Chapter 36

TTCN Test Suite Generation

This chapter describes two ways for generating TTCN test suites based on SDL specifications. The first one is to use TTCN Link, which assists you in manual specification of test suites. The other one is to use the Autolink feature of the SDL Validator, which allows automatic generation of test suites.

For more information about the SDL Validator, see chapter 53, The SDL Validator.

Tutorials for TTCN Link and Autolink can be found in chapter 8, Tutorial: The TTCN Link and chapter 9, Tutorial: The Autolink Tool, in the TTCN Suite Getting Started.
Introduction

Testing is one of the most important steps in the development of a new product. Often, it is also very time consuming and costly. As part of the Conformance Testing Methodology and Framework, the *Tree and Tabular Combined Notation* (TTCN) has been defined as a formal language for test suite specification. A test suite consists of four basic parts: The test suite overview, the declarations, the constraints and the dynamic behavior description.

In Telelogic Tau, TTCN test suite generation is supported by TTCN Link and Autolink. They both use an SDL specification as the basis for test generation, but they differ in their functionality.

TTCN Link generates the TTCN declarations part automatically and you use it for interactive building of test cases in the dynamic part.

Autolink is embedded in the SDL Validator. In addition to the SDL specification, it uses MSCs for test purpose descriptions. With this input, Autolink generates the declarations, constraints and dynamic behavior description parts of a TTCN test suite automatically.

In comparison, the test generation features of Autolink are superior to the ones of TTCN Link. If for some reason the test purpose description with MSCs is not applicable, then you should use TTCN Link. In any case, test cases built with TTCN Link can be merged with test cases generated by Autolink.

![Figure 233: Overview of TTCN Link and Autolink](image-url)
TTCN Link – Generation of Declarations

TTCN Link automatically generates the TTCN declarations part based on an SDL specification. The default dynamic behavior table is also generated. It contains timeout and otherwise statements for each PCO, which will ensure that any incorrect response from the implementation under test always will give a FAIL verdict as a result from the test case. After the default and constraints tables have been generated, you can interactively build test cases.

When you use TTCN Link, there are four (five) phases involved:

1. In the SDL Editor, you prepare an SDL specification.
2. In the Organizer, you generate a TTCN Link application.
3. In the TTCN suite, you use TTCN Link for generating the declarations part.
4. In the TTCN suite, you interactively build test cases.
5. Optionally, you may also merge the test suite with a TTCN-MP file, possibly generated by Autolink.

For more information about TTCN Link, see “Using TTCN Link” on page 1351.

Autolink – Generation of a Test Suite

Autolink can be used for automatic generation of TTCN test suites based on an SDL specification and a number of MSCs. The steps involved when you use Autolink are:

1. In the SDL Editor, you specify the SDL system to be used.
2. In the Organizer, you generate a Validator.
3. In the Validator, you define a number of traces through the SDL system for which you want to derive test cases. Each trace is stored as an MSC. Alternatively, you may create the MSCs manually in the MSC Editor or generate them with the help of the SDL Simulator.
4. In a text editor, you define an Autolink configuration. The configuration tells Autolink how to map SDL signals and signal parameters onto TTCN constraint names, and how to group test cases and test steps.
5. In the Validator, you generate intermediate representations of the test cases and constraints from the MSCs. This can either be done by state space exploration or by direct translation to TTCN.

6. In the Validator, you may modify the generated constraints. Afterwards, the result is saved in a TTCN-MP file.

7. The TTCN-MP file can be opened in the TTCN suite, and to complete the test suite, the test suite overview has to be generated. On UNIX, you have to generate it explicitly. In Windows, the overview is generated automatically, for example before you print.

For more information about Autolink, see “Using Autolink” on page 1393.

Figure 234: Generation of a TTCN test suite with the TTCN suite and Autolink
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The phases involved when you are using TTCN Link – “Preparing for the Generation of Declarations” on page 1351, “Generating the Declarations” on page 1352 and “Creating Test Cases” on page 1358 – will be described below. You can also read about “Showing SDL System Information” on page 1360 and “Merging TTCN Test Suites in the TTCN Suite” on page 1360.

Preparing for the Generation of Declarations

Before it is possible to generate the declarations, you have to do two things:

1. Adapt the SDL system to the requirements of test generation and TTCN Link.
2. Generate a Link executable for the SDL specification.

Adapting the SDL System

The major adaptation of the SDL system that you have to do, is to modify it to properly describe the test architecture that is to be used. Basically, the requirement is that the channels from/to the environment of the system must correspond to the points of control and observation in the test suite. For example, the SDL system might be a specification of a communication protocol where the lower side of the protocol in practice can only be accessed through a network. To be able to create correct test cases, you also have to include the communication media in the SDL specification. Note however, that the specification of the media does not have to be a detailed specification of the functionality, only a specification of the aspects relevant to the current testing situation.

