

# Semantics and Verification 2008

## Lecture 6

- Hennessy-Milner logic and temporal properties
- lattice theory, Tarski's fixed point theorem
- computing fixed points on finite lattices

## Verifying Correctness of Reactive Systems

### Equivalence Checking Approach

$$\text{Impl} \equiv \text{Spec}$$

where  $\equiv$  is e.g. strong or weak bisimilarity.

### Model Checking Approach

$$\text{Impl} \models F$$

where  $F$  is a formula from e.g. Hennessy-Milner logic.

$$F, G ::= tt \mid ff \mid F \wedge G \mid F \vee G \mid \langle a \rangle F \mid [a]F$$

### Theorem (for Image-Finite LTS)

It holds that  $p \sim q$  if and only if  $p$  and  $q$  satisfy exactly the same Hennessy-Milner formulae.

## Is Hennessy-Milner Logic Powerful Enough?

**Modal depth** (nesting degree) for Hennessy-Milner formulae:

- $md(tt) = md(ff) = 0$
- $md(F \wedge G) = md(F \vee G) = \max\{md(F), md(G)\}$
- $md([a]F) = md(\langle a \rangle F) = md(F) + 1$

Idea: a formula  $F$  can “see” only upto depth  $md(F)$ .

Theorem (let  $F$  be a HM formula and  $k = md(F)$ )

If the defender has a defending strategy in the strong bisimulation game from  $s$  and  $t$  upto  $k$  rounds then  $s \models F$  if and only if  $t \models F$ .

### Conclusion

There is no Hennessy-Milner formula  $F$  that can detect a deadlock in an arbitrary LTS.

## Temporal Properties not Expressible in HM Logic

$s \models Inv(F)$  iff all states reachable from  $s$  satisfy  $F$

$s \models Pos(F)$  iff there is a reachable state which satisfies  $F$

### Fact

Properties  $Inv(F)$  and  $Pos(F)$  are not expressible in HM logic.

Let  $Act = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  be a finite set of actions. We define

- $\langle Act \rangle F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle a_1 \rangle F \vee \langle a_2 \rangle F \vee \dots \vee \langle a_n \rangle F$
- $[Act] F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [a_1] F \wedge [a_2] F \wedge \dots \wedge [a_n] F$

$Inv(F) \equiv F \wedge [Act]F \wedge [Act][Act]F \wedge [Act][Act][Act]F \wedge \dots$

$Pos(F) \equiv F \vee \langle Act \rangle F \vee \langle Act \rangle \langle Act \rangle F \vee \langle Act \rangle \langle Act \rangle \langle Act \rangle F \vee \dots$

# Infinite Conjunctions and Disjunctions vs. Recursion

## Problems

- infinite formulae are not allowed in HM logic
- infinite formulae are difficult to handle

Why not to use **recursion**?

- $Inv(F)$  expressed by  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F \wedge [Act]X$
- $Pos(F)$  expressed by  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F \vee \langle Act \rangle X$

**Question:** How to define the semantics of such equations?

## Solving Equations is Tricky

### Equations over Natural Numbers ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )

$$n = 2 * n \quad \text{one solution } n = 0$$

$$n = n + 1 \quad \text{no solution}$$

$$n = 1 * n \quad \text{many solutions (every } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ is a solution)}$$

### Equations over Sets of Integers ( $M \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ )

$$M = (\{7\} \cap M) \cup \{7\} \quad \text{one solution } M = \{7\}$$

$$M = \mathbb{N} \setminus M \quad \text{no solution}$$

$$M = \{3\} \cup M \quad \text{many solutions (every } M \supseteq \{3\} \text{)}$$

### What about Equations over Processes?

$$X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [a]\text{ff} \vee \langle a \rangle X \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{find } S \subseteq 2^{\text{Proc}} \text{ s.t. } S = [\cdot a \cdot] \emptyset \cup \langle \cdot a \cdot \rangle S$$

## General Approach – Lattice Theory

### Problem

For a set  $D$  and a function  $f : D \rightarrow D$ , for which elements  $x \in D$  we have

$$x = f(x) ?$$

Such  $x$ 's are called **fixed points**.

