

Escaping the Trough: Towards Real-World Impact of Tabletop Research

Anders Bruun

Aalborg University, Dept. of Computer Science

Kenneth Eberhardt Jensen

Aalborg University

Dianna Hjorth Kristensen

Aalborg University

Jesper Kjeldskov

Aalborg University, Dept. of Computer Science

ABSTRACT

In the past decade there has been increasing interest in studying tabletop technologies in HCI. Using Gartners Hype Cycle as an analytical framework, this paper presents developments in tabletop research within the last decade. The objective is to determine level of maturity of tabletop technologies with respect to the research foci and the extent to which tabletops have shown their worth in real world settings. We identify less studied topics in the current body of literature with the primary aim of evoking further discussions of current and future research challenges. We analyzed 542 research publications and categorized these according to eight types of research foci. Findings show that only 3% of all studies are conducted in natural settings, i.e. there is a clear tendency to emphasize laboratory evaluations of tabletop technology. Also, very few studies demonstrate relative benefits of tabletops over other technologies in

collaborative settings (1%). We argue for a need to increase emphasis on understanding real-world use and impact rather than developing new tabletop technologies.

Key Words: Tabletop, Literature Survey, Hype Cycle

INTRODUCTION

In the 1990s one of the focal areas within computer science research was "The Disappearing Computer" where researchers envisioned the integration of technology into existing furniture such as tables (Müller-Tomfelde & Fjeld, 2012). Weiser was one of the first presenting a paper on interacting with large horizontally oriented "boards" (Weiser, 1991) and several technologies have since then lived up to the guiding principle of ubiquity, including the large horizontal interfaces we now denote "tabletops".

The subject of research in this study is tabletop technologies. In this paper we define tabletops based on (Müller-Tomfelde, 2010) and related literature:

"The term tabletop stands in the tradition of earlier terms, such as desktop and laptop, highlighting the location of the computer or display. Tabletops distinguish themselves by being suitable as group interfaces and by the fact that their horizontal display is the interface where the user directly interacts with digital information rather than using the keyboard and mouse" (Müller-Tomfelde, 2010).

This definition is also reflected throughout the literature where the technology is presented in diverse ways, e.g. *"Large horizontal collaborative surfaces"* (Tuddenham, Davies, & Robinson,

2009), “*Direct-touch digital tabletop display*” (Hancock, Vernier, Wigdor, Carpendale, & Shen, 2006), “*Direct multi-touch, multi-user tabletop*” (Ryall, Morris, Everitt, Forlines, & Shen, 2006) and “*Interactive tabletop*” (Ajaj, Vernier, & Jacquemin, 2009). The word used for the device itself has multiple variations, e.g. *tabletop*, *surface*, *interface*, *system*, *display*, *table* and *screen*. Interaction techniques are also used in the descriptions of tabletop devices, e.g. *multi-touch*, *direct touch* and the hybrid of *direct multi-touch*. Surface alignment is another property employed when referring to interactive surfaces in general. Although tabletops per definition are *horizontally* aligned, this is often mentioned explicitly.

Although the underlying idea of tabletops stems from the early 1990’s, researchers disagree on its level of maturity. Morris et al. claim that tabletops is still an emerging technology (M. R. Morris, Fisher, & Wigdor, 2010) while Müller-Tomfelde and Fjeld state that this has reached a high level of maturity (Müller-Tomfelde & Fjeld, 2012). The latter, however seems to stand in contrast to recent research efforts as we will discuss below.

In this paper we follow the evolution of tabletops in order to *determine its level of maturity* and to *discuss how we should move forward* to further nurture this. Towards this we analyzed 542 research publications, most of which originate from the last decade. We will discuss the number of publications over time and trends based on the research foci within papers. Our primary aim is to *evoke further discussions of current and future research challenges* related to tabletop technologies. We do this by 1) providing a snapshot of current research practices within the field of tabletops and 2) identifying and discussing shortcomings in current state-of-the-art.

In the past decade there has been varying interest in tabletop technology within HCI research. This is reflected in the number of publications within the topic, which is shown in Figure 1. This figure is a result from one of our studies outlined in section 4. From 2005 to 2006 there was a sudden rapid increase in the amount of research reported, reaching an all-time high in 2011. After 2013, however, there was a steep drop in the amount of reported research on the subject.

The peak of research illustrated in Figure 1 prompts the questions if the challenges for human-computer interaction with tabletops have been largely resolved, and if tabletop technologies can now be considered so mature that further research is no longer relevant. In this paper we argue that this is not the case. We will argue that the recent drop in research is not caused by *lack* of challenges, but in a *shift* in challenges toward real world use and impact of tabletop technology. Learning from other emerging technologies, we will argue that “escaping the trough” for tabletop technologies require research that focuses not so much on technical issues but more on issues of real world use and utility.

Current research efforts emphasize development of new tabletop technologies and pushing these to the limit rather than proving their applicability in real-world settings, which is a necessary step in determining maturity of technologies (Fenn & Raskino, 2008; O’Leary, 2008). An example of research emphasis is studying tangible user interfaces where e.g. (Lepreux, Kubicki, Kolski, & Caelen, 2012) use RFID technology to enable tabletop interaction using physical objects. Pedersen and Hornbæk developed active motorized tangibles to reflect changes in the digital model to provide haptic feedback (Pedersen & Hornbæk, 2011). Another example is the paper by Spindler et al. which studies the use of 3D layers above a horizontal surface (Spindler, Martsch,

& Dachselt, 2012). That paper reports from a laboratory experiment investigating issues such as the optimal number of layers and their thickness. The study described in (Wolfe, Graham, & Pape, 2010), takes up the challenge of increasing touch accuracy on tabletops. Wolfe et al. derive a new algorithm that increases accuracy without the cost of higher computational power. These are examples of the technology development emphasis.

It seems contradictory that there is a continuing emphasis on developing new tabletop technologies while we see a decreasing number of tabletop publications. This observation can be explained through Gartners Hype Cycle (Fenn & Raskino, 2008), see Figure 2 below.

The Hype Cycle

The Hype Cycle describes a pattern of positive and negative hype of technology spanning over a certain period of time.

Triggered by early adopters of such technology we typically see increasing interest in news media, research and a broader audience hoping to experience the success (Fenn & Raskino, 2008). However, often the new technology does not live up to its initial promises, which causes it to be abandoned by those who adopted it. This pattern of hope and disappointment is observed all the time with technologies and is denoted the Hype Cycle.

The Innovation Trigger is the phase starting the Hype Cycle and embarks from the point of a breakthrough of an innovation, which leads to increased interest from media and industry. At this point the innovation extends beyond its inventors and hype is starting to spread. At this point an initial positive hype is set in motion, leading to the effect where “*a wave of buzz quickly builds*”.

An example of an innovation trigger is Weiser's work in which large horizontally oriented "boards" were introduced as an alternative to PC's (Weiser, 1991). Based on the development in number of publications (Figure 1), this trigger phase continued until 2007 where there was a strong increase in the number of papers. This increase can be partly attributed to Jefferson Han's research and TED talk on concepts related to low-cost and scalable interactive surfaces, see e.g. (Han, 2005).

The Peak of Inflated Expectations marks the end of the trigger phase and the buzz-effect leads to this second phase. This peak represents a phase where e.g. companies seek to harvest the benefits of the innovation before their competitors. As the hype builds more parties join in and a "bandwagon effect" emerges as the innovation is pushed to its limits. Media coverage further increases followed by a rhetoric of "ignore at your peril". At this point, an innovation may seem to take off due to the initial positive hype and increasing interest in the technology. Historically, however, new innovations also go through a period of negative hype as an innovation does not live up to its initial promises, i.e. it is premature. According to the number of publications in Figure 1, we reached the peak of inflated expectations in 2011, which coincided with a two large IT companies introducing an updated version of a commercially available tabletop. We here refer to Microsoft's and Samsung's partnership in creating the Surface 2.0, which included a new set of features such as updated camera technology, thinner layout etc.

The Trough of Disillusionment sets in when adopters realize that the innovation does not live up to its promises, i.e. expectations were inflated. Innovations often need considerable experimentation and development before the real value is found, so even if the innovation does

possess benefits, it may take a while for these to emerge. Thus, when benefits are slow to arrive, hard to measure or the innovation costs are high it leads to missed expectations in real-world settings. This is followed by negative hype. Looking at the state-of-the-art in tabletop technology, we argue that this area of research is currently in the trough due to a continuing emphasis on technology development with little evidence of its benefits materializing in real-world settings. We base this on the striking similarity between the trend of research produced on the topic and the development of inflated expectations within the general Hype Cycle graph (Figure 2). Based on this observation, we believe there is a need to evoke further discussion on extending the research agenda to include more real-world studies, which would mature tabletop technologies and move out of the trough.

The Slope of Enlightenment denotes the point where adopters start to experience sought-after benefits and efforts are recommitted in order to move forward. During this phase the innovation matures and developers improve this based on feedback from previous phases. In this phase we also begin to see methods prescribing how to apply the innovation successfully and these are also socialized.

The Plateau of Productivity is the final phase in which “real-world” benefits are proven and these have reached a stage of acceptance among adopters. This is followed by the uptake of the innovation which is rapidly accelerated due to demonstrated productive values. Although the Hype Cycle is not used that often in relation to research, the latter phases are fully in line with sought-after needs in research literature. The influential work in (Kjeldskov & Graham, 2003)

and (Wixon, 2003) also points towards the importance of unveiling real-world benefits of technologies or methods.

In the remainder of this paper we present the Hype Cycle followed by an overview of related work. After this we present the two studies conducted to uncover the number of publications and research trends within papers. Finally, we discuss and conclude on our findings in relation to the Hype Cycle and related work.

RELATED WORK

In this section we take a further look at similar review papers based on the Hype Cycle and from the area of tabletop research. The Hype Cycle was originally developed for marketing purposes and for industry professionals to make business decisions and its use in research is still novel. However, O'Leary investigated the use of the hype cycle for categorizing and analyzing technology to understand research issues in the area of information systems (O'Leary, 2008). O'Leary studied the development and application of stock price and accounting technologies for the financial sector. By studying previous publications he found that the location of a technology on the Hype Cycle impacted the type of research questions addressed. Research emphasis at the *Technology Trigger* stage dealt with implementing technology distant from real-world settings. This is because few organizations had adopted the technologies in question. At the *Peak of Inflated Expectations* researchers started to anticipate how technology could impact real-world settings, e.g. within companies. At this stage there were only few case studies describing use of the technology within real-world settings and success stories were scarce. The *Trough of*

Disillusionment was characterized by studies of “things gone wrong”, which lead researchers to turn away from studying the technologies. For a technology to be successful it must move beyond the *Trough of Disillusionment*, and the only viable option at that point is to conduct case studies within the few organizations that have an interest in adopting these (O’Leary, 2008).

There are very few review papers describing trends within tabletop research. Grossman and Wigdor are the first to present such an overview (Grossman & Wigdor, 2007). They determine categories emphasizing 3D in conjunction with tabletop technologies and generate a taxonomy on the subject. The taxonomy is divided into three main areas; Display properties, input properties and physical properties. The *display properties* are the technical underpinnings of the display, e.g. if it is based on stereoscopic 3D or if it is using 3D graphics as visualization for the user. *Input properties* refers to how users interact with the tabletop. This can be in the interaction space, where the z-axis is considered and as such creates the opportunity to use interaction gestures in mid-air. Finally the *physical properties* relate to form-factor and the size of the table. Grossman and Wigdor emphasize a relevant niche area within tabletops and for that reason do not provide an overview of the general research area.

In contrast, (Müller-Tomfelde, 2010) focus on providing a general overview at a high level of abstraction and categorizes the area based on recent research. Müller-Tomfelde presents three overall categories of *Under*, *On and Above* and *Around and Beyond*. These categories refer to three different focus areas of research in tabletops. *Under* represents hardware specification and considerations that is needed in order to create a successful tabletop technology. This includes specifications on height of the table to specific dimensions on the size of the tabletop. The

second category, *On and above*, concerns the aspect of interaction specifically discussing tangibility and different interaction styles and ends with a taxonomy of the 3D tabletop systems, which is based on findings in (Grossman & Wigdor, 2007). The final part of Müllers overview relates to *Around and beyond* the tabletop. This part discusses contextual aspects such as collaboration and social interaction around the tabletop device.

More recently Müller-Tomfelde and Fjeld also wrote a paper in which they discuss when usage of tabletop technology will accelerate (Müller-Tomfelde & Fjeld, 2012). This discussion is based on Gartners Hype Cycle and they propose the graph illustrated in Figure 2. In that paper it is claimed that we reached the *Peak of Inflated Expectations* back in 2005 after which we moved down the *Trough of Disillusionment*. Furthermore, it is stated that the climb up the *Slope of Enlightenment* began in 2011 when new technologies like Microsoft Surface 2.0 reached the market. The reason given for moving out of the trough is that these technologies “*closely integrate display pixels and multitouch sensors and could allow very small form factors*”. Müller-Tomfelde and Fjeld also emphasize another technology, which could potentially accelerate tabletop adoption over the next decade: “*... emerging technologies could accelerate current trends, including integration of organic light-emitting displays (OLEDs) with multitouch technology and a new unobtrusive way to detect and distinguish input from users concurrently to better support group collaboration*”. Thus, in (Müller-Tomfelde & Fjeld, 2012) it is emphasized that mere advancement in technology will be the catalyst accelerating our move towards the *Plateau of Productivity*. This stands in contrast to the need of proving real-world benefits of the technology as suggested in (Fenn & Raskino, 2008; O’Leary, 2008).

We have been inspired from these previous review papers and build on those by considering a broader area than 3D, which was the focus in (Grossman & Wigdor, 2007). We aim to extend the overview provided in (Müller-Tomfelde, 2010) as that is based on 18 papers. Also, we seek to extend the three categories of *Under*, *On and Above* and *Around and Beyond* in order to represent the current body of literature with a finer level of granularity. As an example of this, our second study (outlined in section 5) led to the identification of eight categories of research foci within the 542 papers. Each of these categories can be mapped onto the three categories in (Müller-Tomfelde, 2010), e.g. our category of *Implementation* corresponds to *Under* from (Müller-Tomfelde, 2010), our three categories of *Interaction*, *Individuality* and *Visualization* correspond to Müller-Tomfelde's *On and Above* etc.

Looking at our eight categories, we find one category (*Implementation*) corresponding to *Under* while three (*Interaction*, *Individuality* and *Visualization*) correspond to *On and Above*. The final four (*Collaboration*, *Design*, *Cross-Device* and *User*) correspond to *Around and Beyond*.

Furthermore, we have been inspired by the work described in (Müller-Tomfelde & Fjeld, 2012) and aim to build on that by conducting a systematic and comprehensive literature review and to discuss our findings in relation to that study and the Hype Cycle.

Study 1 : Number of publications

The development in number of publications over time is critical for positioning tabletop technologies on the Hype Cycle graph. Considering Figure 2, the y-axis (expectations) on the graph is expressed through the level of visibility of an innovation in, e.g. news, conversations

and conferences where high visibility leads to a high expectations (Fenn & Raskino, 2008). A central metric to which such expectations can be measured is the number of article references to a technology (Fenn & Raskino, 2008). In the following we describe how we identified the number of tabletop publications and our findings in relation to this.

Method

We traversed abstract, introduction and conclusions in all papers from the conference proceedings of IEEE Tabletop from years 2006 to 2008 and ACM ITS from 2009 to 2014. We excluded demo videos and focused on text documents as the source of information and identified a total of 456 articles including full papers, notes/short papers and posters. We also applied the Scopus database to search for relevant publications as this covers a broad range of HCI journals and conference proceedings. We applied the following search string:

“(tabletop OR surface OR interface OR system OR display OR table OR screen) AND (touch OR multi-touch)

AND horizontal”

This string was obtained through the terms, which were typically applied to describe tabletop technologies in ITS and IEEE Tabletop papers, which we traversed before the Scopus search. The search string is built on the basis of device naming conventions (e.g. tabletop, surface etc.), interaction methods (e.g. multi-touch) and surface alignment (horizontal), which is also in line with the definition given in (Müller-Tomfelde, 2010):

“The term tabletop stands in the tradition of earlier terms, such as desktop and laptop, highlighting the location of the computer or display. Tabletops distinguish themselves by being suitable as group interfaces and by the fact that their horizontal display is the interface where the user directly interacts with digital information rather than using the keyboard and mouse”.

The search covered word-matching in the entirety of documents, i.e. we did not limit the words to be included in the title or abstract only. The search was performed May 11th 2015 and resulted in 2972 hits which we then filtered in the following order:

1. Limit research areas to engineering and computer science (1319 hits)
2. Limit to journals and conference proceedings (1144 hits)
3. Limit to HCI conferences and journals (289 hits)

Thus, through our IEEE Tabletop, ACM ITS and Scopus search we analyzed a total of $456 + 292 = 748$ papers. We found 206 of these to be irrelevant for our study as they emphasized surface technologies different from horizontally oriented tabletops, e.g. studies based on bodily interactions such as (Vega & Fuks, 2013), which is based on RFID nails and conductive makeup. Other examples of dismissed studies emphasize the use of e.g. public vertical displays (Ten Koppel, Bailly, Müller, & Walter, 2012) or mobile phones and tablets (Kajastila & Lokki, 2009). The remainder of this paper deals with the 542 relevant publications, i.e. papers emphasizing horizontal displays.

Findings

Table 1 shows the distribution of papers according to outlets and appendix A provides references for all 542 relevant papers. Out of the 542 papers we found 520 to be published in conference proceedings of which 287 are full papers and 233 are notes, short papers or posters. ITS is the main outlet for publishing papers on tabletop research followed by IEEE Tabletop (the ITS predecessor) and CHI. We additionally found 22 journal articles, all of which seem equally (less) popular for publishing tabletop research.

Figure 1 in the introduction section shows the development in number of papers published per year. From 1992 to 2003 we found 8 papers studying tabletop technologies. Similarly, we found very few publications in years 2004 and 2005. In 2006 there was a considerable increase to 32 papers. With an exception of the minor drop in 2008 there was a continuing increase from 2006 to the all-time high of 89 publications in 2011. After that we see a slight decrease in years 2012 and 2013 followed by a considerable drop to 40 publications in 2014.

Study 2 : trends in research objectives

If a technology is to reach the *Plateau of Productivity* there needs to be evidence of its benefits in real-world settings (Fenn & Raskino, 2008; O’Leary, 2008). In this study we identify research objectives over time showing where tabletop research has been and where it is heading in terms of the Hype Cycle. In particular we find it relevant to examine the extent of natural setting studies conducted in environments outside experimental control. It is critical to reveal qualitative details which tell stories of success, failure or both in such settings.

Additionally, we are interested in going in-depth with studies emphasizing *collaboration around tabletops*. Since its inception it has been argued that this technology has the potential to support collaboration more efficiently than existing technologies (Basheri, Burd, & Baghaei, 2012; Fleck et al., 2009; Marshall, Morris, Rogers, Kreitmayer, & Davies, 2011; Martinez, Collins, Kay, & Yacef, 2011; Pauchet et al., 2007; Weiser, 1991). Thus, findings in papers that emphasize collaboration aspects could reveal the underlying benefits of this technology, which also serves as an indication of how close (or far) we are from reaching the *Plateau of Productivity*.