Generating a Link Executable

Once the SDL specification describing the system to be tested and the test architecture are finished, you can generate a Link executable. (A Link executable is sometimes also referred to as state space generator.) You do this in the same way as when you generate an SDL Simulator or Validator.
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To generate a Link executable:

1. Select Make from the Generate menu in the Organizer.
   
   The Make dialog will be opened.

2. Select Analyze & generate code.

3. Select Generate Makefile.

4. Select Use Standard Kernel and TTCN Link.

5. If necessary, change other options.

6. Click Full Make.

   The Link executable will now be generated. It includes the information about the SDL specification that is needed for generation of the TTCN declarations. The name of the executable will be `<sdl_system name>_xxx.link`, where `xxx` is depending on the compiler used.

**Note:**

You should not change the SDL system after you have generated the Link executable. Such changes will not affect the generated Link executable and therefore not affect the generation of declarations.

**Generating the Declarations**

There are two steps involved in generation of the declarations (and the default table):

1. You select the Link Executable.

2. You start the generation.

**Specifying the Link Executable**

Before the actual generation of the TTCN declarations, you have to specify the Link executable – and thereby the SDL system – to use. There are two methods for doing this: associating the SDL and TTCN systems in the Organizer and explicitly selecting the Link executable in the TTCN suite. In case a Link executable has been specified both in the Organizer and in the TTCN suite, the one selected in the TTCN suite is the executable that will be used.
Using TTCN Link

Also note that the TTCN test suite that you are going to generate the declarations in, have to be created and added to the Organizer (and the same system file as the SDL system is included in).

**Associating the SDL System with the TTCN Test Suite in the Organizer**

1. In the Organizer, select the SDL system (the top node).
2. Select **Associate** from the **Edit** menu.
   The **Associate** dialog will be opened.
3. In the dialog, select the TTCN test suite and click **OK**.
   The association will be indicated by a new icon placed under the test suite icon.

**Selecting the Link Executable in the TTCN Suite**

1. Make sure that the test suite is opened and that the Browser is active.
2. Select **Select Link Executable** from the **SDT Link** menu. **On UNIX**, it is the menu in the Browser.
   The **Select Link Executable** dialog will be opened.
3. In the dialog, select the Link executable and click **OK**.

**Note: External synonyms**

The SDL system from which the Link executable is generated may contain external synonyms that do not have a corresponding macro definition (see “External Synonyms” on page 2580 in chapter 57, *The Cadvanced/Cbasic SDL to C Compiler*). Such an SDL system cannot be used with TTCN Link and you will get an error message when trying to select the Link executable.

However, if you set the environment variable **SDTEXTSYNFILE** to a synonym definition file before starting Telelogic Tau, this file will automatically be used to define the external synonyms. If **SDTEXTSYNFILE** is set to “[]” all synonyms are given “null” values.

The syntax of a synonym file is described in “Reading Values at Program Start up” on page 2581 in chapter 57, *The Cadvanced/Cbasic SDL to C Compiler.*
Generating the TTCN Declarations

When you have specified the Link executable, you can generate the declarations in the TTCN suite:

1. Select *Generate Declarations* from the *SDT Link* menu. On *UNIX*, it is the menu in the Browser.
   
   This will generate the declarations and a default table.

2. Expand the Declarations Part and take a look:
   
   – One or more PCO type declarations have been generated.
   
   – For each channel to/from the environment in the SDL system, one PCO declaration has been generated.
   
   – For each signal on these channels, one ASN.1 ASP/PDU declaration has been generated.
   
   – For each data type that is used as a parameter on the signals to/from environment, a TTCN/ASN.1 data type definition has been generated if the data type cannot be mapped to a standard TTCN data type.

3. Expand the Dynamic Part. You should see that a default behavior tree called *Otherwise Fail* is generated. This contains otherwise statements with verdict FAIL for all generated PCOs.

PCO Mapping

There are two alternatives available for generation of the PCO types: either one PCO type is generated for each channel in the SDL system or only one PCO type is generated. This is defined by the configuration command `define-pco-type-mapping` (see "PCO Type Generation Strategy" on page 1368). The default is that only one PCO type is generated.

If more than one PCO type is generated, they are named `<Channel-Name>_TypeId`. If only one PCO type is generated it is called `PCO_Type`. 

...
ASP/PDU Mapping

The generated ASPs/PDUs are given the same name as the corresponding SDL signal with one exception: If there are multiple PCO types and there is one signal that can be transported on more than one channel to the environment, this signal is divided into two ASPs, since an ASP may only be associated with one PCO type. The ASPs are then given names like `<SDLSignalName>_<PCOName>`.

