right-symmetric rules are omitted

## Labelled Transition System

LTS compatible with reduction semantics:
Proposition

+ If $P \xrightarrow{\text { id }} Q$ then $P \rightarrow Q$
+ If $P \rightarrow Q$ then $P \xrightarrow{\text { id }} Q^{\prime}$ for some $Q^{\prime} \equiv Q$

LTS compatible with structural congruence:

## Lemma

If $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P^{\prime}$ and $P \equiv Q$ then $\exists . Q^{\prime}$ such that $Q^{\prime} \equiv P^{\prime}$ and $Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q^{\prime}$.

## Stochatic Model for the Brane Calculus

Action Labels: $\quad \mathbb{A}^{+}=\mathbb{A}_{\text {mem }} \cup \mathbb{A}_{\text {sys }}^{+}$

Markov kernel: $(\mathbb{T}, \Sigma, \theta)$

$$
\theta: \mathbb{A}^{+} \rightarrow \llbracket \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \Delta(\mathbb{T}, \Sigma) \rrbracket
$$
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## Stochatic Model for the Brane Calculus

Action Labels: $\quad \mathbb{A}^{+}=\mathbb{A}_{\text {mem }} \cup \mathbb{A}_{\text {sys }}^{+}$
the same used by the LTS

Markov kernel: $(\mathbb{T}, \Sigma, \theta)$
$\frac{\theta: \mathbb{A}^{+} \rightarrow \llbracket \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \Delta(\mathbb{T}, \Sigma) \rrbracket}{} \begin{array}{r}\begin{array}{l}\text { expected to be } \\ \text { adequate }\end{array} \\ \text { w.r.t. the LTS }\end{array}$
$M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow \theta(\alpha)(M)\left(\left[M^{\prime}\right]_{\equiv}\right)>0$

## Markov kernel from SOS

The structural representation of the semantics makes possible the definition of $\theta$ by induction on the structure of processes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(\text { phago }_{n}\right)(P \circ Q)(\mathcal{T})= \tag{L০凶}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\frac{P \xrightarrow{\text { phago }_{n}} F}{P \circ Q \xrightarrow{\text { phago }_{n}} \lambda Z .(F(Z) \circ Q)}(\text { L०凶 })
$$

## Markov kernel from SOS

The structural representation of the semantics makes possible the definition of $\theta$ by induction on the structure of processes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(\text { phago }_{n}\right)(P \circ Q)(\mathcal{T})=\theta\left(\text { phago }_{n}\right)(P)\left(\mathcal{F}_{Q}\right) \tag{L०凶}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{Q}=\{F:($ sys $\rightarrow$ sys $) \rightarrow$ sys $\left.\mid \lambda Z .(F(Z) \circ Q) \in \mathcal{T})\right\} / \equiv$

$$
\frac{P \xrightarrow{\text { phago }_{n}} F}{P \circ Q \xrightarrow{\text { phago }_{n}} \lambda Z .(F(Z) \circ Q)}(\text { L०凶 })
$$

## Markov kernel from SOS

The structural representation of the semantics makes possible the definition of $\theta$ by induction on the structure of processes.

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta\left(\operatorname{phago}_{n}\right)(P \circ Q)(\mathcal{T})= & \theta\left(\operatorname{phago}_{n}\right)(P)\left(\mathcal{F}_{Q}\right)+  \tag{L०凶}\\
& \theta\left(\operatorname{phago}_{n}\right)(Q)\left(\mathcal{F}_{P}\right) \tag{R०v}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad \mathcal{F}_{P}=\{F:($ sys $\rightarrow$ sys $) \rightarrow$ sys $\left.\mid \lambda Z .(P \circ F(Z)) \in \mathcal{T})\right\} / \equiv$

$$
\frac{Q \xrightarrow{\text { phago }_{n}} F}{P \circ Q \xrightarrow{\text { phago }_{n}} \lambda Z .(P \circ F(Z))}(\text { R॰» })
$$

## Markov kernel from SOS

The structural representation of the semantics makes possible the definition of $\theta$ by induction on the structure of processes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(i d)(P \circ Q)(\mathcal{T})=\theta(i d)(P)\left(\mathcal{T}_{\circ Q}\right)+\theta(i d)(Q)\left(\mathcal{T}_{\circ P}\right)+ \tag{Loid}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\frac{P \xrightarrow{i d} P^{\prime}}{P \circ Q \xrightarrow{i d} P^{\prime} \circ Q} \text { (Loid) }
$$

$$
\frac{Q \xrightarrow{i d} Q^{\prime}}{P \circ Q \xrightarrow{i d} P \circ Q^{\prime}}(\mathrm{R} \mathrm{\circ id})
$$

## Markov kernel from SOS

The structural representation of the semantics makes possible the definition of $\theta$ by induction on the structure of processes.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta(i d)(P \circ Q)(\mathcal{T})=\theta(i d)(P)\left(\mathcal{T}_{\circ Q}\right)+\theta(i d)(Q)\left(\mathcal{T}_{\circ P}\right)  \tag{Loid}\\
& \sum_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}}^{n \in \Lambda} \frac{\theta\left(\text { phago }_{n}\right)(P)(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \theta\left(\overline{\text { phago }}_{n}\right)(Q)(\mathcal{A})}{\iota\left(\mho_{n}\right)}+(\text { L-idə }) \\
& \begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \begin{array}{c}
\text { law of } \\
\text { mass action }
\end{array}
\end{array} \sum_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}}^{n \in \Lambda} \frac{\theta\left(\text { phago }_{n}\right)(Q)(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \theta\left(\overline{\mathrm{phago}}_{n}\right)(P)(\mathcal{A})}{\iota\left(\vartheta_{n}\right)} \text { (R-idə) }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xrightarrow{Q \xrightarrow{\text { phago }_{n}} F \quad P \xrightarrow{\overline{\text { phago }}_{n}} A} \text { (R-idฆ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Markov kernel and adequacy w.r.t. LTS