Method

Three of the authors applied grounded theory (Strauss, A. and Corbin, 1990) to categorize research objectives in all 542 relevant papers. Grounded theory is a qualitative research method in which meta information is created about the contents within a text, i.e. it is a way of abstracting a text to form a theory describing its contents. In essence, we did this by reading a passage of text describing the research objective after which a code was provided to describe this specific passage. Initially this was done using open coding, i.e. no codes existed in the beginning (the first 200 papers). Eventually the open coding led to a set of codes denoting research objectives such as “implementation”. Based on the established set of codes, we could then continue to code the remainder of papers using closed coding, i.e. passages of text denoting research objectives could be classified using one of the existing codes. This was done following a three-step process (steps 1 and 2 was done during the open and closed coding phases while the third step was conducted in the closed coding phase only):

1. **Individual coding (open and closed coding phases):** To uncover research objectives three of the authors read the abstract, introduction and conclusion of each paper individually. Codes were given to specific segments in the paper related to the research objectives.
2. **Merging codes (open and closed coding phases):** After completing the individual analysis, authors compared codes one paper at a time. This included discussions and negotiations of what codes to apply in describing objectives in each paper. Individually coded segments of text and arguments were presented to each other and continued until an agreement or disagreement was decided. We calculated the Fleiss kappa interrater reliability to be 0.73 indicating substantial agreement in the closed coding phase (Landis & Koch, 1977). Disagreements were handled by returning to the paper in question at a later point in time where we discussed it again until agreement was reached. After the open coding process based on 200 papers we abstracted a set of eight categories (the grounded theory) denoting the following research objectives: *Collaboration*, *Interaction*, *Design*, *Cross-Device*, *Implementation*, *Individuality*, *User* and *Visualization* (elaborated in the following section). These categories were then used in the closed coding phase to categorized the remaining set of papers.
3. **Validating codes (closed coding phase only):** The eight categories were compared with the categories identified in (Müller-Tomfelde, 2010). This was also done by three of the authors. The 18 papers presented in (Müller-Tomfelde, 2010) are divided in the following categories: *Under*, *On and Above* and *Around and Beyond*. Looking at our eight categories, we found one category (*Implementation*) corresponding to *Under* while three (*Interaction*, *Individuality* and

Visualization) corresponded to *On and Above*. The final four (*Collaboration*, *Design*, *Cross-Device* and *User*) corresponded to *Around and Beyond*.

Note that we found several papers belonging to more than one category, e.g. a paper emphasizing the implementation of a new tabletop device could also be emphasizing a specific type of user. Based on this observation, we decided to allow papers to be positioned in multiple categories. As an example, if a paper deals with developing a tabletop device to support the use of tangibles for novel interaction techniques, then it will be positioned under the categories of “Implementation” and “Interaction”. Appendix B provides traceability on how the 542 relevant papers are located according to identified categories.

Research Objectives in Tabletop Studies

Through the grounded theory approach we identified the eight research objectives in Table 2.

Figure 4 shows the number of papers distributed according to the research objectives. Note that the total number of papers in this (762) and following figures is higher than the 542 relevant papers. This is an expression of some papers falling into more than one category. A total of 273 of the 542 papers were categorized as *Implementation* and 183 as *Interaction*. We found 86 of the papers were related to the *Design* category while 62 were positioned in the *User* category. Additionally we found that 56 of the papers emphasized *Collaboration* while 49 focused on *Visualization*. Finally, 31 of the papers concern *Individuality* and 22 are positioned in the *Cross-Device* category. Thus, the main emphasis in tabletop research over the past ten years has been to implement new tabletop devices and software. Another main focus area has been on developing

and evaluating specific interaction techniques to support e.g. user input, navigation and feedback. These two top areas are followed, at a considerable distance, by the remaining six categories.

Research Objectives over time

Figure 5 shows the distribution of identified research categories each year in the period <2003 (1992-2003) to 2014 and Table 3 provides the underlying numbers. From these we see that the main trend of implementation is a consistently popular research topic throughout most of the years, especially in years 2007 and onwards, which indicates that a considerable amount of new tabletop devices and software are being developed each year. The same pattern is found for studies evaluating specific interaction techniques, which is the second most emphasized topic over all these years.

The third most popular category, *Design*, is also relatively popular across the ten year period. Two exceptions are years 2011 and 2012 where more papers emphasized tabletop technology for particular groups of users such as children with autism spectrum disorders (Giusti, Zancanaro, Gal, & Weiss, 2011; Zarin & Fallman, 2011) and school children learning mathematics (Tyng, Zaman, & Ahmad, 2011). Papers emphasizing particular users took off in 2010 and continued to increase slightly in 2011 and 2012 after which we see a drop.

Additionally we found that papers started emphasizing visualization aspects of tabletops in 2003 but became more popular in 2009 with a peak in 2011 after which popularity has decreased. Individuality and collaboration categories are equally (less) popular overall and are scattered across most of the years. They are relatively stable, however, in 2011 we see an increase in

papers emphasizing collaboration, but have yet to gain momentum. The category of Cross-Device is the least popular with publications scarcely spread over the whole period with its highest point in 2014. This latter finding may seem surprising given that the field of multi-device surface computing is currently very popular. However, we emphasize that our work relates to horizontally oriented tabletops, and there are relatively few studies describing multi-device interactions in conjunction with tabletops.

Natural Setting Studies

In this section we highlight papers based on studies in natural uncontrolled settings. Reaching the *Plateau of Productivity* requires real-world benefits to be demonstrated and accepted by adopters of a technology (Fenn & Raskino, 2008). This is in line with (O’Leary, 2008) where studies within information systems research emphasized real-world case studies of technologies located in the later stages of the hype cycle. We have identified 16 papers presenting such studies. As an example, Ryall et al. (Ryall et al., 2006) present a study evaluating the use of tabletops in public and workplace settings. The outcome is a set of design considerations related to e.g. simultaneous touching, ambiguous input, crowding, clutter etc. The following papers focus on either public, home or workplace settings.

In Public

Hornecker presents a tabletop system for a museum which asked visitors questions about natural history (Hornecker, 2008). Results showed that visitors found the tabletop engaging but it did not

encourage social interactions. Other studies have also emphasized tabletops in museum and exhibition settings (Hakvoort, 2013; Hinrichs & Carpendale, 2011; Patsoule, 2014).

In (Cao, Lindley, Helmes, & Sellen, 2010) a tabletop for constructing narratives was installed in a school library for a period of two weeks. Children interacted with it in breaks and also during some lectures. Authors report how the tabletop fitted into the existing school culture. We also identified three other natural setting studies emphasizing tabletops in student/teacher contexts (Fleck et al., 2009; Ioannou, Zenios, & Stylianou, 2014; Prieto, Sharma, Wen, Dillenbourg, & Caballero, 2014).

Also in a public setting, (O'Hara, 2010) presents a tabletop system installed in a café over a two-week period. Findings in that paper primarily relate to what is denoted as non-interactive aspects, e.g. artefact placement which blocked other interactions made with the tabletop.

In (Marshall et al., 2011) it is presented how a shared planning tabletop was applied in a field setting in front of a tourist office. The study was conducted over a five week period and qualitative data on how users approached and interacted with the tabletop was collected. Findings show a need for rethinking the designs of such multi-user information kiosks.

At Home

The paper by Kirk et al. describes a study where a table-top device was installed in three homes over a period of one month (Kirk et al., 2010). Family members could scan and archive artifacts and memorabilia with the system. Observations showed how the device interfered with family

roles and everyday practices. Additionally, it was found that the tabletop was used asynchronously.

In (Mazalek, Reynolds, & Davenport, 2007) a table is presented which allows several users to interact with a range of media applications in relation to viewing TV, playing games etc. Interactions are based on tangible objects. A preliminary study was conducted in one home over a period of one month and findings relate to form factor considerations, e.g. fitness of size and height in relation to other furniture. Authors also conclude that robustness is of the essence when positioning tabletops in the home due to food and beverage spills.

Gaver and colleagues introduced their Drift Table into a private apartment shared by three roommates over a period of six weeks (Gaver et al., 2007). The Drift Table displays a map at the center of the device and users can move the map around by positioning weights at the edges. The purpose of the study was to gain an understanding of the playfulness introduced by the tabletop in non-work settings. One of the findings showed that, although the tabletop was designed for individual use, it became an object of conversations and led to social interactions.

At the Workplace

The longitudinal study described in (Wigdor, Penn, Ryall, Esenther, & Shen, 2007) spanned a 13 month period. One participant took part in the study and was asked to primarily use a tabletop device for all his everyday office tasks. Findings in relation to physical use showed that the table functioned as a computing device and as a regular piece of furniture on which coffee cups etc. was placed. Also, authors present findings in relation to managing interaction space, touch

precision and gestures. Another longitudinal study is presented in (Augstein, Neumayr, & Schacherl-Hofer, 2014), which spanned a five month period. Fourteen neuro-rehabilitation therapists participated by using two tabletop applications together with their patients. The focus on that paper is on the experienced usability from the perspective of the therapists, who reported a number of usability problems with the systems.

The study described in (M. R. Morris, Brush, & Meyers, 2008) was conducted over a period of one month in an office environment. Eight participants took part in the study and worked with the tabletops together with their normal computer setups. Findings resulted in a set of design recommendations related to, e.g. cursor positioning when switching between displays, physical robustness when placing objects on the table etc.

Tabard and colleagues describes a study of the eLabBench, which is designed to support work tasks in biology lab settings (Tabard, Hincapié Ramos, & Bardram, 2012). The device was deployed in natural settings (a biology lab) and used by seven molecular biologists over a period of 16 weeks. Participants used the tabletop individually while working on their everyday tasks, i.e. no tasks were predefined. Findings showed that particularly one participant used the tabletop device extensively, and that the biologists used the device in an open-ended way, which was not anticipated.

Collaboration Studies

In the following provide further details on the findings presented in the 56 papers emphasizing collaboration. In relation to the Hype Cycle, Fenn and Raskino argue that benefits of an

innovation must be demonstrated in order for this to move beyond the *Trough of Disillusionment* (Fenn & Raskino, 2008). Tabletops have the potential to support collaboration and to facilitate collaborative learning more efficiently than existing technologies. Throughout literature, this is the main argument of why we should consider tabletops, see e.g. (Basher et al., 2012; Fleck et al., 2009; Marshall et al., 2011; Martinez et al., 2011; Pauchet et al., 2007). Additionally, group work is crucial in several types of information work as well as in education (Meredith Ringel Morris, Fisher, & Wigdor, 2010).

As mentioned earlier we allowed papers to be positioned in multiple categories, i.e. papers presented in this subsection belong to the Collaboration category, but also the categories of Implementation, Interaction, Visualization and Design. The main trend within collaboration papers is to implement new hardware to support co-work environments. As an example (Pauchet et al., 2007) proposes a tabletop platform for co-located and distributed collaboration. It presents a controlled experiment with 30 participants where the tabletop platform is applied in six different conditions, e.g. remote face-to-face and local side-by-side. Findings show that the platform improves efficiency of a collaborative task in distributed conditions compared to co-located conditions.

Tabletops vs. Established Technologies

Seven papers in the Collaboration category are particularly interesting as they demonstrate the benefits of tabletops over other established technologies in co-work settings. Kobourov et al. present a study comparing 1) a classical single mouse and monitor setup, 2) shared-monitor with multiple-mice, and 3) a tabletop device to perform collaborative tasks (Kobourov et al., 2005). A

controlled experiment with seven pairs of participants was conducted and findings reveal that the tabletop condition is superior to the other conditions in terms of task completion time. A very similar study is presented in (Matsuda, Matsushita, Yamada, & Namemura, 2006), which empirically compares two conditions; Shared tabletop and individual LCD screens. Four participants were asked to play a collaborative game. Findings show that the tabletop condition enhances the fluidity of communication between participants compared to the individual screen condition. Authors attribute this finding to the higher level of eye contact and facial expressions. Such information channels are limited when participants have to focus on their own screen and caused participants to communicate using a more formalized language.

This latter finding contrasts results in (Heilig et al., 2011). That study emphasizes the impact of a Tangible User Interface (TUI). In a controlled experiment a TUI setup is compared to a condition with three synchronized PCs, which showed the same interface updated in real time. The PCs were controlled with mice and keyboards. Seventy-five participants (divided in groups of three) were asked to collaborate on a search task using either of two setups. Findings show that participants in the tabletop condition applied a wider array of search strategies compared to the PC condition. However, they did not find any noticeable differences in verbal communication between the two conditions.

In (Basher et al., 2012) a controlled experiment is presented in which a tabletop and a PC setting were compared. Eighteen participants were asked to create UML diagrams in groups of two. Findings reveal that the tabletop increased equity of participation as well as encouraging parallel-

participative design. These results are in line with those found in (Marshall, Hornecker, Morris, Dalton, & Rogers, 2008) described in the previous subsection regarding interaction.

The aim of the study presented in (Buisine, Besacier, Najm, Aoussa, & Vernier, 2007) is to examine the usability of tabletop technology to support group creativity. A tabletop interface was implemented which enabled collaborators to create mind-maps. A controlled experiment based on 24 participants was conducted to compare usability of the tabletop interface to a traditional pen and paper setting. Findings showed no differences in idea production, however, the tabletop interface lead to a higher balance in contributions between group members. Thus, in terms of supporting equal participation, findings are in line with those found in (Basheri et al., 2012; Marshall et al., 2008). Similarly, (Schubert, George, & Serna, 2012) present a pilot study on how tabletops can encourage collaborative learning in brainstorming activities. They compare a tabletop setting to traditional pen and paper. Findings show that collaborative learning might be increased using tabletops, but it is also noted that: *“We are not in favor of solely transposing a paper-version onto the tabletops”*.

The study presented in (Perron & Laborie, 2006) investigates the use of tabletop technology as information sharing during remote work sessions compared to vertical shared displays. This was conducted as a longitudinal study over a period of 25 weeks and the tabletop showed “promising” advantages over vertically oriented boards. However, these advantages were mainly related to the physical setup. As an example, when using the vertical boards, the other party could not observe non-verbal communication due to camera placement. This was not an issue with the tabletop.

DISCUSSION

In this section we synthesize our observations on the development in number of tabletop publications and research foci over the past decade. We discuss these observations in relation to the Hype Cycle and related research areas within HCI. Most notably we argue that several indicators point towards the *Trough of Disillusionment* and we discuss how to prepare for moving out of this and onto the *Plateau of Productivity*.

Dropping Number of Publications

The interest in tabletop technology research increased considerably from 2006 coming to an all-time high in 2011. However, the number of publications dropped considerably in 2014. This shows that we are on the other side of a peak. This observed pattern is very similar to a Hype Cycle graph and Figure 6 shows the graph suggested in (Müller-Tomfelde & Fjeld, 2012) (red color) with our graph (blue color) as an overlay.

The two graphs in Figure 6 are skewed by six years as Müller-Tomfelde and Fjeld suggest a peak in 2005. Thus, according to (Müller-Tomfelde & Fjeld, 2012) we should by now have reached the *Slope of Enlightenment*. However, based on the number of publications, our study indicates that the peak was reached in 2011 and that we are now on our way down the *Trough of Disillusionment*. In (Müller-Tomfelde & Fjeld, 2012) the graph is primarily based on specific tabletop products and the time these were introduced. Thus, the observed difference in prognosis can partly be explained by a publication lag from the time until a product was introduced and

until research studies were published. Yet, a publication lag of six years seems too high. Also, it is unclear how metrics on the y-axis are derived in (Müller-Tomfelde & Fjeld, 2012), though it is mentioned that the cycle reflects research and technology. In terms of research, we do not find that the number of publications reflects what is found in (Müller-Tomfelde & Fjeld, 2012).

We also found that the most popular research trend throughout the past decade has been on implementing new tabletop devices and software. Thus, technology is also embedded in our metrics and this trend has remained relatively stable from 2006 and onwards. This indicates that tabletop technology is still an immature field of research where many technical possibilities are still being explored. Due to new off-the-shelf products the need for applications to be developed also emerged during the 11 year period from 2003 to 2014. Diamond Touch became available in 2001 and Lumisight Table was introduced in 2004 followed by the SMART Table and Microsoft Surface in 2008. Also, in 2011 Microsoft released Surface 2.0. Such products could likely have affected the research trends in favor of implementing new software applications.

The second-most popular research trend is on proposing and evaluating specific interaction techniques for tabletop devices, which has also been relatively stable for the last decade. This is not surprising given the above mentioned emphasis on implementing new hard- and software. New technological opportunities create a need to study new interaction techniques enabling the full potential of new hardware. Most prominent are techniques supporting touch interaction and research in Tangible User Interfaces.

Ten Percent Collaboration

The support of collaborative work is one of the main benefits of tabletop technology (Basheri et al., 2012; Fleck et al., 2009; Marshall et al., 2011; Martinez et al., 2011; Pauchet et al., 2007). Numerous of the reviewed papers mention some form of the word “collaboration”. However, emphasis in the majority of these lies elsewhere. In the end we only managed to find 56 papers (10%) emphasizing aspects of multiple persons working together while exploring use of tabletop devices.

The current research in collaboration regards e.g. distributed collaboration. In (Yamashita, Kuzuoka, Hirata, Aoyagi, & Shirai, 2011) a room is built to emulate the presence of remote users in collaborative settings. Another example is (Belatar & Coldefy, 2010), which studies the interaction in a collaborative setting and found that some interactions between users can be disturbing. In relation to this, some have studied ways of adding new graphical items onto a tabletop without interfering with other collaborators, cf. (M. R. Morris, Paepcke, Winograd, & Stamberger, 2006). Another paper studies the use of individual audio channels in collaborative settings around a tabletop devices and found that this may positively impact group dynamics (M. R. Morris, Morris, & Winograd, 2004). Other themes in collaboration papers regard participant equity, how to hand-off documents to others, territoriality etc.

Thus, the few papers emphasizing collaboration are varied around several topics. Noteworthy is also the seven papers in this category comparing tabletop technology to other established technologies. As mentioned in (Fenn & Raskino, 2008), the benefits of an innovation must be demonstrated and accepted before it can reach the *Plateau of Productivity*. For that reason it is striking that only 1% of all papers have studied benefits of tabletops over other established

technologies in collaborative settings. These papers describe different aspects of collaboration but some of them have overlapping findings, e.g. that tabletops lead to a higher level of participant equity compared to individual screen conditions (Basheri et al., 2012; Buisine et al., 2007; Heilig et al., 2011). Another study found that the tabletop enhanced fluidity of communication between participants compared to individual screen settings (Matsuda et al., 2006). The finding of enhanced fluidity stands in contrast to findings in (Heilig et al., 2011). Here there were no noticeable differences in verbal communication between collaborators compared to individual screen setups. Considering efficiency metrics, (Kobourov et al., 2005) report that the tabletop condition was superior to single monitor performance in terms of task completion time. This is counterbalanced by the effectiveness metric applied in (Buisine et al., 2007), in which it was found that there were no differences in idea production between tabletop and pen-paper conditions. Thus, there seems to be an agreement of increased equity when applying tabletops over other established technologies between different studies. On the other hand, findings in relation to communicative support and efficiency/effectiveness metrics are more elusive. When we also take into account the low number of studies it is questionable that we have demonstrated the benefits of tabletop technology in collaborative settings.

In general, all 56 studies on collaboration are very interesting and several report that findings are “promising”, see e.g. (Fleck et al., 2009; Heilig, Huber, Demarmels, & Reiterer, 2010; Tuddenham & Robinson, 2007; Wesugi & Miwa, 2006), which hint towards potential capabilities of tabletop technology but without hard evidence. This is also reflected in a recent paper by Nebe et al.: “... *there is still a lack of effective tools that support co-located group work. There are promising technologies that can add to this, such as tabletop systems...*” (Nebe,

Müller, & Klompaker, 2011). In addition we also identified that several papers have either a non-empirical basis or can be considered as feasibility studies, exploratory studies or early observations. These wordings are used in several of the papers, see e.g. (Martinez et al., 2011; Nacenta, Pinelle, Stuckel, & Gutwin, 2007; Tuddenham & Robinson, 2007).

Thus, current studies emphasizing collaborative aspects are few and they represent initial findings with limited hard evidence of the benefits provided by tabletop technology. Such evidence, on the other hand, is not trivial to come by as collaborative aspects involves studying how people interact with each other and not only the device. Other aspects relate to understanding the movements in physical space and how people coordinate their interactions on the shared interface (Lim & Rogers, 2008a). Obtaining such evidence thus requires the capture and analysis of different types of data such as conversations, gestures, movements etc. and representing these at varying levels of abstraction. Such analyses can become complex (Lim & Rogers, 2008b).

There are also very few studies comparing tabletops and other established technologies, and those there are point in different directions. In other words, it still seems unclear why is it worthwhile investing in tabletops instead of relying on a well-established (and cheaper) technology. This is also supported in (Fleck et al., 2009): “... *findings from the few studies carried out to date have tended to show small or insignificant effects compared with other technologies*”. These indicators are also pointing towards the *Trough of Disillusionment*.