By default, ASPs are generated from the SDL signals. However, you can change it to PDUs by using the `define-signal-mapping` command (see “SDL Signal Mapping Strategy” on page 1369).

Data Type Mapping

The data type mapping from SDL to TTCN/ASN.1 is defined in the following table. In most cases a table containing an ASN.1 type definition is generated for each data type. In the table below, this is indicated by a “<TTCN name> -> <ASN.1 definition>” clause. The <TTCN name> is the name used to denote the type in the test suite and the <ASN.1 definition> is the ASN.1 type definition that is the contents of the generated table. If the TTCN name is omitted, the name is given by the name of the corresponding SDL data type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SDL data type</th>
<th>TTCN/ASN.1 data type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>structure</td>
<td>-&gt; SEQUENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>array (with finite index sort)</td>
<td>-&gt; SEQUENCE OF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>string</td>
<td>-&gt; SEQUENCE OF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bag</td>
<td>-&gt; SET OF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enumerated type</td>
<td>-&gt; ENUMERATED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>BOOLEAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>character</td>
<td>Character -&gt; IA5String (SIZE (1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>charstring</td>
<td>CharString -&gt; IA5String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>integer</td>
<td>INTEGER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>real</td>
<td>Real -&gt; REAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>natural</td>
<td>Natural -&gt; INTEGER (0 .. MAX)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In addition to the data types above, data types defined in external ASN.1 modules can also be used. These data types are mapped to definitions in the table named “ASN.1 Type Definitions by Reference” in the test suite. For each data type in the external ASN.1 module that is used on signals to/from the environment, one line defining the data type will be generated in this table. Note that the Generate Declarations command in the SDT Link menu assumes that the external ASN.1 module is setup as a dependency of the TTCN document.

No other data types than the ones mentioned above may occur on signals to/from the environment in the system.

Note that SDL is not case sensitive whereas TTCN is case sensitive. The spelling of the names generated by TTCN Link is given by the defining occurrence of the corresponding SDL name. Also note that no transformation of names is performed during generation of the TTCN names. This may in some cases lead to incorrect TTCN names if for example a reserved word from TTCN is used in the SDL system. To fix this problem, you have to change the name in the SDL system to a legal TTCN name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SDL data type</th>
<th>TTCN/ASN.1 data type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>syntype</td>
<td>-&gt; subtype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>choice</td>
<td>-&gt; CHOICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bit</td>
<td>Bit -&gt; BIT STRING (SIZE (1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bit_string</td>
<td>BIT_STRING -&gt; BIT STRING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>octet</td>
<td>Octet -&gt; OCTET STRING (SIZE (1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>octet_string</td>
<td>OCTET_STRING -&gt; OCTET STRING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ObjectIdentifier</td>
<td>OBJECT_IDENTIFIER -&gt; OBJECT IDENTIFIER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA5String</td>
<td>IA5String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NumericString</td>
<td>NumericString</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrintableString</td>
<td>PrintableString</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VisibleString</td>
<td>VisibleString</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Null</td>
<td>NULL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note that the SDL character NUL is mapped to NUL. Unfortunately, NUL is not an IA5String allowed character. So this must manually be changed to a legal character, e.g. "". The NUL character is especially interesting since uninitialized SDL characters are set to NUL.

**Modifying the Generated Declarations**

In some cases, you may find it useful to manually modify the declarations that have been generated by TTCN Link before continuing with the development of the test cases. There are in particular two interesting cases:

- **ASPs vs. PDUs.**
  
  TTCN Link automatically generates ASPs for all signals visible on the border of the SDL system unless defined otherwise by the define-signal-mapping option (see "SDL Signal Mapping Strategy" on page 1369). If PDU definitions are more suitable for some of the signals, this is the time to change them. The simplest way is to copy the generated ASPs from the section *ASN.1 ASP Type Definitions* and paste them as PDUs in the section *ASN.1 PDU Type Definitions*.

- **ASP field names.**
  
  The ASPs are generated based on the SDL signals, and since the signals in SDL have no parameter names (only types), TTCN Link automatically generates names for the ASP fields. The fields are given the name "<type name><no>" where the <type name> is the name of the type of this parameter (but always starting with a non-capital letter to follow ASN.1 rules). It is however possible to change these names in the generated definitions, and if you do it before the test cases are developed, the new manually defined names will also be used in the test cases.

**Regeneration of Declarations**

It is possible to regenerate the declarations from an SDL system to incorporate new signals, channels and/or data type into the test suite. If you select *Generate Declarations* from the SDT Link menu again, only declarations with a name different from the existing test suite declarations will be inserted into the test suite.
Creating Test Cases

To create test cases with TTCN Link, you use the Table Editor in the TTCN suite. When TTCN Link is used, the test cases are synchronized, that is, verified against the SDL specification.