### Partially Ordered Set

Partially ordered set (or simply a partial order) is a pair  $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  s.t.

- $D$  is a set
- $\sqsubseteq \subseteq D \times D$  is a binary relation on  $D$  which is
  - **reflexive**:  $\forall d \in D. d \sqsubseteq d$
  - **antisymmetric**:  $\forall d, e \in D. d \sqsubseteq e \wedge e \sqsubseteq d \Rightarrow d = e$
  - **transitive**:  $\forall d, e, f \in D. d \sqsubseteq e \wedge e \sqsubseteq f \Rightarrow d \sqsubseteq f$

# Supremum and Infimum

## Upper/Lower Bounds (Let $X \subseteq D$ )

- $d \in D$  is an **upper bound** for  $X$  (written  $X \sqsubseteq d$ )  
iff  $x \sqsubseteq d$  for all  $x \in X$
- $d \in D$  is a **lower bound** for  $X$  (written  $d \sqsubseteq X$ )  
iff  $d \sqsubseteq x$  for all  $x \in X$

## Least Upper Bound and Greatest Lower Bound (Let $X \subseteq D$ )

- $d \in D$  is the **least upper bound (supremum)** for  $X$  ( $\sqcup X$ ) iff
  - 1  $X \sqsubseteq d$
  - 2  $\forall d' \in D. X \sqsubseteq d' \Rightarrow d \sqsubseteq d'$
- $d \in D$  is the **greatest lower bound (infimum)** for  $X$  ( $\sqcap X$ ) iff
  - 1  $d \sqsubseteq X$
  - 2  $\forall d' \in D. d' \sqsubseteq X \Rightarrow d' \sqsubseteq d$

# Complete Lattices and Monotonic Functions

## Complete Lattice

A partially ordered set  $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  is called **complete lattice** iff  $\sqcup X$  and  $\sqcap X$  exist for any  $X \subseteq D$ .

We define the top and bottom by  $\top \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqcup D$  and  $\perp \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqcap D$ .

## Monotonic Function and Fixed Points

A function  $f : D \rightarrow D$  is called **monotonic** iff

$$d \sqsubseteq e \Rightarrow f(d) \sqsubseteq f(e)$$

for all  $d, e \in D$ .

Element  $d \in D$  is called **fixed point** iff  $d = f(d)$ .

# Tarski's Fixed Point Theorem

## Theorem (Tarski)

Let  $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  be a **complete lattice** and let  $f : D \rightarrow D$  be a **monotonic function**.

Then  $f$  has a unique **largest fixed point**  $z_{max}$  and a unique **least fixed point**  $z_{min}$  given by:

$$z_{max} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqcup \{x \in D \mid x \sqsubseteq f(x)\}$$

$$z_{min} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqcap \{x \in D \mid f(x) \sqsubseteq x\}$$

## Computing Min and Max Fixed Points on Finite Lattices

Let  $(D, \sqsubseteq)$  be a complete lattice and  $f : D \rightarrow D$  monotonic.

Let  $f^1(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(x)$  and  $f^n(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(f^{n-1}(x))$  for  $n > 1$ , i.e.,

$$f^n(x) = \underbrace{f(f(\dots f(x)\dots))}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

## Theorem

If  $D$  is a finite set then there exist integers  $M, m > 0$  such that

- $z_{max} = f^M(\top)$
- $z_{min} = f^m(\perp)$

Idea (for  $z_{min}$ ): The following sequence stabilizes for any finite  $D$

$$\perp \sqsubseteq f(\perp) \sqsubseteq f(f(\perp)) \sqsubseteq f(f(f(\perp))) \sqsubseteq \dots$$