Few Natural Setting Studies

Fenn and Raskino points out that we can only reach the *Plateau of Productivity* when having demonstrated and accepted benefits of an innovation in the real-world (Fenn & Raskino, 2008). We only found 16 studies (3%) emphasizing the application of tabletops in natural public, home or workplace settings. This is also supported in (Hornecker, 2008): *“Most tabletop research presents findings from lab-based user studies focusing on specific interaction techniques. This means that we still know little about how these new interfaces perform in real life settings and how users appropriate them”*. Also, in a more recent CHI paper by Marshall et al. the following is mentioned: *“Multi-touch tabletops have been much heralded as an innovative technology that can facilitate new ways of group working. However, there is little evidence of these materializing outside of research lab settings”* (Marshall et al., 2011). Furthermore, Schubert et al. mention: *“... it seems that tabletop applications could be a good means for the learners to reflect on their actions and thereby to favor the knowledge transfer. This interesting point should be tested in a broader context”* (Schubert et al., 2012).

Our findings show that natural setting studies are primarily conducted in public settings such as museums and schools. Four papers (0.6%) have taken place within organizational contexts of companies. Notably, the study presented in (Wigdor et al., 2007) spans an impressive period of 13 months, this, however, is based on a single participant and does not consider collaborative aspects. The study presented in (Augstein et al., 2014) spanned a five month period and was conducted in collaborative settings. However, the emphasis was on the usability experienced by neuro-rehabilitation therapists and does not include experiences from the collaborative part, i.e. the patients. In total, we only found nine natural setting studies considering longitudinal effects,

see (Augstein et al., 2014; Cao et al., 2010; Kirk et al., 2010; Marshall et al., 2011; Mazalek et al., 2007; M. R. Morris et al., 2008; O'Hara, 2010; Perron & Laborie, 2006; Wigdor et al., 2007).

In essence, very few papers emphasize workplace collaboration, e.g. when creating design products such as UML diagrams or mind-maps and how a tabletop facilitates collaboration in such settings. However, there have been experiments in artificial settings examining such use, e.g. (Buisine et al., 2007) and (Basheri et al., 2012). Also, findings in natural setting studies are not encouraging. Hornecker found that, although museum visitors found the installed tabletop engaging, they did not embark on social interactions (Hornecker, 2008). Also, (Kirk et al., 2010) found that a tabletop in a home environment lead to interference in family roles and that they did not use the device together. A similar observation has been done within information systems research where (O'Leary, 2008) states that the Trough of Disillusionment is characterized by an emphasis on studies of "things gone wrong". The main point here is that we still have very little evidence of tabletop use in uncontrolled environments and the findings that we do have are not all positive. According to O'Leary, this can have the effect that researchers are turned away from studying tabletop technologies.

The critique of few natural setting studies has also been raised in other HCI literature. In 2000 Kjeldskov and Graham made a literature survey on mobile HCI research methods and found that studies mainly emphasized building new devices and evaluating these in lab settings, i.e. natural setting studies were conducted infrequently (Kjeldskov & Graham, 2003). This bears close resemblance to the findings made in relation to tabletop technology. Kjeldskov and Graham argue that this provides a limitation in our understanding of the use of mobile devices which in

turn “*inhibits future development of the research field as a whole*” (Kjeldskov & Graham, 2003). Kjeldskov and Paay revisited the mobile HCI research methods several years later to examine changes made after a ten year period since the first study (Kjeldskov & Paay, 2012). Findings in this latter study showed that trends have changed to involve a higher number of field studies and that the area had become increasingly multi-methodological.

Similarly, in 2003 Wixon raised a critique on the type of research done in assessing effectiveness of usability evaluation methods (Wixon, 2003). He argued that studies at the time failed to consider implications for practice for two reasons: 1) Emphasis was put on the number of identified problems and 2) Methods were evaluated in isolation from organizational contexts. According to (Wixon, 2003), this emphasis was short sighted as it neglected the fact that usability problems should not only be found, but also fixed. Ignoring the broader context in which methods were to be applied would lead to little practical relevance as this omits the influence of factors such as team buy-in, available resources, change-processes etc. (Wixon, 2003). As an example, conventional video based analysis reveals a high number of usability problems, this method, however, is not feasible to apply for many organizations as it is simply too expensive, cf. (Bak, Nguyen, Risgaard, & Stage, 2008) and (Bruun & Stage, 2012). After Wixons critique more studies began to assess downstream utility of usability evaluation methods in organizational contexts (Hornbæk & Frøkjær, 2008). Examples of such studies can be found in e.g. (Bruun & Stage, 2012) and (Law, 2006).

Thus, the issue of few natural setting studies has also been raised as a critique in other areas of HCI research. Our study indicates that we have not yet demonstrated the benefits of tabletop

technology in such settings, which is another indication pointing towards the *Trough of Disillusionment*.

The need for natural settings studies, of course, is not relevant for tabletops only. This is critical for other technologies as well, which is also demonstrated in (Kjeldskov & Graham, 2003), which deal with mobile technologies.

We agree that this statement applies to several technologies and not just tabletops. We also agree that researchers are not responsible for developing business models (that is up to the individual companies). Yet, we believe that research is also about creating societal impact on different levels. So, when a new tabletop implementation is proposed (which is the predominant tendency throughout literature), it is reasonable to assume that the researchers responsible for this technology, would also like to see their project in actual use and that the technology actually plays a role in changing a societal aspect towards the better. Such a societal aspect could be, e.g. increasing work efficiency of molecular biologists within a given company. Fundamental research is indeed different from applied research. Yet, this does not contradict our findings, as one could also say that our study shows the need for more applied research.

Moving Forward

The purpose of this study is to evoke discussions within the tabletop research community on current and future research challenges. This study contributes with the following insights:

1. Establishing visibility on the development in number of tabletop publications over a longer period: The number has peaked and is now decreasing

2. Establishing visibility on the types of research foci within existing tabletop research: Primary focus on developing new technologies over evaluating their feasibility in supporting real-world practices

Such visibility is necessary in order to reflect on potential challenges that exist. This notion is based on the philosophy of Edwards Deming and Peter Drucker, of which both are attributed to saying: “*You can’t manage what you can’t measure*”. We show the underlying numbers of current state-of-the-art by categorizing and measuring existing literature. This can inform others and help the tabletop research community evoke discussions and manage the direction in which it is heading. The direction should be to steer out the trough of disillusionment.

Returning to the paper by Müller-Tomfelde and Fjeld, it is stated that the development of off-the-shelf devices has caused the area of tabletop technology to mature (Müller-Tomfelde & Fjeld, 2012). In our review we found that the most popular trend was about implementing new hardware and software. Thus, most of the applied tabletop devices are self-built, which can be explained by tailoring the technology to specific research needs. There are around four times as many papers reporting the use of self-built technology compared to those applying commercialized devices. We do agree with Müller-Tomfelde and Fjeld that commercially available devices may be more robust than those developed to support particular studies. Furthermore, Müller-Tomfelde and Fjeld argue that the technologies based on Multitouch OLED, Multiuser Multitouch and Interactive Tablecloth would move the field up the *Slope of Enlightenment* and further onto the *Plateau of Productivity*. We do not agree that such technologies will mature the field on their own. Although the technical underpinnings of some

tabletop devices can be considered mature, we argue that our understanding of how to use such technology in collaborative settings and its benefits still need heavy exploration.

Based on findings in this study it is our belief that we need to start focusing more on demonstrating the direct benefits of existing tabletop technologies rather than to keep developing new and unproven ones. We need to move out of the *Trough of Disillusionment* by evaluating this technology against other established technologies and we need to do so in natural settings in order to demonstrate real-world benefits as noted in (Fenn & Raskino, 2008; Kjeldskov & Graham, 2003; Wixon, 2003). Also, we believe that more longitudinal studies of benefits will aid in accomplishing the move towards the *Plateau of Productivity*. Without such further studies, we provide no clear incentives for investing in this technology.

In terms of research methods, it is known that field studies and case provide rich insights leading to a further understanding of the use of technologies and relevant needs in specific contexts (Kjeldskov & Graham, 2003). Again, our literature review shows that the tabletop research community has developed a large array of knowledge on how to implement new technologies with highly advanced interaction techniques. Given current state-of-the-art we for instance see ample opportunity for conducting field studies that center around the use of tabletop technologies. Field studies and case studies could be applied to assess and understand collaborative use of tabletops in natural settings. Wynekoop and Conger's renowned paper outlines more research methods and provide examples of their use for different purposes, e.g. natural setting studies (Wynekoop & Conger, 1990). A very recent special issue in the Journal of Computer Supported Cooperative Work (JCSCW) partly addresses the above mentioned needs.

It includes studies investigating collaborative aspects of tabletops. A noteworthy example from that special issue is the study by Martinez-Maldonado and colleagues, which is an excellent example of a field study and of the opportunities posed by such. They describe a tabletop design to support classroom teaching in small groups, a design which was later deployed in natural settings (Martinez-Maldonado, Clayphan, & Kay, 2015). In their setup, multiple tabletops supported class lectures on which students could solve tasks. Four teachers participated in the study, which spanned a period of eight weeks. Findings describe the teachers' preferences of having visualizations of teacher scripts on a secondary device. Thus, the JCSCW special issue studies take a step towards the plateau of productivity by emphasizing collaborative aspects in natural settings.

It is also encouraging that the ITS conference in 2013 introduced calls for application papers with the aim of fostering more tabletop studies from real world settings. This shows that the research community has an interest in such types of studies. However, given that 1) we traversed all ITS papers (including application papers) and 2) there are still very few studies in real world settings (3 %), we argue that such increased emphasis has yet to manifest itself in actual publications. Our aim with this study is to evoke further discussions by empirically showing the extent of the challenge faced by the research community. Such discussions could potentially lead to more real-world studies, which was also the underlying aim of the study described in (Kjeldskov & Graham, 2003), which pointed towards similar issues for mobile technologies.

That literature study was repeated ten years later showing that research trends had changed towards studying mobile technologies in real-world settings and that the research area had become more multi-methodological (Kjeldskov & Paay, 2012).

Conclusions

In this paper we have followed the evolution of one of the oldest surface computing technologies: The horizontally oriented tabletop. Although the underlying idea of tabletops stems from the early 1990's, researchers disagree on its maturity.

To determine the level of maturity we conducted two comprehensive studies where we analyzed 542 research publications from the last decade. We applied the Hype Cycle to discuss the current level of maturity and how we should move forward to further nurture this. In our study we have applied multiple evaluation metrics: No. of publications, research trends as well as emphasis on collaboration and natural settings. All metrics indicate that we are now in the *Trough of Disillusionment*.

Findings revealed that the number of publications in the area increased dramatically in years 2006 to 2011. However, in 2014 we observed a steep drop. We also found that main research objectives relate to implementing new hard- and software and the relative extent of this trend has been stable over the last 10 years. In other words, the primary focus in the past decade of tabletop research has been to develop new technology. This bears close resemblance to the typical level of hype and activities surrounding the *Peak of Inflated Expectations* in a Hype Cycle. Historically, this is followed by a period of negative hype denoted the *Trough of*

Disillusionment. This period is encountered due to an emphasis on developing new technologies without demonstrating their benefits in real-world settings. This is perhaps the single most critical of our findings: Only 3% of all studies are conducted in natural settings. Thus, there is a clear opportunity for researchers to investigate how this technology performs in the real world. This is crucial if we are to eventually reach the *Plateau of Productivity*.

Also, one of the most widely argued benefits of tabletop technology has been its efficiency in supporting collaborative work. Yet, we found that only 10 % of the papers emphasized collaboration, i.e. few papers explore multiple persons working together around tabletop devices in co-work settings. A detailed walkthrough of these papers revealed that seven studies (1%) compared tabletop technology to other established technologies. Thus, there is also ample opportunity to start focusing on studies that demonstrate relative benefits of tabletops over other technologies in collaborative settings. Our review shows that benefits within existing studies point in different directions, e.g. showing that tabletops lead to enhanced fluidity of communication between participants compared to individual screen setups in one study, while no effect was found in another. Disagreements on the level of effectiveness of tabletops over other established technologies could also explain reluctance towards launching these technologies in real-world settings.

Our aim with this paper is to evoke further discussions on research foci within the tabletop research community. We suggest extending the main streams of research related to implementation of new technologies and specific interaction techniques. To move beyond the *Trough of Disillusionment* there is a need to provide clear incentives for organizations

(commercial as well as non-commercial) to which such technology has relevance. Our study shows that there are several opportunities for studying how - and to what extent - tabletop technology is beneficial over established technologies in natural and collaborative settings. Such studies can be conducted using methods such as field studies and case studies.

A clear limitation in this work relates to our emphasis on horizontally oriented tabletops. Tabletop technologies are part of the newer and highly diverse field of surface computing where all kinds of surfaces are applied for interaction. As an example, consider a multi-touch surface built from ice to incite people into exploring alternative materials (Virolainen, Puikkonen, Kärkkäinen, & Häkkinen, 2010). Beauty technology has also started to emerge where electromagnetic devices are embedded in beauty products. This could be e.g. RFID nails and conductive makeup which are attached to the body for interacting with different surfaces (Vega & Fuks, 2013). Similarly, in (Mujibiya et al., 2013) low-frequency ultrasound propagation is applied to form distinctive profiles useful to infer touch on certain locations of the body. These examples illustrate that we have extended Weiser's initial notion of large interactive surfaces from merely being a horizontally oriented table (or "board") to cover all kinds of surfaces (Weiser, 1991). In doing so there will be a natural increase in hype around the general area of surface computing and in the number of research publications. This happens simply because we open up new ways of interacting with technology. However, based on the underlying dynamics of the Hype Cycle we can arguably not expect this newer and broader area of surface computing to have reached a level of maturity. This is why we did not consider this broader area of research in our study, nevertheless in the future it will be relevant to replicate our study and consider surface computing in general.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Mikael Skov and Dr. Erik Frøkjær for their valuable critique of our study.

REFERENCES

- Ajaj, R., Vernier, F., & Jacquemin, C. (2009). Navigation modes for combined table/screen 3D scene rendering. In *ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings* (pp. 141–148). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-77952960349&partnerID=40&md5=c90f742c3db051030bc2618f4bd709e3>
- Augstein, M., Neumayr, T., & Schacherl-Hofer, I. (2014). The usability of a tabletop application for neuro-rehabilitation from therapists' point of view. In *ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces* (pp. 239–248). doi:10.1145/2669485.2669516
- Bak, J. O., Nguyen, K., Risgaard, P., & Stage, J. (2008). Obstacles to Usability Evaluation in Practice: A Survey of Software Development Organizations. In *Proceedings of the 5th Nordic Conference on Human-computer Interaction: Building Bridges* (pp. 23–32). New York, NY, USA: ACM. doi:10.1145/1463160.1463164
- Basheri, M., Burd, L., & Baghaei, N. (2012). A multi-touch interface for enhancing collaborative UML diagramming. In *Proceedings of the 24th Australian Computer-Human Interaction Conference, OzCHI 2012* (pp. 30–33). Retrieved from

<http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0->

84872318133&partnerID=40&md5=ce925769fae1c66bbfc7222327a0813f

Belatar, M., & Coldefy, F. (2010). Sketched menus and iconic gestures, techniques designed in the context of shareable interfaces. In *ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010* (pp. 143–146). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0->

79952413732&partnerID=40&md5=b1105f110df84b3d24f27c9bfa6ad092

Bruun, A., & Stage, J. (2012). Training Software Development Practitioners in Usability Testing: An Assessment Acceptance and Prioritization. In *Proceedings of the 24th Australian Computer-Human Interaction Conference* (pp. 52–60). New York, NY, USA: ACM. doi:10.1145/2414536.2414545

Buisine, S., Besacier, G., Najm, M., Aoussa, A., & Vernier, F. (2007). Computer-supported creativity: Evaluation of a table top mind-map application. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*. Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0->

37249063671&partnerID=40&md5=15d87b7c3210895149be14eaab3902e1

Cao, X., Lindley, S. E., Helmes, J., & Sellen, A. (2010). Telling the whole story: Anticipation, inspiration and reputation in a field deployment of TellTable. In *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, CSCW* (pp. 251–260). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0->

77950903936&partnerID=40&md5=6edb0f0b9003c83f946d2f434c44c888

Fenn, J., & Raskino, M. (2008). *Mastering the Hype Cycle: How to Choose the Right Innovation at the Right Time*. Boston: Harvard Business School Publishing. Retrieved from <http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/1422121100?ie=UTF8&tag=gartner-20&linkCode=as2&camp=1789&creative=9325&creativeASIN=1422121100>

Fleck, R., Rogers, Y., Yuill, N., Marshall, P., Carr, A., Rick, J., & Bonnett, V. (2009). Actions speak loudly with words: Unpacking collaboration around the table. In *ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings* (pp. 189–196). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-77953017645&partnerID=40&md5=a67bd51001af969f7a944f4738dbfb3f>

Gaver, W., Bowers, J., Boucher, A., Law, A., Pennington, S., & Walker, B. (2007). Electronic Furniture for the Curious Home: Assessing Ludic Designs in the Field. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 22(1-2), 119–152. doi:10.1080/10447310709336958

Giusti, L., Zancanaro, M., Gal, E., & Weiss, P. L. (2011). Dimensions of collaboration on a tabletop interface for children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. In *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings* (pp. 3295–3304). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-79958082346&partnerID=40&md5=f5abb6719965ee2e7cafda7a0096b972>

Grossman, T., & Wigdor, D. (2007). Going deeper: A taxonomy of 3D on the tabletop. In *Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems* (pp. 137–144). Retrieved from

<http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0->

47949094601&partnerID=40&md5=49b4cbb2dcbf4f536826365a62b798cf

Hakvoort, G. (2013). The immersive museum. In *ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces* (pp. 463–468). Retrieved from

<http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0->

84887313235&partnerID=40&md5=358e63ac55faea9f9ec5f939aa2e004f

Han, J. Y. (2005). Low-cost Multi-touch Sensing Through Frustrated Total Internal Reflection.

In *Proceedings of the 18th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology* (pp. 115–118). New York, NY, USA: ACM. doi:10.1145/1095034.1095054

Hancock, M. S., Vernier, F. D., Wigdor, D., Carpendale, S., & Shen, C. (2006). Rotation and translation mechanisms for tabletop interaction. In *Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06* (Vol. 2006, pp.

79–86). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0->

33749401345&partnerID=40&md5=eea9474ff95aabf36b5b543d180cd22e

Heilig, M., Huber, S., Demarmels, M., & Reiterer, H. (2010). ScatterTouch: A multi touch rubber sheet scatter plot visualization for co-located data exploration. In *ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010* (pp. 263–264). Retrieved from

<http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0->

79952371371&partnerID=40&md5=6d406504ed876f0daae94b2883265a01

Heilig, M., Huber, S., Gerken, J., Demarmels, M., Allmendinger, K., & Reiterer, H. (2011).