In synchronized mode, the test case is guaranteed to be consistent with the specification. Each action you perform during the development of the test case will be incrementally verified by the state space exploration part of TTCN Link. When a table is in synchronized mode, the SDT Link menu of the UNIX Table Editor will contain new menu choices for editing of the test case. In Windows, you can find the corresponding commands in the Link dialog:

- **Send** will add a send statement to the test case. This is a manual step where you define the constraint to be associated with the send statement.

- **Receive** automatically generates all valid responses from the system under test. This implies that you do not need to check with the specification which possible signals the system can send in the state it is driven to by the proceeding lines in the test case.

- **Start timer** and **Cancel timer** are also manual commands where TTCN timers are started and cancelled. However, note that the timeout event corresponding to the timer will be automatically generated as a result of a Receive command.

- **Attach test step** will attach a previously defined test step, while still keeping the editor in synchronized mode.

If you modify the contents of the test case by using other menu choices, the editor will leave the synchronized mode.

The verdict for the generated test case lines, will always be either PASS or INCONCLUSIVE since the generated receive lines will always correspond to valid behaviors of the implementation under test.

A default test step, which consists of an otherwise fail for each PCO, will ensure that an incorrect response from the implementation under test always will give a FAIL verdict as a result from the test case.
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**Constraint Restrictions**
The constraints that are used in send and receive statements in the test cases, are subject to certain restrictions:

- They may not use test suite or test case variables or test suite parameters.
- They must be “exactly” defined without any omits, any values, ranges, wildcards, etc.

The following is however allowed in send and receive constraints a test case is resynchronized, even though it is not generated automatically for receive constraints:

- The constraints can be structured/chained, that is, the constraints can reference and use other constraints defined in the test suite.
- The constraints can use test suite constants.
- The constraints can be parametrized.

**Creating Test Steps**
It is often useful to structure the test case into test steps. To do this by using TTCN Link:

1. Create the TTCN statements that should be in the test step directly in the test case table. This should of course be done in synchronized mode.
2. Cut the lines that should form the test step from the test case.
3. Create a test step table.
4. Paste the lines into the new test step table and adjust the indentation level.
5. Add an attach statement to the test case.
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Showing SDL System Information
When you use TTCN Link for creating a test case, it is possible to access the SDL specification from the Table Editor.

To do this you first select a line in the test case. Then you have three alternatives:

- Select Show SDL from the SDT Link menu.
  The SDL Editor will be opened and display the executed SDL symbols that correspond to the selected test case line.

- Select Show Coverage from the SDT Link menu.
  This will display the Coverage Viewer and coverage information for the current test case.

- Select Show MSC from the SDT Link menu.
  The MSC Editor will be opened with a generated MSC diagram showing the execution path from the start of the SDL system to the state corresponding to the selected line in the test case. This may be particularly useful if you need to find out how unexpected receive statements are possible.

Merging TTCN Test Suites in the TTCN Suite
By using TTCN Link, you can only generate the declarations and create the dynamic tables. Either you could add the constraints and dynamic tables manually or merge the TTCN Link generated test suite with one generated by Autolink. A test suite generated by Autolink is in TTCN-MP format and contains constraints, declarations and dynamic tables.

To merge the test suites:

1. Make sure that the test suite that you want to merge the MP file into – that is, the destination document – is opened and active.

2. In Windows, select Autolink Merge from the File menu.
   On UNIX, select Autolink Merge from the SDT Link menu in the Browser.

   A dialog will be opened.
3. Find and select the MP file that you want to merge with the currently opened test suite.

4. Click **OK (in Windows)** or **Merge (on UNIX)**.

   The MP file you selected will be merged into the currently opened test suite.

   To complete the test suite **on UNIX**, you also have to generate the test suite overview. **In Windows**, the overview is generated automatically, for example before you print, and after that it is kept updated.

The merge will only work if the two test suites do not conflict. A conflict occurs if any TTCN object in the MP file has the same name as any TTCN object in the destination document. However, if such a conflict is detected, the merge will continue but the conflicting object in the MP file will be skipped.

Constraints will be merged in a special way. For example, the MP file may contain a TTCN ASP constraint called `constraint1` that refers to the type `type1` which is of the incompatible type TTCN PDU TypeDef. Because of this, a copy of `constraint1` will be inserted as a TTCN PDU constraint instead. However, this “type conversion” is limited. An ASN.1 constraint will not be converted to a TTCN constraint or vice versa.

**Summary of TTCN Link**

TTCN Link supports test case development and there are two major objectives:

- To help solving the consistency problem that arises as soon as there are two different descriptions of the same system, in this case the SDL specification and the TTCN test suite.