The Markov kernel is adequate w.r.t. the LTS
Proposition

1. if $\theta(\alpha)(M)(\mathcal{T})>0$ then $\exists . M^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}$ s.t. $M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M^{\prime}$
2. if $M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M^{\prime}$ then $\exists$. $\mathcal{M} \in \Pi$ s.t. $M^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\theta(\alpha)(M)(\mathcal{T})>0$

Corollary

$$
M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M^{\prime} \text { iff } \theta(\alpha)(M)\left(\left[M^{\prime}\right]_{\equiv}\right)>0
$$

## Stochastic Structural Operational Semantics

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M \rightarrow \mu^{\mathbb{A}^{+} \text {-indexed measure }} \\
& \mu: \mathbb{A}^{+} \rightarrow \Delta(\mathbb{T}, \Sigma) \\
& \overline{\mathbf{0} \rightarrow \omega^{\text {mem }}} \text { (zero) } \frac{\epsilon \in\left\{\cup_{n}, \cup_{n}, \cup_{n}^{\perp}\right\}}{\epsilon . \sigma \rightarrow[\epsilon]_{\sigma}} \text { (pref) } \\
& \frac{\epsilon \in\left\{\text { ๖i }_{n}^{\perp}, \odot_{n}\right\}}{\epsilon(\rho) . \sigma \rightarrow[\epsilon(\rho)]_{\sigma}} \text { (pref-arg) } \quad \frac{\sigma \rightarrow \mu^{\prime} \quad \tau \rightarrow \mu^{\prime \prime}}{\sigma \mid \tau \rightarrow \mu_{\sigma}^{\prime}{ }_{\sigma} \oplus_{\tau} \mu^{\prime \prime}}(\text { par }) \\
& \overline{\diamond \rightarrow \omega^{\text {sys }}} \text { (void) } \frac{\sigma \rightarrow \nu \quad P \rightarrow \mu}{\sigma @ P D \rightarrow \mu @_{P}^{\sigma} \nu} \text { (loc) } \frac{P \rightarrow \mu^{\prime} \quad Q \rightarrow \mu^{\prime \prime}}{P \circ Q \rightarrow \mu^{\prime} P \otimes_{Q} \mu^{\prime \prime}} \text { (comp) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stochastic Bisimulation (on systems)

Adequacy w.r.t. Markov kernel

$$
P \rightarrow \mu \quad \text { iff } \quad \theta_{\text {sys }}(P)(\alpha)(\mathcal{P})=\mu(\alpha)(\mathcal{P})
$$

This lead us to define:

## Definition (Stochastic bisimulation on systems)

A rate-bisimulation relation is an equivalence relation $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ such that for arbitrary $P, Q \in \mathbb{P}$ with $P \rightarrow \mu$ and $Q \rightarrow \mu^{\prime}$,

$$
(P, Q) \in \mathcal{R} \text { iff } \mu(\alpha)(C)=\mu^{\prime}(\alpha)(C) \quad \forall . C \in \Pi(\mathcal{R}) \text { and } \alpha \in \mathbb{A}_{\text {sys }}^{+}
$$

Two systems $P, Q \in \mathbb{P}$ are stochastic bisimilar, written $P \approx Q$, iff there exists a rate bisimulation relation $\mathcal{R}$ such that $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{R}$.

## Stochastic bisimulation

## Theorem ( $\approx$ smallest stochastic bisimulation)

The stochastic bisimulation relation $\approx$ is the smallest equivalence such that for arbitrary $P, Q \in \mathbb{P}$ with $P \rightarrow \mu$ and $Q \rightarrow \mu^{\prime}$,

$$
P \approx Q \text { iff } \mu(\alpha)(C)=\mu^{\prime}(\alpha)(C) \quad \forall . C \in \Pi(\approx) \text { and } \alpha \in \mathbb{A}_{\text {sys }}^{+} .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Theorem }(\equiv \subsetneq \approx) \\
& +I f P \equiv Q \text { then } P \approx Q \\
& +\mathbf{0} \varangle \sigma(D D \approx \diamond \quad \text { and } \quad 0 \rrbracket \sigma \Omega D D \not \equiv \diamond
\end{aligned}
$$

## Conclusions \& Future Work

## Done:

+ Structural Stochastic Semantics for the Brane Calculus
+ Labelled Transition System for the Brane Calculus (SOS)
+ Proved the generality of the approach of [Mardare-Cardelli'10]
To do:
$+\mathrm{ls} \approx$ a congruence?
+ metrics for stochastic Brane processes
+ refinements (volume, temperature, pressure)
+ Full Brane Calculus (with bind\&release)
+ comparing the approach with Gillespie algorithm


## Thanks :)

## Example: phago derivation

$\xrightarrow[{\searrow_{n} . \sigma \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{n}}} \sigma]{(\searrow-\text {-pref })}$

$$
\overline{\searrow_{n}^{\perp}(\rho) \cdot \tau \xrightarrow{\searrow_{n}^{\perp}(\rho)} \tau}\left(\mho^{\perp} \text {-pref }\right)
$$

## Example: phago derivation



## Example: phago derivation