Hidden details of negotiation: The mechanics of reality-based collaboration in information

seeking. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*. Retrieved from [http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-80052785220&partnerID=40&md5=2c5dcbbc4cdd96e5c95240c4b4bd2623)

80052785220&partnerID=40&md5=2c5dcbbc4cdd96e5c95240c4b4bd2623

Hinrichs, U., & Carpendale, S. (2011). Gestures in the wild: Studying multi-touch gesture sequences on interactive tabletop exhibits. In *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings* (pp. 3023–3032). Retrieved from [http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-79958166747&partnerID=40&md5=69e89adad80b372fe7ab02b3c35bfa75)

79958166747&partnerID=40&md5=69e89adad80b372fe7ab02b3c35bfa75

Hornbæk, K., & Frøkjær, E. (2008). Making Use of Business Goals in Usability Evaluation: An Experiment with Novice Evaluators. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 903–912). New York, NY, USA: ACM. doi:10.1145/1357054.1357197

Hornecker, E. (2008). “I don’t understand it either, but it is cool” - Visitor interactions with a multi-touch table in a museum. In *2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008* (pp. 113–120). Retrieved from [http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-57749169709&partnerID=40&md5=f2e463b79f5e193fa6e2812408e07ade)

57749169709&partnerID=40&md5=f2e463b79f5e193fa6e2812408e07ade

Ioannou, A., Zenios, M., & Stylianou, A. (2014). Dialogue, knowledge work and tabletops: Lessons from preservice teacher education. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including*

Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics).

doi:10.1007/978-3-319-07482-5_39

Kajastila, R. A., & Lokki, T. (2009). A Gesture-based and Eyes-free Control Method for Mobile Devices. In *CHI '09 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 3559–3564). New York, NY, USA: ACM. doi:10.1145/1520340.1520534

Kirk, D. S., Izadi, S., Sellen, A., Taylor, S., Banks, R., & Hilliges, O. (2010). Opening up the family archive. In *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, CSCW* (pp. 261–270). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-77950897031&partnerID=40&md5=1c6477987db81dc59f4ba6b09349f0b4>

Kjeldskov, J., & Graham, C. (2003). A Review of Mobile HCI Research Methods. In L. Chittaro (Ed.), *Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services SE - 23* (Vol. 2795, pp. 317–335). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-45233-1_23

Kjeldskov, J., & Paay, J. (2012). A Longitudinal Review of Mobile HCI Research Methods. In *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Human-computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services* (pp. 69–78). New York, NY, USA: ACM. doi:10.1145/2371574.2371586

Kobourov, S. G., Pavlou, K., Cappos, J., Stepp, M., Miles, M., & Wixted, A. (2005). No Title. In *Proceedings of IFIP TC13 International Conference (INTERACT)*. Berlin: Springer. doi:10.1007/11555261_86

Landis, J. R., & Koch, G. G. (1977). The Measurement of Observer Agreement for Categorical Data. *Biometrics*, 33(1), 159–174. doi:10.2307/2529310

Law, E. L.-C. (2006). Evaluating the Downstream Utility of User Tests and Examining the Developer Effect: A Case Study. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 21(2), 147–172. doi:10.1207/s15327590ijhc2102_3

Lepreux, S., Kubicki, S., Kolski, C., & Caelen, J. (2012). From Centralized Interactive Tabletops to Distributed Surfaces: The Tangiget Concept. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 28(11), 709–721. doi:10.1080/10447318.2012.715533

Lim, Y., & Rogers, Y. (2008a). A Framework and an Environment for Collaborative Analysis of User Experience. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 24(6), 529–555. doi:10.1080/10447310801971204

Lim, Y., & Rogers, Y. (2008b). A Framework and an Environment for Collaborative Analysis of User Experience. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 24(6), 529–555. doi:10.1080/10447310801971204

Marshall, P., Hornecker, E., Morris, R., Dalton, N. S., & Rogers, Y. (2008). When the fingers do the talking: A study of group participation with varying constraints to a tabletop interface. In *2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008* (pp. 33–40). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-57749207927&partnerID=40&md5=83d885185b0523c733d836c47f7c8c44>

Marshall, P., Morris, R., Rogers, Y., Kreitmayer, S., & Davies, M. (2011). Rethinking “Multi-user”: An in-the-wild study of how groups approach a walk-up-and-use tabletop interface. In *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings* (pp. 3033–3042). Retrieved

from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-79958152100&partnerID=40&md5=b12195ffbd0bc1275aab35bba282dd9d>

Martinez, R., Collins, A., Kay, J., & Yacef, K. (2011). Who did what? Who said that? Collaid: An environment for capturing traces of collaborative learning at the tabletop. In *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11* (pp. 172–181). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84555196254&partnerID=40&md5=6a86240245fafc2ae7526f2f8b727abf>

Martinez-Maldonado, R., Clayphan, A., & Kay, J. (2015). Deploying and Visualising Teacher's Scripts of Small Group Activities in a Multi-surface Classroom Ecology: a Study in-the-wild. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW)*, 24(2), 177–221. doi:10.1007/s10606-015-9217-6

Matsuda, M., Matsushita, M., Yamada, T., & Namemura, T. (2006). Behavioral analysis of asymmetric information sharing on Lumisight Table. In *Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06* (Vol. 2006, pp. 113–119). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-33749401151&partnerID=40&md5=e99dbad3306ade564343ac1fc8d7a0c1>

Mazalek, A., Reynolds, M., & Davenport, G. (2007). The TVViews table in the home. In *Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems* (pp. 52–59). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-47949100860&partnerID=40&md5=e49bfd43cdcdaca26e369be9380fa93b>

Morris, M. R., Brush, A. J. B., & Meyers, B. R. (2008). A field study of knowledge workers' use of interactive horizontal displays. In *2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008* (pp. 105–112). Retrieved from [http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-57749187622&partnerID=40&md5=494ddd42763fe2e33d09165e3aa11d73)

[57749187622&partnerID=40&md5=494ddd42763fe2e33d09165e3aa11d73](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-57749187622&partnerID=40&md5=494ddd42763fe2e33d09165e3aa11d73)

Morris, M. R., Fisher, D., & Wigdor, D. (2010). Search on surfaces: Exploring the potential of interactive tabletops for collaborative search tasks. *Information Processing & Management*, *46*(6), 703–717. doi:10.1016/j.ipm.2009.10.004

Morris, M. R., Fisher, D., & Wigdor, D. (2010). Search on Surfaces: Exploring the Potential of Interactive Tabletops for Collaborative Search Tasks. *Inf. Process. Manage.*, *46*(6), 703–717. doi:10.1016/j.ipm.2009.10.004

Morris, M. R., Morris, D., & Winograd, T. (2004). Individual audio channels with single display groupware: Effects on communication and task strategy. In *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, CSCW* (pp. 242–251). Retrieved from [http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-21644476745&partnerID=40&md5=95338ca00e49cbe0b4de7e68a66c2f1d)

[21644476745&partnerID=40&md5=95338ca00e49cbe0b4de7e68a66c2f1d](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-21644476745&partnerID=40&md5=95338ca00e49cbe0b4de7e68a66c2f1d)

Morris, M. R., Paepcke, A., Winograd, T., & Stamberger, J. (2006). TeamTag: Exploring centralized replicated controls for Co-located tabletop groupware. In *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings* (Vol. 2, pp. 1273–1282). Retrieved from [http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-33745809527&partnerID=40&md5=f0595859f290bd4173a5f5bfd4052aaa)

[33745809527&partnerID=40&md5=f0595859f290bd4173a5f5bfd4052aaa](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-33745809527&partnerID=40&md5=f0595859f290bd4173a5f5bfd4052aaa)

Mujibiya, A., Cao, X., Tan, D. S., Morris, D., Patel, S. N., & Rekimoto, J. (2013). The sound of touch. In *Proceedings of the 2013 ACM international conference on Interactive tabletops and surfaces - ITS '13* (pp. 189–198). New York, New York, USA: ACM Press. doi:10.1145/2512349.2512821

Müller-Tomfelde, C. (2010). *Tabletops - Horizontal Interactive Displays*. (C. Müller-Tomfelde, Ed.). London: Springer. doi:10.1007/978-1-84996-113-4

Müller-Tomfelde, C., & Fjeld, M. (2012). Tabletops: Interactive Horizontal Displays for Ubiquitous Computing. *Computer*, 45(2), 78–81. doi:10.1109/MC.2012.64

Nacenta, M. A., Pinelle, D., Stuckel, D., & Gutwin, C. (2007). The effects of interaction technique on coordination in tabletop groupware. In *Proceedings - Graphics Interface* (pp. 191–198). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-34547973277&partnerID=40&md5=6acf418df36f48212b2b1c3d62d1622d>

Nebe, K., Müller, T., & Klompaker, F. (2011). An investigation on requirements for co-located group-work using multitouch-, pen-based- and tangible-interaction. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*. Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-79960297168&partnerID=40&md5=8603bd623671179d82b86e9806082ad6>

O'Hara, K. (2010). Interactivity and non-interactivity on tabletops. In *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings* (Vol. 4, pp. 2611–2614). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-77954017454&partnerID=40&md5=de85f858053aa2f52e8aaf03c0082059>

O’Leary, D. E. (2008). Gartner’s hype cycle and information system research issues. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 9(4), 240–252. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2008.09.001>

Patsoule, E. (2014). Interactions around a multi-touch tabletop: A rapid ethnographic study in a museum. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-07638-6-42

Pauchet, A., Coldefy, F., Lefebvre, L., Louis, D. P., Perron, L., Bouguet, A., ... Corvaisier, D. (2007). TableTops: Worthwhile experiences of collocated and remote collaboration. In *Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems* (pp. 27–34). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-47949100859&partnerID=40&md5=4d7a534c4fe686f58110123f5af98e0e>

Pedersen, E. W., & Hornbæk, K. (2011). Tangible bots. In *Proceedings of the 2011 annual conference on Human factors in computing systems - CHI '11* (p. 2975). New York, New York, USA: ACM Press. doi:10.1145/1978942.1979384

Perron, R., & Laborie, F. (2006). Augmented tabletops, an incentive for distributed collaboration. In *Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06* (Vol. 2006, pp. 135–142). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-33749420353&partnerID=40&md5=d73ed1ff520c0792d610378484ec02a7>

Prieto, L. P., Sharma, K., Wen, Y., Dillenbourg, P., & Caballero, D. (2014). Studying teacher cognitive load in multi-tabletop classrooms using mobile eye-tracking. In *ITS 2014 -*

Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces (pp. 339–344). doi:10.1145/2669485.2669543

Ryall, K., Morris, M. R., Everitt, K., Forlines, C., & Shen, C. (2006). Experiences with and observations of direct-touch tabletops. In *Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06* (Vol. 2006, pp. 89–96). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-33749413485&partnerID=40&md5=7b0dfeac4f5448b50feb9b58667f67ac>

Schubert, M., George, S., & Serna, A. (2012). Exploring the potential of tabletops for collaborative learning. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*. Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84862494674&partnerID=40&md5=1ca1f7abb02bf1116ff9f61485e987c3>

Spindler, M., Martsch, M., & Dachselt, R. (2012). Going beyond the surface: Studying multi-layer interaction above the tabletop. In *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings* (pp. 1277–1286). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84862113502&partnerID=40&md5=d9935744d1ee7d48f992cd1346e9dc05>

Strauss, A. and Corbin, J. (1990). *Basics of Qualitative Research: Grounded Theory Procedures and Techniques* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications, Inc.

Tabard, A., Hincapié Ramos, J. D., & Bardram, J. (2012). The eLabBench in the Wild: Supporting Exploration in a Molecular Biology Lab. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference*

on *Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 3051–3060). New York, NY, USA: ACM.
doi:10.1145/2207676.2208718

Ten Koppel, M., Bailly, G., Müller, J., & Walter, R. (2012). Chained Displays: Configurations of Public Displays Can Be Used to Influence Actor-, Audience-, and Passer-by Behavior. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 317–326). New York, NY, USA: ACM. doi:10.1145/2207676.2207720

Tuddenham, P., Davies, I., & Robinson, P. (2009). WebSurface: An interface for co-located collaborative information gathering. In *ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings* (pp. 181–188). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-77953007746&partnerID=40&md5=d9d7a4a7504cd1c58a0268250d363071>

Tuddenham, P., & Robinson, P. (2007). Distributed tabletops: Supporting remote and mixed-presence tabletop collaboration. In *Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems* (pp. 19–26). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-47949097187&partnerID=40&md5=e73e472b5ddacf7e470efa0780fbff98>

Tyng, K. S., Zaman, H. B., & Ahmad, A. (2011). Visual application in multi-touch tabletop for mathematics learning: A preliminary study. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*. Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-81255203763&partnerID=40&md5=a6eb3e6c0a51d4025475ab231bfbc77>

- Vega, K., & Fuks, H. (2013). Beauty technology as an interactive computing platform. In *Proceedings of the 2013 ACM international conference on Interactive tabletops and surfaces - ITS '13* (pp. 357–360). New York, New York, USA: ACM Press. doi:10.1145/2512349.2512399
- Virolainen, A., Puikkonen, A., Kärkkäinen, T., & Häkkinen, J. (2010). Cool interaction with calm technologies. In *ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces - ITS '10* (p. 15). New York, New York, USA: ACM Press. doi:10.1145/1936652.1936656
- Weiser, M. (1991). The computer for the 21 st century. *Scientific American*, 265(3), 66–75. doi:10.1145/329124.329126
- Wesugi, S., & Miwa, Y. (2006). “Lazy Susan” communication system for remote, spatial and physical collaborative works. In *Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06* (Vol. 2006, pp. 35–42). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-33749423349&partnerID=40&md5=78c4e73f759bd726b326a756cbfebf10>
- Wigdor, D., Penn, G., Ryall, K., Esenther, A., & Shen, C. (2007). Living with a tabletop: Analysis and observations of long term office use of a multi-touch table. In *Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems* (pp. 60–67). Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-47949096116&partnerID=40&md5=4c868466d4042ed9f696b0f355d14a21>
- Wixon, D. (2003). Evaluating Usability Methods: Why the Current Literature Fails the Practitioner. *Interactions*, 10(4), 28–34. doi:10.1145/838830.838870

Wolfe, C., Graham, T. C. N., & Pape, J. A. (2010). Seeing through the fog: An algorithm for fast and accurate touch detection in optical tabletop surfaces. In *ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010* (pp. 73–82). Retrieved from [http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-79952414860&partnerID=40&md5=6fa3ae8519aaa21f63eb8462dca4bef9)

79952414860&partnerID=40&md5=6fa3ae8519aaa21f63eb8462dca4bef9

Wynekoop, J. L., & Conger, S. A. (1990). A Review of Computer Aided Software Engineering Research Methods. In *Proceedings of the IFIP TC8 WG8.2 Working Conference on the Information Systems Research Arena of the 90's*.

Yamashita, N., Kuzuoka, H., Hirata, K., Aoyagi, S., & Shirai, Y. (2011). Supporting fluid tabletop collaboration across distances. In *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings* (pp. 2827–2836). Retrieved from [http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-79958103089&partnerID=40&md5=024e34fe72ea2acae038a2eac3353a46)

79958103089&partnerID=40&md5=024e34fe72ea2acae038a2eac3353a46

Zarin, R., & Fallman, D. (2011). Through the troll forest: Exploring tabletop interaction design for children with special cognitive needs. In *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings* (pp. 3319–3322). Retrieved from [http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-79958175794&partnerID=40&md5=7e82ca380f06ab0a0f37865ac61c6b45)

79958175794&partnerID=40&md5=7e82ca380f06ab0a0f37865ac61c6b45

Appendix A – Bibliography

1. Abad, Z.S.H. et al.: Multi-surface interactions with geospatial data: A systematic review. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 69–78 (2014).
2. Abednego, M. et al.: I-Grabber: Expanding physical reach in a large-display tabletop environment through the use of a virtual grabber. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 61–64 (2009).
3. Ackad, C.J. et al.: Switch: Exploring the design of application and configuration switching at tabletops. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 95–104 (2010).
4. Adachi, T. et al.: Forearm menu: Using forearm as menu widget on tabletop system. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 333–336 (2013).
5. Agarwal, A. et al.: High precision multi-touch sensing on surfaces using overhead cameras. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 197–200 (2007).
6. Ahn, Y.S. et al.: A slim tabletop interface based on high resolution LCD screens with multiple cameras. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 241–242 (2010).

7. Ajaj, R. et al.: Follow my finger navigation, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-70349560456&partnerID=40&md5=b3ea3c9169e4c00b2aa342f14a9327b2>, (2009).
8. Ajaj, R. et al.: Navigation modes for combined table/screen 3D scene rendering. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 141–148 (2009).
9. AlAgha, I. et al.: Towards a teacher-centric approach for multi-touch surfaces in classrooms. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 187–196 (2010).
10. Alallah, F. et al.: OA-Graphs: Orientation agnostic graphs for improving the legibility of charts on horizontal displays. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 211–220 (2010).
11. Alavi, A. et al.: Dual mode IR position and state transfer for tangible tabletops. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 278–279 (2011).
12. Alex, D. et al.: LampTop: Touch detection for a projector-camera system based on shape classification. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 429–432 (2013).

13. Alexander, J. et al.: Characterising the physicality of everyday buttons. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 205–208 (2014).
14. Alzayat, A. et al.: Quantitative measurement of virtual vs. physical object embodiment through kinesthetic figural after effects. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 2903–2912 (2014).
15. Amano, K., Yamamoto, A.: An interaction on a flat panel display using a planar 1-DOF electrostatic actuator. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 258–259 (2011).
16. Amaro, S., Sugimoto, M.: Novel interaction techniques using touch-sensitive tangibles in tabletop environments. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 347–350 (2012).
17. Annett, M. et al.: Medusa: A proximity-aware multi-touch tabletop. UIST'11 - Proceedings of the 24th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. pp. 337–346 (2011).
18. Anslow, C. et al.: SourceVis: A tool for multi-touch software visualization. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 264–265 (2011).
19. Anstead, E. et al.: Tabletop games for photo consumption at theme parks. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 61–70 (2012).

20. Anthony, L. et al.: Interaction and recognition challenges in interpreting children's touch and gesture input on mobile devices. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 225–234 (2012).
21. Antle, A.N. et al.: Balancing act: Enabling public engagement with sustainability issues through a multi-touch tabletop collaborative game, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-80052791084&partnerID=40&md5=83c7091ce9736c2ededdc483a8553f28>, (2011).
22. De Ara¹jo, B.R. et al.: Mockup builder: Direct 3D modeling on and above the surface in a continuous interaction space. Proceedings - Graphics Interface. pp. 173–180 (2012).
23. Ashtiani, B., Stuerzlinger, W.: 2D similarity transformations on multi-touch surfaces. Proceedings - Graphics Interface. pp. 57–64 (2011).
24. Aslan, I. et al.: Inspirations from honey bees: Exploring movement measures for dynamic whole body gestures. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 421–424 (2013).
25. Aslan, I. et al.: Workload on your fingertips: The influence of workload on touch-based drag and drop. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 417–420 (2013).
26. Au, O.K.-C., Tai, C.-L.: Multitouch finger registration and its applications. ACM International Conference Proceeding Series. pp. 41–48 (2010).

27. Augstein, M. et al.: The fun.tast.tisch. project - A novel approach to neuro-rehabilitation using an interactive multiuser multitouch tabletop. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 81–90 (2013).
28. Augstein, M. et al.: The usability of a tabletop application for neuro-rehabilitation from therapists' point of view. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 239–248 (2014).
29. Avrahami, D. et al.: Portico: Tangible interaction on and around a tablet. UIST'11 - Proceedings of the 24th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. pp. 347–356 (2011).
30. BÃ©rard, F., Blanch, R.: Two touch system latency estimators: High accuracy and low overhead. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 241–250 (2013).
31. BÃ©rard, F., Laurillau, Y.: Single user multitouch on the DiamondTouch: From 2 x 1D to 2D. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 1–8 (2009).
32. Bachl, S. et al.: The effects of personal displays and transfer techniques on collaboration strategies in multi-touch based multi-display environments, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-80052808956&partnerID=40&md5=9f3ee62c698c386d5786b85bd571bd11>, (2011).

33. Bailly, G. et al.: Design and evaluation of finger-count interaction: Combining multitouch gestures and menus. *Int. J. Hum. Comput. Stud.* 70, 10, 673–689 (2012).
34. Banerjee, A. et al.: Pointable: An in-air pointing technique to manipulate out-of-reach targets on tabletops. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11.* pp. 11–20 (2011).
35. Banovic, N. et al.: Design of unimanual multi-finger pie menu interaction. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11.* pp. 120–129 (2011).
36. Barnkow, L., Von Luck, K.: Semiautomatic and user-centered orientation of digital artifacts on multi-touch tabletops, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84875676209&partnerID=40&md5=393bcdfda9c145c583926062e7620938>, (2012).
37. Barthés, J.-P.A. et al.: Ambiguity in multimodal interaction with multi-touch multi-user graphics tables, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84865600344&partnerID=40&md5=2ffe470934d62d4da583fed2156a3768>, (2012).
38. Bartindale, T. et al.: StoryCrate: Tabletop storyboarding for live film production. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings.* pp. 169–178 (2012).
39. Bartindale, T., Harrison, C.: Stacks on the surface: Resolving physical order using fiducial markers with structured transparency. *ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings.* pp. 57–60 (2009).

40. Basher, M. et al.: A multi-touch interface for enhancing collaborative UML diagramming. Proceedings of the 24th Australian Computer-Human Interaction Conference, OzCHI 2012. pp. 30–33 (2012).
41. Battocchi, A. et al.: Collaborative puzzle game: A tabletop interactive game for fostering collaboration in children with Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASD). ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 197–204 (2009).
42. Baudisch, P. et al.: Lumino: Tangible blocks for tabletop computers based on glass fiber bundles. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 1165–1174 (2010).
43. Beheshti, E. et al.: Touch, click, navigate: Comparing tabletop and desktop interaction for map navigation tasks. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 205–213 (2012).
44. Belatar, M., Coldefy, F.: Sketched menus and iconic gestures, techniques designed in the context of shareable interfaces. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 143–146 (2010).
45. Benko, H. et al.: Enhancing input on and above the interactive surface with muscle sensing. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 93–100 (2009).