- To supply an environment that, based on the SDL specification, supports test suite development during the TTCN design. Both by directly using the SDL specification, for example to generate the declarations, and by providing access to the SDL specification directly from the TTCN suite.
Overview of the TTCN Link Algorithm

This section will give a brief introduction to the algorithm used by TTCN Link to synchronize a test case with an SDL system.

The Composed System

The system that TTCN Link analyzes is the composed system that consists of both the SDL specification and the TTCN test case that is interactively created.

![Figure 235: A composed SDL/TTCN system](image)

The connection between the SDL system and the TTCN test case is created by connection of the channels to/from environment in the SDL system to the PCOs in the test suite.

State Space Exploration

The technique used by TTCN Link is based on state space exploration (sometimes referred to as reachability analysis) of the system composed of the SDL system together with the test case that is being created. The state space of this system can be viewed as a graph, where the nodes represent system states and the edges represent actions that can be performed by the system.
Each system state represents the combined system in one moment in time. It contains information of for example:

- What SDL process instances exist
- The variable values of all the process instances
- The control flow state of the instances
- Any procedure calls, including local variables in the procedures
- The current line number of the test case
- Any started timers, both SDL and TTCN timers

The actions represented by the edges are either SDL actions like input, output, tasks, etc., or TTCN actions like send, receive or start timer.

Essentially, the algorithm to generate the state space of the combined system is the following, where two global variables – StateSpace (a graph that will contain the state space of the system) and TreatList (a list of states that is yet to be treated by the algorithm) – are used:

1. Create the start system state and add it to StateSpace and TreatList.
2. Remove one state (in step 3–4 called the current state) from TreatList.
3. Compute all possible actions that can be performed in the current state and the resulting system state that will be reached when the respective action has been performed.
4. For each action/resulting state:
   – If the resulting state was not already in StateSpace, add it to TreatList.
   – Add the action/resulting state to StateSpace.
5. If TreatList is empty: Terminate algorithm, the state space of the system is now represented by the graph in StateSpace.
   If TreatList is not empty: Go to step 2.

**Incremental State Space Exploration**

Since you interactively create the test case that describes the TTCN part of the combined SDL/TTCN system, it is not possible for TTCN Link to compute the entire state space at once. Instead, the state space exploration is performed in an incremental fashion in the following way:

1. You compute the state space that can be reached without any action by the test case.
2. When you TTCN Link to add a TTCN statement to a leaf in the test tree, you add the corresponding TTCN action(s)/resulting system state(s) to the state space.
3. Generate the state space that can be reached from the newly created system state(s) without any further action by the test case.
4. Go to step 2.

The consequence of this algorithm is that the state space of the combined SDL/TTCN system is explored in an incremental fashion, where each increment corresponds to a command you have given. Also the structure of the state space is influenced by the incremental way that it is generated. The state space can be visualized as a tree structure, where each node represents one line in the test case and a subpart of the state space, to be more precise, the subpart of the state space where the test case has executed this particular line but not the next one.
Since each line in the test case is created by a command from you, each node with its associated part of the state space can also be viewed as the state space increment that was created by a specific command.

**Random Walk Exploration**

The default state space exploration algorithm used by TTCN Link is the algorithm described in the previous sections. This is usually referred to as **exhaustive exploration** since it exhaustively explores the state space until all reachable states has been generated. The benefit of this algorithm is that when the exploration is finished, you can be sure that all possible combinations and alternatives are explored, that is, if TTCN Link generates two alternatives in a receive statement, the algorithm guarantees that there are no more valid alternatives. However, the drawback is that the algorithm requires all states in the state space to be kept in primary memory. For large SDL systems this may not always be possible with the computers available. The response time may also be unacceptable for interactive work with large systems.

To be able to use TTCN Link even in these cases, a second exploration algorithm is also provided. This is the **random walk** algorithm that, instead of exploring the entire state space, explores random paths in the state space using the algorithm described below. The input to the algorithm is a set of start states called StartList and a maximum depth of the exploration (MaxDepth) and the number of repetitions (Rep):
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1. Select one state (in step 2–4 called the current state) from StartList.

2. Compute all possible actions that can be performed in the current state and the resulting system states that will be reached when the respective action has been performed.

3. If no actions could be performed from the current state or if the depth of the current random walk is MaxDepth, the current random walk is pruned. If the number of random walks performed so far is less than Rep, go to step 1, otherwise terminate the algorithm.

4. If actions could be performed and the depth is less than MaxDepth, select one of the generated states as a new current state and go to step 2.

The benefit with the random walk algorithm is that not more than a few system states (the current state and its successors) need to be kept in the memory at the time. The drawback is that there is no guarantee that the entire state space is explored, so, for example, even if TTCN Link generates only one receive alternative, it is possible that there are more alternatives.

To accomplish the best, both from exhaustive exploration and random walk, a two-step approach can be used when you use TTCN Link for large SDL systems:

1. Develop the test cases interactively by using the random walk algorithm with a small number of repetitions (1–3).

2. Verify that no more receive alternatives were possible by resynchronizing the test cases and using the exhaustive exploration algorithm. Or, if this is not possible due to lack of memory, use random walk with a high number of repetitions (at least 10, preferably 50–100).

This strategy gives both good performance when you interactively create the test cases and a good verification of the correctness of the test case during the automatic resynchronize. The execution time of the random walk algorithm is proportional to the number of repetitions.

You select which algorithm to use in the .linkinit file (on UNIX) or the linkinit.com file (in Windows) by using the define-algorithm command as described in section “Configuring the TTCN Link Executable” on page 1367.
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Summary of the TTCN Link Algorithm
The algorithm used by TTCN Link to resynchronize a TTCN test case with an SDL system, is based on an incremental state space exploration of the state space of the composed system. The system consists of the SDL specification, together with the test case under construction. In the state space exploration, each command that you give will cause a new part of the state space to be explored, that is, the part that corresponds to the TTCN statement line that is inserted by the command. Two different exploration algorithms are available: exhaustive exploration and random walk. Exhaustive exploration is used for interactive development of test cases for a small SDL system and for verification of test cases for large systems. Random walk is used for interactive development of test cases for large SDL systems.

Configuring the TTCN Link Executable
This section describes the various options that can be used to configure the Link executable. You can change the options by giving the corresponding commands in a file called .linkinit (on UNIX) or linkinit.com (in Windows) in the target directory. The options that can be set are:

- Exploration Algorithm
- Random Walk Depth
- Random Walk Repetitions
- PCO Type Generation Strategy
- SDL Signal Mapping Strategy
- Stable State
- Timer Mode
- Transition
- Scheduling
- MSC Trace

These options will be described below.

It is also possible to add user-defined rules to the .linkinit file (on UNIX) or the linkinit.com file (in Windows) in order to prune the state space that is explored by Link. The user-defined rules are described in “User-Defined Rules” on page 1376.
Using TTCN Link

Exploration Algorithm
What exploration algorithm is used, is defined by the command

    define-algorithm [exhaustive|randomwalk]

and has the default value exhaustive. The differences between the different algorithms are described in section “Overview of the TTCN Link Algorithm” on page 1362.

Random Walk Depth
The maximum depth of each random walk is defined by the command

    define-randomwalk-depth <integer>

and has the default value 500.

Random Walk Repetitions
The number of times a random walk is performed when a state space is explored by using this algorithm is defined by the command

    define-randomwalk-repetitions <integer>

with a default value of 3.

PCO Type Generation Strategy
The PCO Type generation strategy is defined by the command

    define-pco-type-mapping [system|channel]

and has default value system.

- If the parameter given is system then only one PCO type is generated for the entire system.
- If the parameter is channel then one PCO type is generated for each channel to/from the environment.

The choice also has an impact on the ASPs that are generated from SDL signals. Usually the name of the ASP is the same as the name of the SDL signal. However, if one PCO type is generated for each channel to/from the environment and one SDL signal can appear on more than one of these channels, then one ASP is generated for each channel it appears on. This is needed since an ASP can only be associated with one PCO type. The names of the signals are in this case defined as <signal name>_<channel name>.
SDL Signal Mapping Strategy
The SDL signals that appear on channels to/from the environment in the SDL system are either mapped to ASN.1 PDU or ASN.1 ASP definitions in the test suite. The mapping is defined by the command

\[
\text{define-signal-mapping } [\text{asp}|\text{pdu}]
\]

and has default value \text{asp}.

Stable State
The stable state option is defined by the command

\[
\text{define-stable } [\text{on}|\text{off}]
\]

and has the default value \text{on}.

It works like this:

Consider the situation when an empty test case has been resynchronized. The Link executable will now have computed the state space that can be reached without any input from or output to the tester. Usually, the state space looks something like this:

![Figure 238: Original state space](image)

where 0 is the start state, 1–4 are intermediate states and 5 is a stable state where all internal queues in the SDL system are empty and nothing more can happen without any input from the tester.

Let us now give a send command. This implies that new states are created as send transitions are added to the state space.

If the stable state assumption is off then we add one send transition to each state in the original state space, the new state space looks something like this:
where all states called something with ‘a’ are new. Now the states space that contains states that can be reached from the ‘a’ states without any input from or output to the tester is explored.

On the other hand, if the stable state assumption is on then we only add a send transition to the stable state, giving a new state space looking like:

Now, only the states that can be reached from “5a” without any input from or output to the tester are explored. This state space is of course a lot smaller that the one above.
Timer Mode

This is an option that in most cases will not have to be changed. The option defines how to interpret timeout actions compared with all other actions in the system. The option is changed by the command:

```
define-timer-mode [long|short]
```

The default is `long`.