46. Benko, H. et al.: MirageTable: Freehand interaction on a projected augmented reality tabletop. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 199–208 (2012).
47. Bergweiler, S. et al.: A collaborative touch-based newspaper editor concept. *ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010*. pp. 243–244 (2010).
48. Bergweiler, S. et al.: Integrating a multitouch kiosk system with mobile devices and multimodal interaction. *ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010*. pp. 245–246 (2010).
49. Betty Chang, Y.-L. et al.: Supporting situation awareness in collaborative tabletop systems with automation. *ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 185–194 (2014).
50. Bi, X. et al.: uPen: A smart pen-like device for facilitating interaction on large displays. *Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06*. pp. 160–166 (2006).
51. Block, F. et al.: Pen and paper techniques for physical customisation of tabletop interfaces. *2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008*. pp. 17–24 (2008).
52. Bortolaso, C. et al.: OrMiS: A tabletop interface for simulation-based training. *ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 145–154 (2013).

53. Bortolaso, C. et al.: The Effect of View Techniques on Collaboration and Awareness in Tabletop Map-Based Tasks. Proceedings of the Ninth ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 79–88 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2014).
54. Bragdon, A. et al.: Gesture play: Motivating online gesture learning with fun, positive reinforcement and physical metaphors. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 39–48 (2010).
55. Brandl, P. et al.: Combining and measuring the benefits of bimanual pen and direct-touch interaction on horizontal interfaces. Proceedings of the Workshop on Advanced Visual Interfaces AVI. pp. 154–161 (2008).
56. Brock, A. et al.: Usage of multimodal maps for blind people: Why and how. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 247–248 (2010).
57. Buchanan, S. et al.: Towards user-defined multi-touch gestures for 3D objects. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 231–240 (2013).
58. Buisine, S. et al.: Computer-supported creativity: Evaluation of a table top mind-map application, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-37249063671&partnerID=40&md5=15d87b7c3210895149be14eaab3902e1>, (2007).
59. Caballero, D. et al.: Single locus of control in a tangible paper-based tabletop application: An exploratory study. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 351–356 (2014).

60. Cabral, D. et al.: Evaluation of a multimodal video annotator for contemporary dance. Proceedings of the Workshop on Advanced Visual Interfaces AVI. pp. 572–579 (2012).
61. Cabral, D. et al.: Multimodal video annotation for contemporary dance creation. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 2293–2298 (2011).
62. Calife, D. et al.: Robot Arena: An augmented reality platform for game development. Comput. Entertain. 7, 1, (2009).
63. Camp, V. De et al.: How to choose element sizes for novel interactive systems. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 385–388 (2013).
64. Cao, X. et al.: ShapeTouch: Leveraging contact shape on interactive surfaces. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 129–136 (2008).
65. Cao, X. et al.: Telling the whole story: Anticipation, inspiration and reputation in a field deployment of TellTable. Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, CSCW. pp. 251–260 (2010).
66. Cassinelli, A. et al.: Skin games. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 323–326 (2012).

67. Chaboissier, J. et al.: RealTimeChess: Lessons from a participatory design process for a collaborative multi-touch, multi-user game. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 97–106 (2011).
68. Chaboissier, J., Vernier, F.: Design of real-time interactive tabletop games. ACM International Conference Proceeding Series. pp. 313–322 (2009).
69. Chan, L.-W. et al.: On top of tabletop: A virtual touch panel display. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 169–176 (2008).
70. Chang, K. et al.: SynFlo: An interactive installation introducing synthetic biology concepts. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 303–306 (2012).
71. Chang, Y.-L.B. et al.: Improving awareness of automated actions using an interactive event timeline. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 353–356 (2013).
72. Chang, Y.-L.B.: Improving awareness of automated actions within digital tabletops. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 283–288 (2012).
73. Chatty, S. et al.: Multiple input support in a model-based interaction framework. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 179–186 (2007).

74. Chen, C.-H. et al.: Design a multi-touch table and apply to interior furniture allocation, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-70350322933&partnerID=40&md5=133da13c7a26a6ad543e0244e91994fc>, (2009).
75. Chen, F. et al.: ViCAT: Visualisation and interaction on a collaborative access table. Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06. pp. 59–60 (2006).
76. Cheng, K.-Y. et al.: iCon. Proceedings of the 28th international conference on Human factors in computing systems - CHI '10. p. 1155 ACM Press, New York, New York, USA (2010).
77. Cheng, K.-Y. et al.: iCon: Utilizing everyday objects as additional, auxiliary and instant tabletop controllers. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 1155–1164 (2010).
78. Cheung, V., Scott, S.D.: Investigating attraction and engagement of animation on large interactive walls in public settings. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 381–384 (2013).
79. Chua, K.C. et al.: FloTree: A multi-touch interactive simulation of evolutionary processes. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 299–302 (2012).

80. Cirelli, M., Nakamura, R.: A survey on multi-touch gesture recognition and multi-touch frameworks. *ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 35–44 (2014).
81. Clayphan, A. et al.: Firestorm: A brainstorming application for collaborative group work at tabletops. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11*. pp. 162–171 (2011).
82. Coffey, D. et al.: Interactive slice WIM: Navigating and interrogating volume data sets using a multisurface, multitouch VR interface. *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.* 18, 10, 1614–1626 (2012).
83. Collins, A. et al.: Tabletop file system access: Associative and hierarchical approaches. *Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems*. pp. 113–120 (2007).
84. Collins, A. et al.: Understanding file access mechanisms for embedded ubicomp collaboration interfaces. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*. pp. 135–144 (2009).
85. Conradi, B. et al.: Flow of electrons: An augmented workspace for learning physical computing experientially. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11*. pp. 182–191 (2011).
86. Conradi, B. et al.: From digital to physical: Learning physical computing on interactive surfaces. *ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010*. pp. 249–250 (2010).

87. Coram, J.L. et al.: AstroTouch: A multi-touch digital desktop for astrodynamics. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 11–14 (2013).
88. Correia, N. et al.: A multi-touch tabletop for robust multimedia interaction in museums. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 117–120 (2010).
89. Corsten, C. et al.: Instant user interfaces: Repurposing everyday objects as input devices. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 71–80 (2013).
90. Costanza, E. et al.: Intimate interfaces in action: Assessing the usability and subtlety of emg-based motionless gestures. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 819–828 (2007).
91. Crow, J. et al.: A unique arrangement: Organizing collections for digital libraries, archives, and repositories, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84867679030&partnerID=40&md5=5bd2a5762dbc9676a0da2c1dc6dde191>, (2012).
92. Dalton, N.S.: TapTiles - LED-based floor interaction. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 165–174 (2013).
93. Dang, C.T. et al.: Hand Distinction for Multi-touch Tabletop Interaction. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 101–108 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2009).

94. Dang, C.T., Andr  , E.: Surface-poker: Multimodality in tabletop games. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 251–252 (2010).
95. Dang, C.T., Andr  , E.: TabletopCars - Interaction with active tangible remote controlled cars. TEI 2013 - Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Tangible, Embedded and Embodied Interaction. pp. 33–40 (2013).
96. Dang, C.T., Andr  , E.: Usage and recognition of finger orientation for multi-touch tabletop interaction, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-80052801147&partnerID=40&md5=d82dd29fed3e76a7fa89cd8659a626d2>, (2011).
97. Deb, C.K.: Collaborative task assignment on tabletop computer. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 453–456 (2013).
98. Deininghaus, S. et al.: Hybrid documents ease text corpus analysis for literary scholars. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 177–186 (2010).
99. Derboven, J. et al.: Semiotic analysis of multi-touch interface design: The MuTable case study. *Int. J. Hum. Comput. Stud.* 70, 10, 714–728 (2012).
100. Dietz, P., Leigh, D.: DiamondTouch: A Multi-user Touch Technology. Proceedings of the 14th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. pp. 219–226 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2001).

101. Dippon, A., Klinker, G.: KinectTouch: Accuracy test for a very low-cost 2.5D multitouch tracking system. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 49–52 (2011).
102. Dippon, A., Klinker, G.: Natural interaction for card games on multiple devices. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 409–412 (2013).
103. Doeweling, S. et al.: Support for collaborative situation analysis and planning in crisis management teams using interactive tabletops. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 273–282 (2013).
104. Do-Lenh, S. et al.: Task performance vs. learning outcomes: A study of a tangible user interface in the classroom, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-78049374268&partnerID=40&md5=8be26ed31b320d674d97354b611d4877>, (2010).
105. Domova, V. et al.: Designing a remote video collaboration system for industrial settings. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 229–238 (2014).
106. Don, L., Smith, S.P.: Applying bimanual interaction principles to text input on multi-touch surfaces and tabletops. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 253–254 (2010).

107. Doucette, A. et al.: Sometimes when we touch: How arm embodiments change reaching and coordination on digital tables. *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, CSCW*. pp. 193–202 (2013).
108. Dragicevic, P., Shi, Y.: Visualizing and Manipulating Automatic Document Orientation Methods Using Vector Fields. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 65–68 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2009).
109. Duckworth, J. et al.: Designing co-located tabletop interaction for rehabilitation of brain injury, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84880716554&partnerID=40&md5=5005e0e9b65ca5346c65b169bebacb1a>, (2013).
110. Echtler, F. et al.: Supporting casual interactions between board games on public tabletop displays and mobile devices. *Pers. Ubiquitous Comput.* 13, 8, 609–617 (2009).
111. Egawa, K. et al.: Eye-tracking volume simulation method to configure hardware settings for tangible and multi-user tabletop interaction. *ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 369–372 (2013).
112. Epps, J. et al.: A study of hand shape use in tabletop gesture interaction. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 748–753 (2006).
113. Everitt, K. et al.: MultiSpace: Enabling electronic document micro-mobility in table-centric, multi-device environments. *Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06*. pp. 27–34 (2006).

114. Everitt, K.M. et al.: DocuDesk: An interactive surface for creating and rehydrating many-to-many linkages among paper and digital documents. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 25–28 (2008).
115. Fei, S. et al.: Peripheral array of tangible NFC tags: Positioning portals for embodied trans-surface interaction. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 33–36 (2013).
116. Findlater, L. et al.: Typing on flat glass: Examining ten-finger expert typing patterns on touch surfaces. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 2453–2462 (2011).
117. Fitzmaurice, G.W. et al.: Bricks: Laying the Foundations for Graspable User Interfaces. Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. pp. 442–449 ACM Press/Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., New York, NY, USA (1995).
118. Fleck, R. et al.: Actions speak loudly with words: Unpacking collaboration around the table. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 189–196 (2009).
119. Follmer, S. et al.: deForm: An interactive malleable surface for capturing 2.5d arbitrary objects, tools and touch. UIST'11 - Proceedings of the 24th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. pp. 527–536 (2011).

120. Freeman, D. et al.: ShadowGuides: Visualizations for in-situ learning of multi-touch and whole-hand gestures. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 165–172 (2009).
121. Freeman, D., Balakrishnan, R.: Tangible actions. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 87–96 (2011).
122. Frisch, M. et al.: A multi-touch alignment guide for interactive displays. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 255–256 (2010).
123. Frisch, M. et al.: Diagram editing on interactive displays using multi-touch and pen gestures, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-77955829268&partnerID=40&md5=4425f937b1443393ebef362cc7573c39>, (2010).
124. Frisch, M. et al.: Investigating multi-touch and pen gestures for diagram editing on interactive surfaces. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 149–156 (2009).
125. Frisch, M. et al.: NEAT: A set of flexible tools and gestures for layout tasks on interactive displays. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 1–10 (2011).
126. Fukuchi, K. et al.: PAC-pac: Pinching gesture recognition for tabletop entertainment system. Proceedings of the Workshop on Advanced Visual Interfaces AVI. pp. 267–273 (2010).

127. Furumi, G. et al.: SnapRail: A tabletop user interface widget for addressing occlusion by physical objects. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 193–196 (2012).
128. Gabrielli, S. et al.: A single-user tabletop card game system for older persons: General lessons learned from an in-situ study. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 85–88 (2008).
129. Gaggi, O., Regazzo, M.: An environment for fast development of tabletop applications. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 413–416 (2013).
130. Gallardo, D. et al.: TurTan: A tangible programming language for creative exploration. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 89–92 (2008).
131. Gallardo, D. et al.: Using MTCF for live prototyping on tablet and tangible tabletop devices. TEI 2013 - Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Tangible, Embedded and Embodied Interaction. pp. 443–446 (2013).
132. Gallardo, D., Jord n, S.: SLFiducials: 6DoF markers for tabletop interaction. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 401–404 (2013).
133. Gaver, W. et al.: Electronic Furniture for the Curious Home: Assessing Ludic Designs in the Field. *Int. J. Hum. Comput. Interact.* 22, 1-2, 119–152 (2007).

134. Gelineck, S. et al.: Music mixing surface. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 433–436 (2013).
135. Genest, A. et al.: KinectArms: A toolkit for capturing and displaying arm embodiments in distributed tabletop groupware. Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, CSCW. pp. 157–166 (2013).
136. Genest, A., Gutwin, C.: Evaluating the effectiveness of height visualizations for improving gestural communication at distributed tabletops. Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, CSCW. pp. 519–528 (2012).
137. Gerken, J. et al.: Can “Touch” get annoying? ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 257–258 (2010).
138. Geyer, F. et al.: Collaborative sketching with distributed displays and multimodal interfaces. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 259–260 (2010).
139. Geyer, F. et al.: Designing Reality-based Interfaces for Creative Group Work. Proceedings of the 8th ACM Conference on Creativity and Cognition. pp. 165–174 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2011).
140. Geyer, F. et al.: Supporting creativity workshops with interactive tabletops and digital pen and paper. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 261–262 (2010).

141. Ghomi, E. et al.: ArpèGe: Learning Multitouch Chord Gestures Vocabularies. Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 209–218 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2013).
142. Giusti, L. et al.: Dimensions of collaboration on a tabletop interface for children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 3295–3304 (2011).
143. Gross, T. et al.: The cueTable: Cooperative and competitive multi-touch interaction on a tabletop. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 3465–3470 (2008).
144. Grossman, T., Wigdor, D.: Going deeper: A taxonomy of 3D on the tabletop. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 137–144 (2007).
145. Ha, V. et al.: Direct intentions: The effects of input devices on collaboration around a tabletop display. Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06. pp. 177–184 (2006).
146. Hachet, M. et al.: Toucheo: Multitouch and stereo combined in a seamless workspace. UIST'11 - Proceedings of the 24th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. pp. 587–592 (2011).

147. Hakoda, H., Ushida, K.: Dinner metaphor interface: Operating your computers with a knife and fork. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 373–376 (2013).
148. Hakvoort, G.: The immersive museum. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 463–468 (2013).
149. Hancock, M. et al.: Exploring tangible and direct touch interfaces for manipulating 2D and 3D information on a digital table. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 77–84 (2009).
150. Hancock, M. et al.: Sticky tools: Full 6DOF force-based interaction for multi-touch tables. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 133–140 (2009).
151. Hancock, M. et al.: Supporting sandtray therapy on an interactive tabletop. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 2133–2142 (2010).
152. Hancock, M. et al.: The effects of changing projection geometry on the interpretation of 3D orientation on tabletops. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 157–164 (2009).
153. Hancock, M., Carpendale, S.: Supporting multiple off-axis viewpoints at a tabletop display. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 171–178 (2007).

154. Hancock, M.S. et al.: Rotation and translation mechanisms for tabletop interaction. Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06. pp. 79–86 (2006).
155. Hancock, M.S., Booth, K.S.: Improving menu placement strategies for pen input. Proceedings - Graphics Interface. pp. 221–230 (2004).
156. Hansen, T.E. et al.: PyMT: A post-WIMP multi-touch user interface toolkit. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 17–24 (2009).
157. Hardy, J.: Experiences: A year in the life of an interactive desk. Proceedings of the Designing Interactive Systems Conference, DIS '12. pp. 679–688 (2012).
158. Hartmann, B. et al.: Augmenting interactive tables with mice and keyboards. UIST 2009 - Proceedings of the 22nd Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. pp. 149–152 (2009).
159. Heilig, M. et al.: Hidden details of negotiation: The mechanics of reality-based collaboration in information seeking, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-80052785220&partnerID=40&md5=2c5dcbbc4cdd96e5c95240c4b4bd2623>, (2011).
160. Heilig, M. et al.: ScatterTouch: A multi touch rubber sheet scatter plot visualization for co-located data exploration. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 263–264 (2010).

161. Helmes, J. et al.: Developing the story: Designing an interactive storytelling application. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 49–52 (2009).
162. Hennecke, F. et al.: How screen transitions influence touch and pointer interaction across angled display arrangements. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 209–212 (2012).
163. Hennecke, F. et al.: Optical pressure sensing for tangible user interfaces. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 45–48 (2011).
164. Hesselmann, T. et al.: FlashLight: Optical communication between mobile phones and interactive tabletops. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 135–138 (2010).
165. Hesselmann, T. et al.: Stacked half-pie menus: Navigating nested menus on interactive tabletops. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 173–180 (2009).
166. Hesselmann, T. et al.: Tap2Count - Numerical input for interactive tabletops. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 256–257 (2011).

167. Hesselmann, T., Boll, S.: SCiVA: A design process for applications on interactive surfaces. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 265–266 (2010).
168. Hilliges, O. et al.: Creating malleable interactive surfaces using liquid displacement sensing. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 157–160 (2008).
169. Hilliges, O. et al.: Interactions in the air: Adding further depth to interactive tabletops. UIST 2009 - Proceedings of the 22nd Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. pp. 139–148 (2009).
170. Hilliges, O. et al.: Photohelix: Browsing, sorting and sharing digital photo collections. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 87–94 (2007).
171. Hinckley, K. et al.: Manual Deskterity: An exploration of simultaneous pen + touch direct input. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 2793–2802 (2010).
172. Hinckley, K. et al.: Pen + touch = New tools. UIST 2010 - 23rd ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. pp. 27–36 (2010).
173. Hinrichs, U. et al.: Examination of text-entry methods for tabletop displays. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 105–112 (2007).

174. Hinrichs, U., Carpendale, S.: Gestures in the wild: Studying multi-touch gesture sequences on interactive tabletop exhibits. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 3023–3032 (2011).
175. Hirche, J. et al.: Adaptive interface for text input on large-scale interactive surfaces. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 153–156 (2008).
176. Hofer, R., Kunz, A.: TNT: Touch “n” tangibles on LC-displays, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-70350581153&partnerID=40&md5=2090f27e78a3233f1db1f82c9b402228>, (2009).
177. Hoggan, E. et al.: Multi-touch pinch gestures: Performance and ergonomics. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 219–222 (2013).
178. Hong, M. et al.: Microanalysis of active reading behavior to inform design of interactive desktop workspaces. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 215–224 (2012).
179. Hook, J. et al.: A reconfigurable ferromagnetic input device. UIST 2009 - Proceedings of the 22nd Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. pp. 51–54 (2009).

180. Horn, M.S. et al.: Of BATs and APes: An interactive tabletop game for natural history museums. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 2059–2068 (2012).
181. Hornecker, E.: “I don’t understand it either, but it is cool” - Visitor interactions with a multi-touch table in a museum. *2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008*. pp. 113–120 (2008).
182. Houben, S. et al.: ActivitySpace: Managing Device Ecologies in an Activity-Centric Configuration Space. *Proceedings of the Ninth ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 119–128 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2014).
183. Hsiao, C.-H. et al.: To move or not to move: A comparison between steerable versus fixed focus region paradigms in multi- resolution tabletop display systems. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 153–161 (2009).
184. Hsiao, H.-C. et al.: The evaluation of operating posture in typing the QWERTY keyboard on PDA, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-76649098845&partnerID=40&md5=6d32b05d2c72eec7bd2929f59d223e89>, (2009).
185. Hu, T.-T. et al.: i-m-Top: An interactive multi-resolution tabletop system accommodating to multi-resolution human vision. *2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008*. pp. 177–180 (2008).

186. Hunter, S. et al.: MemTable: An integrated system for capture and recall of shared histories in group workspaces. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 3305–3314 (2011).
187. Huq Khandkar, S. et al.: Tool support for testing complex multi-touch gestures. *ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010*. pp. 59–68 (2010).
188. Huq Khandkar, S., Maurer, F.: A language to define multi-touch interactions. *ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010*. pp. 269–270 (2010).
189. Hutterer, P. et al.: Supporting mixed presence groupware in tabletop applications. *Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06*. pp. 63–70 (2006).
190. Hyun, S.C. et al.: Tea table mediator: A multimodal ambient display on the table exploiting five senses convergence, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-38149069686&partnerID=40&md5=f1dd0bd48556a8321f2354c6c8c43786>, (2007).
191. Haas, B., Echtler, F.: Task assignment and visualization on tabletop and smartphones. *ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 311–316 (2014).
192. Ioannou, A. et al.: Dialogue, knowledge work and tabletops: Lessons from preservice teacher education, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84903733075&partnerID=40&md5=24b43a5d355b3c4b4c93045b9f978583>, (2014).

193. Isenberg, P., Fisher, D.: Cambiera: Collaborative Tabletop Visual Analytics. Proceedings of the ACM 2011 Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work. pp. 581–582 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2011).
194. Ishii, H., Kobayashi, M.: ClearBoard: A Seamless Medium for Shared Drawing and Conversation with Eye Contact. Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. pp. 525–532 ACM, New York, NY, USA (1992).
195. Itou, J. et al.: Development of communication support system at mealtimes using tabletop interface, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84880689917&partnerID=40&md5=78725106434e22cc1b2082f8415107ec>, (2013).
196. Iwasaki, S. et al.: Towards computer-supported face-to-face knowledge sharing. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 911–916 (2006).
197. Izadi, S. et al.: C-Slate: A multi-touch and object recognition system for remote collaboration using horizontal surfaces. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 3–10 (2007).
198. Izadi, S. et al.: Experiences with building a thin form-factor touch and tangible tabletop. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 181–184 (2008).
199. Jackson, D. et al.: FiberBoard - Compact multi-touch display using channeled light. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 25–28 (2009).

200. Jamil, I. et al.: Group interaction on interactive multi-touch tables by children in India. ACM International Conference Proceeding Series. pp. 224–227 (2012).
201. Jansen, Y. et al.: MudPad: Tactile feedback and haptic texture overlay for touch surfaces. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 11–14 (2010).
202. Javed, W. et al.: Evaluating physical/virtual occlusion management techniques for horizontal displays, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-80052789249&partnerID=40&md5=389ac0157ba0c344e3e1b28f2f75e004>, (2011).
203. Jetter, H.-C. et al.: Materializing the query with facet-streams - A hybrid surface for collaborative search on tabletops. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 3013–3022 (2011).
204. Jiang, H. et al.: System design for the WeSpace: Linking personal devices to a table-centered multi-user, multi-surface environment. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 97–104 (2008).
205. Jin, Y. et al.: A multi-display system for deploying and controlling home automation. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 399–401 (2014).
206. Jokisch, M. et al.: Usability testing of the interaction of novices with a multi-touch table in semi public space, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-79960312262&partnerID=40&md5=b7b4553a82f53a1eeb7038c7ebc235b3>, (2011).

207. Jun, L. et al.: Improving digital handoff in shared tabletop workspaces. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 9–16 (2008).
208. Kakehi, Y. et al.: Tablescape plus: Interactive small-sized vertical displays on a horizontal tabletop display. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 155–162 (2007).
209. Kakehi, Y. et al.: Transparent tabletop interface for multiple users on Lumisight Table. Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06. pp. 143–150 (2006).
210. Kakehi, Y., Naemura, T.: UlteriorScape: Interactive optical superimposition on a view-dependent tabletop display. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 189–192 (2008).
211. Kaltenbrunner, M.: reactIVision and TUIO: A Tangible Tabletop Toolkit. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 9–16 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2009).
212. Kammer, D. et al.: Towards a formalization of multi-touch gestures. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 49–58 (2010).
213. Kane, S.K. et al.: Bonfire: A nomadic system for hybrid laptop-tabletop interaction. UIST 2009 - Proceedings of the 22nd Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. pp. 129–138 (2009).

214. Kaneider, D. et al.: Automatic annotation placement for interactive maps. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 61–70 (2013).
215. Karahalios, K., Bergstrom, T.: Visualizing audio in group table conversation. Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06. pp. 131–132 (2006).
216. Kaschny, M. et al.: Medical education on an interactive surface. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 267–268 (2010).
217. Khademi, M. et al.: An assistive tabletop keyboard for stroke rehabilitation. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 337–340 (2013).
218. Khalilbeigi, M. et al.: ObjecTop: Occlusion awareness of physical objects on interactive tabletops. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 255–264 (2013).
219. Kharrufa, A. et al.: Digital mysteries: Designing for learning at the tabletop. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 197–206 (2010).
220. Kharrufa, A. et al.: Extending tabletop application design to the classroom. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 115–124 (2013).

221. Kharrufa, A. et al.: Tables in the wild: Lessons learned from a large-scale multi-tabletop deployment. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 1021–1030 (2013).
222. Kim, D. et al.: Multi-touch authentication on tabletops. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 1093–1102 (2010).
223. Kim, J. et al.: Process pad: A multimedia multi-touch learning platform. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 272–273 (2011).
224. Kim, K.T. et al.: Hugin: A framework for awareness and coordination in mixed-presence collaborative information visualization. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 231–240 (2010).
225. Kim, W. et al.: A steerable tangible interface and its evaluation, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-78449265425&partnerID=40&md5=0f69c147fabb03ff857b93314cc07d0a>, (2010).
226. Kimura, S. et al.: EmiTable: A tabletop surface pervaded with imperceptible metadata. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 189–192 (2007).
227. Kipp, M., Nguyen, Q.: Multitouch puppetry: Creating coordinated 3D motion for an articulated arm. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 147–156 (2010).

228. Kirk, D.S. et al.: At home with surface computing? Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 159–168 (2012).
229. Kirk, D.S. et al.: Opening up the family archive. Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, CSCW. pp. 261–270 (2010).
230. Kleimola, J. et al.: TouchModifier: Enriched multi-touch gestures for tablet browsers. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 445–448 (2013).
231. Klinkhammer, D. et al.: Adaptive personal territories for co-located tabletop interaction in a museum setting. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 107–110 (2011).
232. Klinkhammer, D. et al.: Body panning - A movement-based navigation technique for large interactive surfaces. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 37–40 (2013).
233. Klokmoose, C.N. et al.: Bullseye: High-precision fiducial tracking for table-based tangible interaction. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 269–278 (2014).
234. Ko, S. et al.: Applying mobile device soft keyboards to collaborative multitouch tabletop displays: Design and evaluation. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 130–139 (2011).

235. Koike, H. et al.: AquaTop display: Interactive water surface for viewing and manipulating information in a bathroom. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 155–164 (2013).
236. Koike, H. et al.: Information layout and interaction on virtual and real rotary tables. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 95–102 (2007).
237. Koike, H. et al.: Transparent 2-d markers on an LCD tabletop system. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 163–172 (2009).
238. Kojima, M. et al.: Augmented coliseum: An augmented game environment with small vehicles. Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06. pp. 3–8 (2006).
239. Korkalo, O., Honkamaa, P.: Construction and evaluation of multi-touch screens using multiple cameras located on the side of the display. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 83–90 (2010).
240. Kosunen, I. et al.: Comparing eye and gesture pointing to drag items on large screens. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 425–428 (2013).
241. Koura, S. et al.: Amazing forearm as an innovative interaction device and data storage on tabletop display. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 383–386 (2012).

242. Kratz, S. et al.: PointPose demo: Using a depth camera for finger pose estimation on touch-based mobile devices. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 321–324 (2013).
243. Kratz, S. et al.: PointPose: Finger pose estimation for touch input on mobile devices using a depth sensor. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 223–230 (2013).
244. Krause, M. et al.: Advancing large interactive surfaces for use in the real world. *Adv. Human-Computer Interact.* 2010, (2010).
245. Kray, C. et al.: Group coordination and negotiation through spatial proximity regions around mobile devices on augmented tabletops. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 1–8 (2008).
246. Krzywinski, A. et al.: Digital board games: Peripheral activity eludes ennui. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11.* pp. 280–281 (2011).
247. Krzywinski, A. et al.: RoboTable: A tabletop framework for tangible interaction with robots in a mixed reality. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series.* pp. 107–114 (2009).
248. Kubicki, S. et al.: RFID-driven situation awareness on TangiSense, a table interacting with tangible objects. *Pers. Ubiquitous Comput.* 16, 8, 1079–1094 (2012).

249. Kuikkaniemi, K. et al.: Introducing Kupla UI: A generic interactive wall user interface based on physics modeled spherical content widgets. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 301–304 (2013).
250. Kunz, A. et al.: Making tabletop interaction accessible for blind users. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 327–332 (2014).
251. Ladha, C. et al.: TouchBridge: Augmenting active tangibles for camera-based multi-touch surfaces. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 271–272 (2010).
252. Langner, R. et al.: PhysicsBox: Playful educational tabletop games. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 273–274 (2010).
253. Lapides, P. et al.: The 3D tractus: A three-dimensional drawing board. Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06. pp. 169–176 (2006).
254. Lee, G. et al.: PhoneCog: A device authentication method on interactive tabletops using color sequence pattern recognition. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 309–312 (2013).
255. Lee, H. et al.: Effect of aesthetic design elements on tabletop display interaction, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-79960289833&partnerID=40&md5=4c1c38b48002d2f43965ef310317b131>, (2011).

256. Lee, H.-C., Lee, G.: Prototype of operator interface for monitoring and controlling in the mobile control room. *ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 305–308 (2013).
257. Lee, S., Hua, H.: Effects of viewing conditions and rotation methods in a collaborative tabletop AR environment. *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.* 17, 9, 1245–1258 (2011).
258. Lee, T., Häfllerer, T.: Multithreaded hybrid feature tracking for markerless augmented reality. *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.* 15, 3, 355–368 (2009).
259. Leithinger, D., Haller, M.: Improving menu interaction for cluttered tabletop setups with user-drawn path menus. *Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems*. pp. 121–128 (2007).
260. Leitner, J. et al.: Physical interfaces for tabletop games. *Comput. Entertain.* 7, 4, (2009).
261. Leitner, J., Haller, M.: Geckos: Combining magnets and pressure images to enable new tangible-object design and interaction. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 2985–2994 (2011).
262. Lim, J.M. et al.: Perceived intensity of click sensation for small touchscreen devices. *ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 343–346 (2012).

263. Lindlbauer, D. et al.: Perceptual grouping: Selection assistance for digital sketching. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 51–60 (2013).
264. Lissermann, R. et al.: Permulin: Mixed-focus collaboration on multi-view tabletops. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 3191–3200 (2014).
265. Liu, J., Shi, Y.: UMeeting, an efficient co-located meeting system on the large-scale tabletop, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-79960300390&partnerID=40&md5=5f443049a873ff3c138d993067ece7c1>, (2011).
266. Liu, S. et al.: MoClo planner: Supporting innovation in bio-design through multi-touch interaction. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 307–310 (2012).
267. Loizides, F. et al.: Collaboratively creating a thematic repository using interactive tabletop technology, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84867671041&partnerID=40&md5=fd8afbcf1c4ba8f9ea2abc477fb0331>, (2012).
268. Lopes, P. et al.: Augmenting touch interaction through acoustic sensing. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 53–56 (2011).
269. Lucero, A. et al.: Augmenting mood boards: Flexible and intuitive interaction in the context of the design studio. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 147–154 (2007).

270. Lucero, A., Martens, J.-B.: Supporting the creation of mood boards: Industrial design in mixed reality. Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06. pp. 127–128 (2006).
271. Lundström, C. et al.: Multi-touch table system for medical visualization: Application to orthopedic surgery planning. IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph. 17, 12, 1775–1784 (2011).
272. Luo, J.-F. et al.: A novel F-Pad for handwriting force information acquisition, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84864996144&partnerID=40&md5=48c3a40e8312de94ffa665bfb2d49885>, (2012).
273. Møllers, M. et al.: TaPS widgets: Tangible control over private spaces on interactive tabletops. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 773–780 (2011).
274. Møllers, M., Jan, B.: TaPS widgets: Interacting with tangible private spaces. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 75–78 (2011).
275. Maher, M.L., Kim, M.J.: Studying designers using a tabletop system for 3D design with a focus on the impact on spatial cognition. Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06. pp. 105–112 (2006).
276. Al Mahmud, A. et al.: Affective tabletop game: A new gaming experience for children. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 44–51 (2007).

277. Malacria, S., Lecolinet, E.: Espace De CaractÉrisation Du Stylo NumÉrique. Proceedings of the 20th International Conference of the Association Francophone D'Interaction Homme-Machine. pp. 177–184 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2008).
278. Maldonado, R.M. et al.: Collaborative concept mapping at the tabletop. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 207–210 (2010).
279. Mansor, E.I.: Evaluation of preschool children's fantasy play in the tabletop environment. Proceedings of the 24th Australian Computer-Human Interaction Conference, OzCHI 2012. pp. 361–370 (2012).
280. Mansor, E.I. et al.: Little fingers on the tabletop: A usability evaluation in the Kindergarten. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 93–96 (2008).
281. Marco, J. et al.: Bridging the gap between children and tabletop designers. Proceedings of IDC2010: The 9th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children. pp. 98–107 (2010).
282. Marco, J. et al.: Playing with toys on a tabletop active surface. Proceedings of IDC2010: The 9th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children. pp. 296–299 (2010).
283. Marinos, D. et al.: Multitouch navigation in zoomable user interfaces for large diagrams. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 275–276 (2010).

284. Marquardt, N. et al.: Designing user-, hand-, and handpart-aware tabletop interactions with the TOUCHID toolkit. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 21–30 (2011).
285. Marquardt, N. et al.: The Haptic Tabletop Puck: Tactile feedback for interactive tabletops. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 85–92 (2009).
286. Marquardt, N. et al.: What caused that touch? Expressive interaction with a surface through fiduciary-tagged gloves. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 139–142 (2010).
287. Marshall, P. et al.: Rethinking “Multi-user”: An in-the-wild study of how groups approach a walk-up-and-use tabletop interface. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 3033–3042 (2011).
288. Marshall, P. et al.: When the fingers do the talking: A study of group participation with varying constraints to a tabletop interface. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 33–40 (2008).
289. Martens, J.-B. et al.: Design TeamMate : A platform to support design activities of small teams. Proceedings of the Workshop on Advanced Visual Interfaces AVI. pp. 119–126 (2010).
290. Martinez Maldonado, R. et al.: Orchestrating a Multi-tabletop Classroom: From Activity Design to Enactment and Reflection. Proceedings of the 2012 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 119–128 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2012).

291. Martinez, D. et al.: ReflectoSlates: Personal overlays for tabletops combining camera-projector systems and retroreflective materials. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 2071–2076 (2014).
292. Martinez, R. et al.: Who did what? Who said that? Collaid: An environment for capturing traces of collaborative learning at the tabletop. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11*. pp. 172–181 (2011).
293. Matoba, Y. et al.: Enhanced interaction with physical toys. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11*. pp. 57–60 (2011).
294. Matsuda, M. et al.: Behavioral analysis of asymmetric information sharing on Lumisight Table. *Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06*. pp. 113–119 (2006).
295. Matulic, F., Norrie, M.: Empirical evaluation of uni- and bimodal pen and touch interaction properties on digital tabletops. *ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 143–152 (2012).
296. Matulic, F., Norrie, M.C.: Pen and touch gestural environment for document editing on interactive tabletops. *ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 41–50 (2013).
297. Matulic, F., Norrie, M.C.: Supporting active reading on pen and touch-operated tabletops. *Proceedings of the Workshop on Advanced Visual Interfaces AVI*. pp. 612–619 (2012).

298. Mauney, D. et al.: Cultural similarities and differences in user-defined gestures for touchscreen user interfaces. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 4015–4020 (2010).
299. Mazalek, A. et al.: The TViews table in the home. *Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems*. pp. 52–59 (2007).
300. McAdam, C., Brewster, S.: Distal tactile feedback for text entry on tabletop computers. *People and Computers XXIII Celebrating People and Technology - Proceedings of HCI 2009*. pp. 504–511 (2009).
301. McAdam, C., Brewster, S.: Mobile phones as a tactile display for tabletop typing. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11*. pp. 276–277 (2011).
302. McAdam, C., Brewster, S.: Multimodal feedback for tabletop interactions. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11*. pp. 274–275 (2011).
303. McAdam, C., Brewster, S.: Using mobile phones to interact with tabletop computers. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11*. pp. 232–241 (2011).
304. McGrath, W. et al.: Branch-explore-merge: Facilitating real-time revision control in collaborative visual exploration. *ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 235–244 (2012).

305. Mendes, D. et al.: Hands-on interactive tabletop LEGO application. ACM International Conference Proceeding Series. (2011).
306. Mercier, E. et al.: ITS in the classroom: Perspectives on using a multi-touch classroom. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 375–378 (2012).
307. Meyer, T., Schmidt, D.: IdWristbands: IR-based User Identification on Multi-touch Surfaces. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 277–278 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2010).
308. Mi, H. et al.: RoboTable: An infrastructure for intuitive interaction with mobile robots in a mixed-reality environment. Adv. Human-Computer Interact. 2012, (2012).
309. Mi, H., Sugimoto, M.: HATs: Interact using Height-Adjustable Tangibles in tabletop interfaces. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 71–74 (2011).
310. Micire, M. et al.: Analysis of Natural Gestures for Controlling Robot Teams on Multi-touch Tabletop Surfaces. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 41–48 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2009).
311. Miki, M. et al.: Optically hiding of tabletop information with polarized complementary image projection - Your shadow reveals it! Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 260–261 (2011).

312. Mikulecky, K. et al.: Exploring physical information cloth on a multitouch table. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 140–149 (2011).
313. Miura, M., Kunifuji, S.: A tabletop interface using controllable transparency glass for collaborative card-based creative activity, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-57749188858&partnerID=40&md5=2260cf9b140073744a77c2c897976609>, (2008).
314. Mohamed, K.A. et al.: Disoriented pen-gestures for identifying users around the tabletop without cameras and motion sensors. Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06. pp. 43–50 (2006).
315. Morawa, R. et al.: Combining timeline and graph visualization. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 345–350 (2014).
316. Morris, M.R. et al.: A field study of knowledge workers' use of interactive horizontal displays. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 105–112 (2008).
317. Morris, M.R. et al.: Individual audio channels with single display groupware: Effects on communication and task strategy. Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, CSCW. pp. 242–251 (2004).

318. Morris, M.R. et al.: Reading revisited: Evaluating the usability of digital display surfaces for active reading tasks. *Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems*. pp. 79–86 (2007).
319. Morris, M.R. et al.: Search on surfaces: Exploring the potential of interactive tabletops for collaborative search tasks. *Inf. Process. Manag.* 46, 6, 703–717 (2010).
320. Morris, M.R. et al.: TeamSearch: Comparing techniques for co-present collaborative search of digital media. *Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06*. pp. 97–104 (2006).
321. Morris, M.R. et al.: TeamTag: Exploring centralized replicated controls for Co-located tabletop groupware. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 1273–1282 (2006).
322. Morris, M.R. et al.: WeSearch: Supporting collaborative search and sensemaking on a tabletop display. *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, CSCW*. pp. 401–410 (2010).
323. Mostafapour, M., Hancock, M.: Exploring narrative gestures on digital surfaces. *ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 5–14 (2014).
324. Murata, Y. et al.: Design of shadows on the OHP metaphor-based presentation interface which visualizes a presenter's actions, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-79960319385&partnerID=40&md5=ddf8cd123d6a83032fa0dcf713c1e028>, (2011).