If the timer mode is `long`, timeout actions will never occur if there is an internal event possible in the system. Essentially, the assumption is that the performance of the test system and IUT is good enough to ensure that the execution time for the actions are very small compared to the timeout times. Consider a system with the following state space when the `long` timer mode is used:

![State space with timer mode “long”](image)

In this system, the timeout event does not occur until no other event can happen. The transition leading to states 1–3 are all usual transitions – for example, inputs and outputs – so the timeout will only occur in state 3, where no other event is possible.

If the timer mode would have been `short`, the state space would have looked differently:
The reason is that the timeout event now is possible in all the states 0–3.

**Transition**

The transition option defines what is considered to be an atomic transition in the state space and is defined by the command:

```
define-transition ['sdl'|'symbol']
```

The default value is `symbol`.

If the transition option is set to `sdl`, then SDL process graph transitions are considered atomic. This means that there will be no states in the state space where SDL processes are in the middle of a process graph transition. In all system states in the state space, the processes will always be in a process graph state.

If the transition option is set to `symbol`, the SDL process graph transitions are *not* considered to be atomic. In theory, this would imply that the processes could be interrupted anywhere during the execution of a transition. However, it turns out that for test generation purposes it is enough if the process graph transitions are divided at the points where one process communicates with another process, for example after an output or a create. A sequence of tasks or decisions is still viewed as atomic.

The consequence of this is that there will be more transitions in the state space if the transition option is `symbol` than if it is `sdl`. Consider a simple system with only one process. Let this process have a transition like:
state xx;
  input st1;
  output sig2;
  output sig3;
  output sig4
  nextstate st2;

If the transition option is `sdl`, there will only be one transition in the
state space that corresponds to the process graph transition above:

\[
\begin{align*}
X & : \text{process is in state st1} \\
Y & : \text{process is in state st2}
\end{align*}
\]

If the transition option is `symbol`, there will be a sequence of transitions
in the state space:

\[
\begin{align*}
X & : \text{process is in state st1} \\
& | \text{input st1; output sig2;} \\
X_1 & | \text{output sig2;} \\
X_2 & | \text{output sig3;} \\
X_3 & | \text{output sig4; nextstate st2;} \\
Y & : \text{process is in state st2}
\end{align*}
\]

This will of course give a lot bigger state space.

**Scheduling**

The scheduling option is defined by the command

\[
\text{define-scheduling ['first'|'all']}
\]

This option controls how many processes are allowed to execute in a
given system state. If the option is set to `first`, only one process (the
first in the ready queue) is allowed to execute. If the option is set to `all`,
all processes that can execute are allowed to do it. The default value is `all`.

Consider an SDL system with two static processes. If the scheduling op-
tion is `all`, the initial part of the state space for this system will proba-
ibly look like:
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Figure 243: State space with scheduling as “all”

where

- 0 is the initial state (both processes are in the `start` symbol).
- 1 is the state where the first process has executed its start transition while the second process is still in its `start` symbol.
- 2 is the state where the second process has executed its start transition while the first process is still in its `start` symbol.
- 3 is the state where both processes have executed their start transitions.

On the other hand, if the scheduling option is `first`, it will look like:

Figure 244: State space with scheduling as “first”

where

- 0 is the initial state (both processes are in the `start` symbol).
- 1 is the state where the first process has executed its start transition while the second process is still in its `start` symbol.
- 2 is the state where both processes have executed their start transitions.
If there are lots of processes, there will be a significant difference in the size of the state space depending on how the scheduling option is set!

**MSC Trace**

The MSC trace options control what is displayed in the MSCs generated by TTCN Link. There are two different MSC trace options controlling if states and actions in the SDL system are showed as MSC condition symbols and MSC action symbols. The options are set by the following commands:

- `define-MSC-trace-actions [ 'on' | 'off' ]`
- `define-MSC-trace-states [ 'on' | 'off' ]`

The default value for both options is `off`.

**An Example of a .linkinit / linkinit.com File**

This following `.linkinit` file (on UNIX) or `linkinit.com` file (in Windows) will change the exploration algorithm to random walk and set the number of repetitions to 2:

```
define-algorithm random
define-random-rep 2
```

This is a useful configuration when you work interactively with TTCN Link, since the random walk algorithm is quicker and requires less memory than the exhaustive algorithm. But, since the random walk in some cases can miss some alternative receive statement, a useful strategy is the following: Use the configuration above when you work interactively with TTCN Link. However, when you have finished with a test case or a number of test cases, check that the assumptions are valid by resynchronizing with the exhaustive algorithm or a larger number of repetitions.