325. Murugappan, S. et al.: Extended multitouch: Recovering touch posture and differentiating users using a depth camera. *UIST'12 - Proceedings of the 25th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. pp. 487–496 (2012).
326. Müller-Tomfelde, C.: Investigating temporal-spatial characteristics of mouse and touch input, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-70449721445&partnerID=40&md5=6cc527c99cd530b0b1f056174a29ca6e>, (2009).
327. Müller-Tomfelde, C. et al.: Tilted tabletops: In between horizontal and vertical workspaces. *2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008*. pp. 49–56 (2008).
328. Müller-Tomfelde, C., Schremmer, C.: Touchers' and "mousers": Commonalities and differences in co-located collaboration with multiple input devices. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 1149–1152 (2008).
329. Myojin, S. et al.: Reminiscence park interface: Personal spaces to listen to songs with memories and diffusions and overlaps of their spaces. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11*. pp. 248–249 (2011).
330. Nacenta, M.A. et al.: The effects of interaction technique on coordination in tabletop groupware. *Proceedings - Graphics Interface*. pp. 191–198 (2007).
331. Nacenta, M.A. et al.: The LunchTable: A multi-user, multi-display system for information sharing in casual group interactions. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*. (2012).

332. Nacher, V. et al.: Exploring visual cues for intuitive communicability of touch gestures to pre-kindergarten children. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 159–162 (2014).
333. Nacher, V. et al.: Improving pre-kindergarten touch performance. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 163–166 (2014).
334. Nagamatsu, T. et al.: Multi-push display using 6-axis motion platform. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 65–68 (2014).
335. Nagel, T. et al.: Interactive exploration of geospatial network visualization. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 557–572 (2012).
336. Nakajima, K. et al.: FuSA Touch Display: A Furry and Scalable Multi-touch Display. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 35–44 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2011).
337. Nakakoji, K. et al.: Reproducing and re-experiencing the writing process in Japanese calligraphy. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 75–78 (2007).
338. Nass, C. et al.: Experiences in conceiving and prototyping a commercial business application using multi-touch technology. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 279–280 (2010).

339. Nebe, K. et al.: An investigation on requirements for co-located group-work using multitouch-, pen-based- and tangible-interaction, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-79960297168&partnerID=40&md5=8603bd623671179d82b86e9806082ad6>, (2011).
340. Negulescu, M. et al.: ZoomPointing revisited: Supporting mixed-resolution gesturing on interactive surfaces. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 150–153 (2011).
341. Ng, A. et al.: In the blink of an eye: Investigating latency perception during stylus interaction. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 1103–1112 (2014).
342. O'Hara, K. et al.: Blended interaction spaces for distributed team collaboration. ACM Trans. Comput. Interact. 18, 1, (2011).
343. O'Hara, K.: Interactivity and non-interactivity on tabletops. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 2611–2614 (2010).
344. Oh, H. et al.: The Digital Dream Lab: Tabletop puzzle blocks for exploring programmatic concepts. TEI 2013 - Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Tangible, Embedded and Embodied Interaction. pp. 51–56 (2013).
345. Okabe, H. et al.: Quantitative evaluation of an illusion of fingertip motion. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 327–330 (2012).

346. Okano, Y. et al.: A study on the application of dve to a mental support system for the aged segregated from family. Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06. pp. 17–23 (2006).
347. Okude, E., Kakehi, Y.: Rainterior: An interactive water display with illuminating raindrops. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 270–271 (2011).
348. Olafsdottir, H. et al.: Prospective motor control on tabletops: Planning grasp for multitouch interaction. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 2893–2902 (2014).
349. Olsen Jr., D.R. et al.: Spilling: Expanding hand held interaction to touch table displays. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 163–170 (2007).
350. Ortega, F.R. et al.: Exploring modeling language for multi-touch systems using Petri nets. ITS 2013 - Proc. 2013 ACM Int. Conf. Interact. Tabletops Surfaces. 361–364 (2013).
351. Oshima, R., Kakehi, Y.: Bounsight table: A view-dependent display with a single front projection. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 281–282 (2010).
352. Otto, M. et al.: Using scalable, interactive floor projection for production planning scenario. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 363–368 (2014).

353. Palleis, H. et al.: Designing for expert users on a large non-standard display. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 405–408 (2013).
354. Paluka, E., Collins, C.: Augmenting tandem language learning with the TandemTable. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 437–440 (2013).
355. Pantidi, N. et al.: Is the writing on the wall for tabletops?, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-70349581945&partnerID=40&md5=49ca3eab28b5b09be1ad3acc82045426>, (2009).
356. Parker, J.K. et al.: Integrating point and touch for interaction with digital tabletop displays. IEEE Comput. Graph. Appl. 26, 5, 28–35 (2006).
357. Patel, S.N. et al.: The design and implementation of multi-player card games on multi-user interactive tabletop surfaces, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-35048867561&partnerID=40&md5=1db0ff20c6f2f7960a723df40a9a07a8>, (2004).
358. Patsoule, E.: Interactions around a multi-touch tabletop: A rapid ethnographic study in a museum, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84906498440&partnerID=40&md5=44c5874a27716706c5df07036141fc9b>, (2014).
359. Patten, J., Ishii, H.: Mechanical constraints as computational constraints in tabletop tangible interfaces. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 809–818 (2007).

360. Pauchet, A. et al.: Mutual awareness in collocated and distant collaborative tasks using shared interfaces, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-38049119405&partnerID=40&md5=1ff3d8d178a24e3675cb06cd7dfd767>, (2007).
361. Pauchet, A. et al.: TableTops: Worthwhile experiences of collocated and remote collaboration. *Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems*. pp. 27–34 (2007).
362. Pedersen, E.W., Hornbæk, K.: Tangible bots: Interaction with active tangibles in tabletop interfaces. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 2975–2984 (2011).
363. Pedersen, E.W., Hornbæk, K.: Tangible bots. *Proceedings of the 2011 annual conference on Human factors in computing systems - CHI '11*. p. 2975 ACM Press, New York, New York, USA (2011).
364. Perron, R., Laborie, F.: Augmented tablesps, an incentive for distributed collaboration. *Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06*. pp. 135–142 (2006).
365. Phillips, J.G. et al.: Forward/up directional incompatibilities during cursor placement within graphical user interfaces. *Ergonomics*. 48, 6, 722–735 (2005).
366. Piazza, T.O., Fjeld, M.: Ortholumen: Using light for direct tabletop input. *Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems*. pp. 193–196 (2007).

367. Pinelle, D. et al.: TableTrays: Temporary, reconfigurable work surfaces for tabletop groupware. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 41–48 (2008).
368. Plasencia, D.M. et al.: MisTable: Reach-through personal screens for tabletops. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 3493–3502 (2014).
369. Potvin, B. et al.: Comparing horizontal and vertical surfaces for a collaborative design task. *Adv. Human-Computer Interact.* 2012, (2012).
370. Pratte, S. et al.: Exploring multi-surface interactions in retail environments. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 393–398 (2014).
371. Prieto, L.P. et al.: Studying teacher cognitive load in multi-tabletop classrooms using mobile eye-tracking. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 339–344 (2014).
372. Pyryeskin, D. et al.: Comparing elicited gestures to designer-created gestures for selection above a multitouch surface. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 1–10 (2012).
373. Pyryeskin, D. et al.: Extending interactions into hoverspace using reflected light. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 262–263 (2011).

374. Pyykkö, M. et al.: Activity pad: Teaching tool combining tangible interaction and affordance of paper. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 135–144 (2013).
375. Qin, Y. et al.: PiMarking: Co-located collaborative digital annotating on large tabletops. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 395–398 (2012).
376. Qin, Y. et al.: uEmergency: A collaborative system for emergency management on very large tabletop. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 399–402 (2012).
377. Qin, Y. et al.: uTable: A Seamlessly Tiled, Very Large Interactive Tabletop System. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 244–245 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2011).
378. Riddle, R. et al.: The effect of egocentric body movements on users' navigation performance and spatial memory in zoomable user interfaces. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 23–32 (2013).
379. Rauterberg, M. et al.: BUILD-IT: A Planning Tool for Construction and Design. CHI 98 Conference Summary on Human Factors in Computing Systems. pp. 177–178 ACM, New York, NY, USA (1998).

380. Rekimoto, J.: SmartSkin: An Infrastructure for Freehand Manipulation on Interactive Surfaces. Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. pp. 113–120 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2002).
381. Richert, D. et al.: Arrange-a-space: Tabletop interfaces and gender collaboration. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 1495–1500 (2011).
382. Rick, J. et al.: Lo-Fi prototyping to design interactive-tabletop applications for children. Proceedings of IDC2010: The 9th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children. pp. 138–146 (2010).
383. Rick, J.: Performance optimizations of virtual keyboards for stroke-based text entry on a touch-based tabletop. UIST 2010 - 23rd ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. pp. 77–86 (2010).
384. Rick, J., Rogers, Y.: From DigiQuilt to DigiTile: Adapting educational technology to a multi-touch table. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 73–80 (2008).
385. Riemann, J. et al.: PeriTop: Extending back-projected tabletops with top-projected peripheral displays. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 349–352 (2013).
386. Ringel, M. et al.: Release, relocate, reorient, resize: Fluid techniques for document sharing on multi-user interactive tables. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 1441–1444 (2004).

387. Rittenbruch, M.: CubIT: Large-scale multi-user presentation and collaboration. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 441–444 (2013).
388. Rodrigues, F.M. et al.: Bancada: Using mobile zoomable lenses for geospatial exploration. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 409–414 (2014).
389. Rogers, Y. et al.: Extending tabletops to support flexible collaborative interactions. Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06. pp. 71–78 (2006).
390. Rubart, J.: A cooperative multitouch scrum task board for synchronous face-to-face collaboration. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 387–392 (2014).
391. Ryall, K. et al.: Experiences with and observations of direct-touch tabletops. Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06. pp. 89–96 (2006).
392. Ryall, K. et al.: Exploring the effects of group size and table size on interactions with tabletop shared-display groupware. Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, CSCW. pp. 284–293 (2004).

393. Sakata, N. et al.: Communication analysis of remote collaboration system with arm scaling function, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84880669460&partnerID=40&md5=4c1aa48615577a7bf816576f15fe5d8b>, (2013).
394. Sakurai, S. et al.: A visibility control system for collaborative digital table. *Pers. Ubiquitous Comput.* 13, 8, 619–632 (2009).
395. Sakurai, S. et al.: Visibility control using revolving polarizer. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 161–168 (2008).
396. Salehi, S. et al.: Comparing the effect of interactive tabletops and desktops on students' cognition. *ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 391–394 (2012).
397. Salvador-Herranz, G. et al.: Use of tangible marks with optical frame interactive surfaces in collaborative design scenarios based on blended spaces, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84921713040&partnerID=40&md5=c166572f3c795d5bced2aa0fd24668ba>, (2014).
398. Sangsuriyachot, N. et al.: Novel interaction techniques by combining hand and foot gestures on tabletop environments. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11*. pp. 268–269 (2011).

399. Sato, T. et al.: Implementation and evaluations of vision-based finger flicking gesture recognition for tabletops. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 137–144 (2008).
400. Savidis, A., Lilis, Y.: Player-defined configurable soft dialogues: An extensible input system for tabletop games. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 287–288 (2010).
401. Schiavo, G. et al.: Evaluating an automatic rotation feature in collaborative tabletop workspaces. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 1315–1320 (2011).
402. Schick, A. et al.: Extending Touch: Towards Interaction with Large-scale Surfaces. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 117–124 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2009).
403. Schiphorst, T. et al.: Applying an aesthetic framework of touch for table-top interactions. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 71–74 (2007).
404. Schmidt, D. et al.: IdLenses: Dynamic personal areas on shared surfaces. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 131–134 (2010).
405. Schmidt, S. et al.: A set of multi-touch graph interaction techniques. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 113–116 (2010).

406. Schneider, B. et al.: Combinatorix: A tangible user interface that supports collaborative learning of probabilities. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 129–132 (2012).
407. Schneider, B.: Designing tabletop activities for inquiry-based learning: Lessons from phylogenetics, neuroscience and logistics. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 289–294 (2012).
408. Schneider, B. et al.: WALDEN: Multi-surface multi-touch simulation of climate change and species loss in Thoreau's Woods. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 387–390 (2012).
409. Schubert, M. et al.: Exploring the potential of tabletops for collaborative learning, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84862494674&partnerID=40&md5=1ca1f7abb02bf1116ff9f61485e987c3>, (2012).
410. Scott, S. et al.: Bridging private and shared interaction surfaces in co-located group settings. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 403–406 (2012).
411. Scott, S.D. et al.: Surface ghosts: Promoting awareness of transferred objects during pick-and-drop transfer in multi-surface environments. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 99–108 (2014).
412. Scott, S.D. et al.: Territoriality in collaborative tabletop workspaces. Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, CSCW. pp. 294–303 (2004).

413. Seifert, J. et al.: From the private into the public: privacy-respecting mobile interaction techniques for sharing data on surfaces. *Pers. Ubiquitous Comput.* 1–14 (2013).
414. Seifert, J. et al.: MobiSurf: Improving co-located collaboration through integrating mobile devices and interactive surfaces. *ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces.* pp. 51–60 (2012).
415. Seifried, T. et al.: CRISTAL: A Collaborative Home Media and Device Controller Based on a Multi-touch Display. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces.* pp. 33–40 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2009).
416. Seiger, R. et al.: A resilient interaction concept for process management on tabletops for cyber-physical systems, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84904111308&partnerID=40&md5=642bdef58db644236cd23cfcc8cf091>, (2014).
417. Selim, E., Maurer, F.: EGrid: Supporting the control room operation of a utility company with multi-touch tables. *ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010.* pp. 289–290 (2010).
418. Seto, M. et al.: Investigating menu discoverability on a digital tabletop in a public setting. *ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces.* pp. 71–80 (2012).
419. Seyed, T. et al.: Eliciting usable gestures for multi-display environments. *ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces.* pp. 41–50 (2012).

420. Seyed, T. et al.: SkyHunter: A multi-surface environment for supporting oil and gas exploration. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 15–22 (2013).
421. Shaer, O. et al.: Enhancing genomic learning through tabletop interaction. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 2817–2826 (2011).
422. Shaer, O. et al.: G-nome surfer: A tabletop interface for collaborative exploration of genomic data. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 1427–1436 (2010).
423. Shaer, O. et al.: The design, development, and deployment of a tabletop interface for collaborative exploration of genomic data. *Int. J. Hum. Comput. Stud.* 70, 10, 746–764 (2012).
424. Shen, C. et al.: CoR 2Ds: Context-rooted rotatable draggables for tabletop interaction. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 1781–1784 (2005).
425. Shen, C. et al.: DiamondSpin: An extensible toolkit for around-the-table interaction. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 167–174 (2004).
426. Shen, C.: From clicks to touches: Enabling face-to-face shared social interface on multi-touch tabletops, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-38149065147&partnerID=40&md5=18f1c5d2cd90fde481d0f36028b8e2e2>, (2007).
427. Shen, C. et al.: Informing the design of direct-touch tabletops. *IEEE Comput. Graph. Appl.* 26, 5, 36–46 (2006).

428. Shen, C. et al.: UbiTable: Impromptu face-to-face collaboration on horizontal interactive surfaces, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-0142249929&partnerID=40&md5=60cd073bd44eced582b42d32bb38e50f>, (2003).
429. Sheridan, J.G. et al.: DIY design process for interactive surfaces. *People and Computers XXIII Celebrating People and Technology - Proceedings of HCI 2009*. pp. 485–493 (2009).
430. Sinclair, M. et al.: TouchMover: Actuated 3D touchscreen with haptic feedback. *ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 287–296 (2013).
431. Sinmai, K., Andras, P.: Mapping on surfaces: Supporting collaborative work using interactive tabletop, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84905922041&partnerID=40&md5=cd244cad62010c540bedf8ca2b6e2e01>, (2014).
432. Smeaton, A.F. et al.: Collaborative searching for video using the FÄ-schlÄjr system and a DiamondTouch table. *Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06*. pp. 151–158 (2006).
433. Smith, J.D. et al.: Low-cost malleable surfaces with multi-touch pressure sensitivity. *Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems*. pp. 205–208 (2007).
434. Song, H. et al.: PenLight: Combining a mobile projector and a digital pen for dynamic visual overlay. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 143–152 (2009).

435. Spindler, M. et al.: Going beyond the surface: Studying multi-layer interaction above the tabletop. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 1277–1286 (2012).
436. Spindler, M. et al.: PaperLens: Advanced magic lens interaction above the tabletop. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 69–76 (2009).
437. Spindler, M.: Spatially aware tangible display interaction in a tabletop environment. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 277–282 (2012).
438. Spindler, M. et al.: Tangible views for information visualization. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 157–166 (2010).
439. Spindler, M. et al.: Towards making graphical user interface palettes tangible. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 291–292 (2010).
440. Spindler, M. et al.: Use your head: Tangible windows for 3D information spaces in a tabletop environment. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 245–254 (2012).
441. Steimle, J. et al.: Physical and digital media usage patterns on interactive tabletop surfaces. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 167–176 (2010).

442. Stephen G. Kobourov, Kyriacos Pavlou, Justin Cappos, Michael Stepp, Mark Miles, A.W.: Collaboration with DiamondTouch, http://download.springer.com/static/pdf/792/chp%3A10.1007%2F11555261_86.pdf?auth66=1393579126_63487a47eef23f21e29271770dcfd4f7&ext=.pdf.
443. Strothoff, S. et al.: Triangle cursor: Interactions with objects above the tabletop. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 111–119 (2011).
444. Strothoff, S., Hinrichs, K.: Adding context to multi-touch region selections. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 397–400 (2013).
445. Suga, C., Siiio, I.: Anamorphicons: An extended display with a cylindrical mirror. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 242–243 (2011).
446. Sugimoto, M. et al.: RoboTable2: A novel programming environment using physical robots on a tabletop platform. ACM International Conference Proceeding Series. (2011).
447. Sultanum, N. et al.: Navigating and annotating 3D geological outcrops through multi-touch interaction. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 345–348 (2013).

448. Sultanum, N. et al.: “Point it, split it, peel it, view it”: Techniques for interactive reservoir visualization on tabletops. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS’11. pp. 192–201 (2011).
449. Sultanum, N. et al.: Touching the depths: Introducing tabletop interaction to reservoir engineering. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 105–108 (2010).
450. Sun, M. et al.: Enhancing naturalness of pen-and-tablet drawing through context sensing. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS’11. pp. 83–86 (2011).
451. Sun, M. et al.: The impact of candidate display styles for Japanese and Chinese characters on input efficiency. *Int. J. Hum. Comput. Stud.* 71, 3, 236–249 (2013).
452. Tabard, A. et al.: A case study of object and occlusion management on the eLabBench, a mixed physical/digital tabletop. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 251–254 (2013).
453. Tabard, A. et al.: The eLabBench in the Wild: Supporting Exploration in a Molecular Biology Lab. Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. pp. 3051–3060 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2012).
454. Tabard, A. et al.: The eLabBench: An interactive tabletop system for the biology laboratory. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS’11. pp. 202–211 (2011).

455. Takashima, K. et al.: TransformTable: A self-actuated shape-changing digital table. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 179–187 (2013).
456. Takeoka, Y. et al.: Z-touch: An infrastructure for 3D gesture interaction in the proximity of tabletop surfaces. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 91–94 (2010).
457. Takeuchi, Y., Sugimoto, M.: An immersive surface for 3D interactions. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 359–362 (2012).
458. Tang, A. et al.: Collaborative Coupling over Tabletop Displays. Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. pp. 1181–1190 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2006).
459. Tang, A. et al.: VisTACO: Visualizing tabletop collaboration. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 29–38 (2010).
460. Tashman, C.S., Edwards, W.K.: LiquidText: A flexible, multitouch environment to support active reading. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 3285–3294 (2011).
461. Tateyama, H. et al.: 3D tabletop user interface using virtual elastic objects. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 283–288 (2014).

462. Terrenghi, L. et al.: Affordances for manipulation of physical versus digital media on interactive surfaces. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 1157–1166 (2007).
463. Toney, A., Thomas, B.H.: Applying reach in direct manipulation user interfaces. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*. pp. 393–396 (2006).
464. Toney, A., Thomas, B.H.: Considering reach in tangible and table top design. *Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06*. pp. 57–58 (2006).
465. Tong, L. et al.: Interactive surface composition based on arduino in multi-display environments. *ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 369–374 (2014).
466. Tory, M., Kincaid, R.: Comparing physical, overlay, and touch screen parameter controls. *ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 91–100 (2013).
467. Tournet, J. et al.: Comparing visual feedback techniques for object transfer between private and shared surfaces. *ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 377–380 (2013).
468. Tozser, M. et al.: Re-collision: A collision reconstruction forensics tabletop interface. *ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 81–84 (2012).

469. Tse, E. et al.: Making 3D content accessible for teachers. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 125–134 (2013).
470. Tse, E. et al.: Multimodal split view tabletop interaction over existing applications. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 129–136 (2007).
471. Tsimeris, J.: Achieving soft and directly deformable interaction on tabletop interactive surfaces. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 475–480 (2013).
472. Tsimeris, J. et al.: ForceForm: A dynamically deformable interactive surface. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 175–178 (2013).
473. Tu, H. et al.: A comparative evaluation of finger and pen stroke gestures. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 1287–1296 (2012).
474. Tu, T., Goh, W.B.: Using CCD Moiré pattern analysis to implement Pressure-sensitive touch surfaces, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-70349335817&partnerID=40&md5=daf66a8e2c0baa8fa7fbfa4383877c20>, (2009).
475. Tuddenham, P. et al.: Graspables revisited: Multi-touch vs. tangible input for tabletop displays in acquisition and manipulation tasks. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 2223–2232 (2010).

476. Tuddenham, P. et al.: WebSurface: An interface for co-located collaborative information gathering. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 181–188 (2009).
477. Tuddenham, P., Robinson, P.: Distributed tabletops: Supporting remote and mixed-presence tabletop collaboration. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 19–26 (2007).
478. Tuddenham, P., Robinson, P.: T3: Rapid prototyping of high-resolution and mixed-presence tabletop applications. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 11–18 (2007).
479. Tyng, K.S. et al.: Visual application in multi-touch tabletop for mathematics learning: A preliminary study, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-81255203763&partnerID=40&md5=a6eb3e6c0a51d4025475ab231bfbcd77>, (2011).
480. Ullmer, B., Ishii, H.: The metaDESK: Models and Prototypes for Tangible User Interfaces. Proceedings of the 10th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. pp. 223–232 ACM, New York, NY, USA (1997).
481. Valdes, C. et al.: A collaborative environment for engaging novices in scientific inquiry. ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 109–118 (2012).
482. Valkov, D. et al.: 2D touching of 3D stereoscopic objects. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 1353–1362 (2011).

483. Vanacken, D. et al.: Ghosts in the interface: Meta-user interface visualizations as guides for multi-touch interaction. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 81–84 (2008).
484. Vandeputte, B. et al.: Interactive sensemaking in authorship networks. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11. pp. 246–247 (2011).
485. Vandoren, P. et al.: DIP - IT: Digital infrared painting on an interactive table. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 2901–2906 (2008).
486. Vandoren, P. et al.: FluidPaint: An Interactive Digital Painting System Using Real Wet Brushes. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 53–56 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2009).
487. Vandoren, P. et al.: IntuPaint: Bridging the gap between physical and digital painting. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 65–72 (2008).
488. Vennelakanti, R. et al.: Counting on your fingertips - An exploration and analysis of actions in the rich touch space. ACM International Conference Proceeding Series. pp. 20–28 (2011).
489. Virolainen, A. et al.: Cool interaction with calm technologies - Experimenting with ice as a multitouch surface. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 15–18 (2010).

490. Vishkaie, R.S., Levy, R.: Perception and reality: Exploring urban planners' vision on GIS tasks for multi-touch displays. *ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 265–270 (2012).
491. Vlaming, L. et al.: Integrating 2D mouse emulation with 3D manipulation for visualizations on a multi-touch table. *ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010*. pp. 221–230 (2010).
492. Voelker, S. et al.: An evaluation of state switching methods for indirect touch systems. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 745–754 (2013).
493. Voelker, S. et al.: An interaction model for grasp-aware tangibles on interactive surfaces. *ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 279–282 (2014).
494. Voelker, S. et al.: Dynamic portals: A lightweight metaphor for fast object transfer on interactive surfaces. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11*. pp. 158–161 (2011).
495. Voelker, S. et al.: Understanding flicking on curved surfaces. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 189–198 (2012).
496. Vogel, D., Casiez, G.: Hand occlusion on a multi-touch tabletop. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 2307–2316 (2012).

497. Volda, S. et al.: Getting practical with interactive tabletop displays: Designing for dense data, “fat fingers,” diverse interactions, and face-to-face collaboration. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 109–116 (2009).
498. Vonach, E. et al.: ACTO: A modular actuated tangible user interface object. ITS 2014 - Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 259–268 (2014).
499. Wagner, J. et al.: BiTouch and BiPad: Designing bimanual interaction for hand-held tablets. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 2317–2326 (2012).
500. Waldner, M. et al.: Display-adaptive window management for irregular surfaces. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces - ITS '11. p. 222 ACM Press, New York, New York, USA (2011).
501. Wallace, J.R., Scott, S.D.: Contextual design considerations for co-located, collaborative tables. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 57–64 (2008).
502. Wang, F. et al.: Detecting and leveraging finger orientation for interaction with direct-touch surfaces. UIST 2009 - Proceedings of the 22nd Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. pp. 23–32 (2009).

503. Wang, X., Maurer, F.: Tabletop agileplanner: A tabletop-based project planning tool for agile software development teams. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 121–128 (2008).
504. Wang, Y. et al.: Exploring the effect of display size on pointing performance. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 389–392 (2013).
505. Warnecke, T. et al.: Collaborative learning through cooperative design using a multitouch table, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-80052817303&partnerID=40&md5=69e40c446e5345dfb3faba8794718bf1>, (2011).
506. Watanabe, Y. et al.: The deformable workspace: A membrane between real and virtual space. 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human Computer System, TABLETOP 2008. pp. 145–152 (2008).
507. Watson, D. et al.: Deconstructing the touch experience. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 199–208 (2013).
508. Weiss, M. et al.: BendDesk: Dragging across the curve. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 1–10 (2010).
509. Weiss, M. et al.: Madgets: Actuating widgets on interactive tabletops. UIST 2010 - 23rd ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. pp. 293–302 (2010).

510. Weiss, M. et al.: Rendering physical effects in tabletop controls. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 3009–3012 (2011).
511. Wesugi, S., Miwa, Y.: “Lazy Susan” communication system for remote, spatial and physical collaborative works. Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP’06. pp. 35–42 (2006).
512. Wigdor, D. et al.: Living with a tabletop: Analysis and observations of long term office use of a multi-touch table. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 60–67 (2007).
513. Wigdor, D. et al.: Perception of elementary graphical elements in tabletop and multi-surface environments. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 473–482 (2007).
514. Wigdor, D. et al.: Table-centric Interactive Spaces for Real-time Collaboration. Proceedings of the Working Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces. pp. 103–107 ACM, New York, NY, USA (2006).
515. Williams, C. et al.: TZee: Exploiting the lighting properties of multi-touch tabletops for tangible 3D interactions. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 1363–1372 (2011).
516. Wilson, A.D.: Depth-sensing video cameras for 3D tangible tabletop interaction. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 201–204 (2007).

517. Wilson, A.D.: Simulating grasping behavior on an imaging interactive surface. ITS 2009 - The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Proceedings. pp. 125–132 (2009).
518. Wilson, A.D.: Using a depth camera as a touch sensor. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 69–72 (2010).
519. Wilson, A.D., Benko, H.: Combining multiple depth cameras and projectors for interactions on, above, and between surfaces. UIST 2010 - 23rd ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. pp. 273–282 (2010).
520. Wimmer, R.: Some thoughts on a model of touch-sensitive surfaces. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 293–294 (2010).
521. Winkler, C. et al.: Penbook: Bringing pen+paper interaction to a tablet device to facilitate paper-based workflows in the hospital domain. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 283–286 (2013).
522. Wolfe, C. et al.: Seeing through the fog: An algorithm for fast and accurate touch detection in optical tabletop surfaces. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 73–82 (2010).
523. WoÅniak, P. et al.: Examining interactive surfaces for maritime operations. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 341–344 (2013).

524. Wu, M. et al.: Gesture registration, relaxation, and reuse for multi-point direct-touch surfaces. Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06. pp. 185–192 (2006).
525. Xianhang, Z., Takatsuka, M.: Put that there NOW: Group dynamics of tabletop interaction under time pressure. Tabletop 2007 - 2nd Annual IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems. pp. 37–43 (2007).
526. Xiao, L., Martin, J.: Supporting parent-young child activities with interactive tabletops: A conceptual analysis. Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, CSCW. pp. 301–310 (2012).
527. Yamaguchi, T. et al.: SWINGNAGE: Gesture-based mobile interactions on distant public displays. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 329–332 (2013).
528. Yamamoto, M. et al.: Development of eye-tracking tabletop interface for media art works. ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS 2010. pp. 295–296 (2010).
529. Yamanaka, R. et al.: Setting of document importance based on analysis of user's usual working. ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces. pp. 365–368 (2013).
530. Yamashita, N. et al.: Supporting fluid tabletop collaboration across distances. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings. pp. 2827–2836 (2011).

531. Yatani, K., Truong, K.N.: An evaluation of stylus-based text entry methods on handheld devices in stationary and mobile settings. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*. pp. 487–494 (2007).
532. Yoshikawa, T. et al.: HandyWidgets: Local widgets pulled-out from hands. *ITS 2012 - Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 197–200 (2012).
533. Yoshimoto, Y. et al.: Interaction design of 2D/3D map navigation on wall and tabletop displays. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, ITS'11*. pp. 254–255 (2011).
534. Yoshino, T. et al.: Proposal of a multi-layer structure for multilingual display on a Lumisight Table. *Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, TABLETOP'06*. pp. 129–130 (2006).
535. Yu, X. et al.: Experimental development of competitive digital educational games on multi-touch screen for young children, <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-77956593459&partnerID=40&md5=efd6897f2513dbba73610078d41dca2>, (2010).
536. Von Zadow, U. et al.: SimMed: Combining simulation and interactive tabletops for medical education. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 1469–1478 (2013).
537. Von Zadow, U. et al.: The SimMed experience: Medical education on interactive tabletops. *ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 297–300 (2013).

538. Zancanaro, M. et al.: Co-narrating a conflict: An interactive tabletop to facilitate attitudinal shifts. *ACM Trans. Comput. Interact.* 19, 3, (2012).
539. Zarin, R., Fallman, D.: Through the troll forest: Exploring tabletop interaction design for children with special cognitive needs. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 3319–3322 (2011).
540. Zhang, H. et al.: See Me, See You: A lightweight method for discriminating user touches on tabletop displays. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 2327–2336 (2012).
541. Zhou, Z., Wu, J.: Sidelock: Authentication on mobile device sides. *ITS 2013 - Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. pp. 393–396 (2013).
542. Ziat, M. et al.: InGrid: Interactive grid table. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. pp. 559–562 (2014).

Appendix B - Traceability Table

Collaboration (n=56)	[32, 37, 40, 44, 49, 53, 58, 59, 75, 97, 100, 103, 105, 107, 118, 139, 159, 160, 191–193, 205, 207, 220, 257, 264, 287, 288, 292, 294, 304, 317, 319, 321, 328, 330, 339, 360, 361, 364, 369, 381, 386, 390, 392, 409, 412, 420, 431, 442, 452, 458, 477, 511, 530]
Cross-Device (n=22)	[32, 48, 110, 113, 164, 182, 204, 205, 245, 300, 301, 303, 304, 349, 368, 388, 413, 414, 431, 465, 467, 513]
Design (n=86)	[1, 13, 20, 43, 57, 63, 68, 71, 78, 80, 84, 104, 107, 112, 118, 120, 129, 133, 134, 137, 141, 144, 152, 154, 155, 167, 173, 174, 178, 181, 184, 188, 212, 220, 221, 244, 292, 295, 310, 316, 318, 319, 327, 332, 333, 343, 348, 350, 353, 354, 358, 369, 371, 379–382, 391, 392, 396, 403, 407, 418–420, 426, 429, 441, 458, 459, 462, 475, 477, 481, 488, 492, 496, 497, 501, 514, 520, 523, 524, 526, 529, 531]

Implementation (n=273)	[3–6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 17–19, 21, 27, 29–31, 37, 38, 42, 46, 47, 50–52, 59–62, 64, 66–70, 73–77, 79, 81, 85–89, 91, 92, 94–96, 100–103, 105, 111, 114, 115, 117, 119, 121, 123, 126, 127, 130–132, 134, 135, 138, 139, 143, 146, 147, 151, 156, 161–163, 168–172, 176, 179, 182, 183, 185–194, 196–199, 201, 203, 208, 210, 211, 213, 214, 217–219, 223, 224, 226, 228–231, 233, 235–239, 242–244, 247–254, 256, 258, 260, 261, 263, 265–269, 271, 273, 276, 278, 284, 289, 291, 293, 296, 299, 305, 307, 308, 311, 313, 320, 322, 325, 327, 334, 336–338, 341, 342, 347, 352, 356, 357, 359, 360, 364, 366, 368, 370, 372–377, 380, 382, 384, 385, 387, 388, 390, 393–395, 399, 400, 406, 408, 411, 415, 417, 421–425, 428–430, 432–434, 445, 446, 449, 454–457, 459, 461, 465, 468–472, 474, 476, 478, 480, 481, 483–487, 489, 491, 493, 496, 498, 499, 502, 503, 505, 506, 508–510, 514–516, 518–522, 527–530, 535–538, 541, 542]
Individuality (n=31)	[32, 36, 69, 108, 154, 207, 209, 222, 231, 273, 274, 291, 307, 311, 314, 317, 329, 351, 386, 394, 395, 400, 401, 404, 410, 412, 413, 449, 532, 534, 540]
Interaction	[2, 4, 7, 8, 14–17, 22–26, 29, 33–35, 39, 42–45, 49–51, 54, 55, 64,

(n=183)	71, 77, 83, 84, 89, 90, 92–96, 98, 99, 104, 106, 112, 113, 116, 117, 119, 123–126, 136, 145, 148–150, 155, 158, 162, 165, 166, 169, 171–178, 184, 201, 202, 206, 218, 225, 227, 232, 234, 240, 241, 248, 253, 259, 260, 262, 263, 268, 272, 277, 279, 282, 283, 285, 286, 288, 295, 298, 300–302, 309, 310, 312, 314, 321, 323, 325, 326, 328, 330, 334, 340, 343–345, 356, 359, 362, 363, 365–367, 372, 378, 383, 389, 397–399, 402, 405, 410, 416, 418, 419, 427, 434–440, 442–444, 448, 450, 451, 460, 462–464, 466, 467, 473, 475, 480, 482, 485, 486, 488, 491–495, 499, 502, 504, 506–509, 515, 517, 524, 528, 531–533]
User (n=62)	[19–21, 28, 41, 54, 56, 65, 72, 98, 109, 110, 128, 140, 142, 157, 159, 174, 180, 181, 195, 200, 206, 217, 221, 228, 229, 246, 250, 255, 270, 275, 276, 279–282, 287, 290, 297, 298, 306, 316, 318, 331–333, 335, 339, 344, 346, 355, 409, 453, 463, 479, 490, 512, 525, 535, 538, 539]
Visualization (n=49)	[7, 10, 36, 39, 46, 53, 72, 74, 82, 108, 120, 122, 125, 135, 136, 146, 152, 153, 160, 165, 202, 203, 215, 216, 238, 255, 257, 264, 271, 312, 315, 324, 335, 352, 365, 411, 424, 428, 438, 445, 447, 448, 457, 460, 461, 482, 484, 500, 513]

Appendix C – Author Biographies


Anders Bruun is assistant professor in HCI at the Department of Computer Science, Aalborg University (Denmark). Research interests include theoretical, methodological and practical aspects of measuring UX in real-time. He has also worked as a UX consultant in the software industry with managing UX of small and large scale projects.

Kenneth Eberhardt Jensen is a Microsoft Dynamics CRM consultant at Massive Dynamics IsV. He obtained a Masters degree in software engineering in 2013 at Aalborg University (Denmark). He's main research interests include usability evaluation, interaction design and usability of mobile devices.

Dianna H. Kristensen is Web Developer at DanDomain A/S. She obtained her MSc in Computer Software Engineering in 2013 from Aalborg University (Denmark). Before university she graduated as Multimedia Designer in 2008. Her main interests is Web development and HCI.


Jesper Kjeldskov is Professor of Computer Science at Aalborg University in Denmark within the area of HCI. Jesper's research focus is on mobile and ubiquitous technologies. He has published more than 130 journal and conference papers, and has recently been awarded the degree of Doctor of Science (higher doctorate).

Figure 1: Number of publications in key conferences, which include the topic of tabletops (see section 3.2 for details).




Accepted

Figure 2: General Hype Cycle graph and the five phases.




Accepted Manuscript

Figure 3: Hype Cycle Graph of Tabletop Technologies. Suggested in (Müller-Tomfelde & Fjeld, 2012).




Accepted Manuscript

Figure 4: Number of papers distributed according to the eight identified categories of research foci. Note that several papers are positioned in multiple categories (sum = 762).




Accepted

Figure 5: No. of papers distributed on categories each year (see Table 2 for actual numbers). Note that the column <2003 includes papers from 1992-2003.



Accepted Manuscript

Figure 6: Red line = Hype Cycle graph suggested in (Müller-Tomfelde & Fjeld, 2012). Blue line = Hype Cycle graph based on number of research publications from our study.



Accepted Manuscript

Table 1: Distribution of relevant papers according to outlets.

Outlet type	Outlet name	#
Conference proceedings	ITS	265
	IEEE Tabletop	86
	CHI	87
	UIST	14
	CSCW	13
	INTERACT	10
	AVI	6
	Misc. (≤ 5 publications per outlet)	39
	Total	520

Journals	Int. J. Hum.-Comp. Stud. (IJHCS)	4
	Pers. Ubi. Comp. (PUC)	4
	Trans. Vis. Graph. Compt. (TVGC)	4
	Adv. Hum.-Comp. Interact. (AHCI)	3
	Comp. Graph. Appl. (CGA)	3
	Trans. Hum.-Comp. Interact. (TOCHI)	2
	Ergonomics	1
	Int. J. Hum.-Comp. Int. (IJHCI)	1
	Total	22

Table 2: Categories of research objectives

<p>Collaboration</p> <p>Emphasis on aspects of multiple persons working together and explore use of tabletop devices in co-work settings. This category includes studies that focus on co-located collaboration, distributed collaboration or both.</p>	<p>Interaction</p> <p>Focus on proposing and/or evaluating specific interaction techniques, e.g. techniques supporting manipulation of data through digital pens or different gestures. Another example is interaction techniques related to feedback like e.g. tactile, auditory or visual feedback mechanisms.</p>
<p>Design</p> <p>Development of principles, recommendations and/or guidelines for tabletop software, hardware or application areas. The guidelines can be aimed at a specific area such as 3D applications, hand-gesture interaction or aim at contributing general guidelines such as the size of objects etc.</p>	<p>Cross-Device</p> <p>Emphasis on the devices used within the same setting as the tabletop device. Examples of devices used include mobile phones, tablets and laptops. Such devices are independent from the tabletop device but are applied to communicate and interact with it.</p>
<p>Implementation</p>	<p>Visualization</p>

<p>Focus on technical aspects regarding realization of tabletop technologies. Includes papers describing the development of a physical tabletop device or implementation of a software application.</p>	<p>Papers in this category emphasize aspects of e.g. 3D graphics and how to support visual overviews of complex data on tabletops.</p>
<p>User</p> <p>Emphasis on the needs for a particular target group of users. Research papers categorized here analyze the users and/or their behavior around a tabletop device, which gives insights in the needs by this target group.</p>	<p>Individuality</p> <p>Focus on the personal space around a tabletop device. Papers in this category study e.g. privacy issues in protecting individual data, personalization with individually customizable settings, personal workspace that cannot be accessed by others and view dependency issues like available viewing angles.</p>

Table 3: No. of papers distributed on categories. Note that the column <2003 includes papers from 1992-2003.

Year	<2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Implementation	6	2	1	11	19	20	26	48	47	30	45	22
Interaction	2	1	2	13	8	11	25	30	34	27	25	7
Design	2	2	0	7	9	4	9	12	5	12	17	8
User	0	1	0	4	4	4	3	10	14	18	6	4
Visualization	1	0	2	2	2	0	6	5	15	7	2	6
Collaboration	2	4	0	6	5	3	1	3	10	5	6	11
Individuality	0	3	0	4	0	2	2	7	6	4	1	1
Cross-Device	0	1	2	2	2	2	3	0	1	2	1	6