Note that in the commands in the `.linkinit` file (on UNIX) and the `linkinit.com` file (in Windows) can be abbreviated as long as they are unique.
User-Defined Rules

User-defined rules can be used during state space exploration to prune the search performed by the TTCN Link. Whenever a system state is found that matches the defined rule, the search is pruned at this particular state. This can be useful in order to remove specific exceptional behavior from the test cases that are designed and instead handle these in a special default test step.

Consider an SDL specification that contains a clock process that has a timer intervaltimeout. Every time the intervaltimeout expires, a signal DisplayTime is sent to the environment of the SDL system. Since this can happen at any time during the execution, there will be an alternative at all receive statements corresponding to the reception of this signal. To avoid this, it is better to add a receive statement in the default dynamic behavior that skips this signal.

To achieve this with TTCN Link, you have to do two things:

- Define a rule that prunes the state space at appropriate places.
- Modify the default dynamic behaviour that is generated by TTCN Link.

A rule that prunes the state space whenever the intervaltimer expires is the following:

```
def-rule sitype(signal(clock:1))=intervaltimeout;
```

The statements that need to be added to the default behaviour are:

```
PCO?DisplayTime           DisplayTime_Match_All
RETURN
```

These lines will cause the tester to ignore DisplayTime signals sent from the system.

A rule essentially gives the possibility to define predicates which describe properties of one particular system state. As soon as this predicate matches a system state, TTCN Link will prune the search. A rule consists of a predicate (as described below) followed by a semicolon (‘;’). In a rule, all identifiers and reserved words can be abbreviated as long as they are unique.
Predicates
The following types of predicates exist:

- Quantifiers over process instances and signals in input ports
- Boolean operator predicates such as “and”, “not” and “or”
- Relational operator predicates such as “=” and “>”

Parenthesis are allowed to group predicates.

Quantifiers
The quantifiers listed below are used to define rule variables denoting process instances or signals. The rule variables can be used in process or signal functions described later in this section.

\[ \text{exists } \text{<RULE VARIABLE>} [: \text{<PROCESS TYPE>}] \]
\[ \text{| } \text{<PREDICATE>} \]

This predicate is true if there exists a process instance (of the specified type) for which the specified predicate is true. Both the process type and the predicate can be excluded. If the process type is excluded, all process instances are checked. If the predicate is excluded, it is considered to be true.

\[ \text{all } \text{<RULE VARIABLE>} [: \text{<PROCESS TYPE>}] \]
\[ \text{| } \text{<PREDICATE>} \]

This predicate is true for all process instances (of the specified type) for which the specified predicate is true. Both the process type and the predicate can be excluded. If the process type is excluded, all process instances are checked. If the predicate is excluded, it is considered to be true.

\[ \text{siexists } \text{<RULE VARIABLE>} [: \text{<SIGNAL TYPE>}] \]
\[ \text{| - } \text{<PROCESS INSTANCE>} \]
\[ \text{| } \text{<PREDICATE>} \]

This predicate is true if a signal (of the specified type) exists in the input port of the specified process for which the specified predicate is true. If no signal type is specified, all signals are considered. If no process instance is specified, the input ports of all process instances are consid-
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If no predicate is specified, it is considered to be true. The specified process can be either a rule variable that has previously been defined in an \texttt{exists} or \texttt{all} predicate, or a process instance identifier (\texttt{<PROCESS TYPE>:<INSTANCE NO>}).

\begin{verbatim}
   siall <RULE VARIABLE> [ : <SIGNAL TYPE>]
   [ - <PROCESS INSTANCE>] [ | <PREDICATE>]
\end{verbatim}

This predicate is true for all signals (of the specified type) in the input port of the specified process for which the specified predicate is true. If no signal type is specified, all signals are considered. If no process is specified, the input ports of all process instances are considered. If no predicate is specified, it is considered to be true. The specified process can be either a rule variable that has previously been defined in an \texttt{exists} or \texttt{all} predicate, or a process instance identifier (\texttt{<PROCESS TYPE>:<INSTANCE NO>}).

\textbf{Boolean Operator Predicates}

The following boolean operators are included (with the conventional interpretation):

\begin{verbatim}
   not <PREDICATE>
   <PREDICATE> and <PREDICATE>
   <PREDICATE> or <PREDICATE>
\end{verbatim}

The operators are listed in priority order, but the priority can be changed by parenthesis.

\textbf{Relational Operator Predicates}

The following relational operator predicates exist:

\begin{verbatim}
   <EXPRESSION> = <EXPRESSION>
   <EXPRESSION> != <EXPRESSION>
   <EXPRESSION> < <EXPRESSION>
   <EXPRESSION> > <EXPRESSION>
   <EXPRESSION> <= <EXPRESSION>
   <EXPRESSION> >= <EXPRESSION>
\end{verbatim}

The interpretation of these predicates is conventional. The operators are only applicable to data types for which they are defined.

\textbf{Expressions}

The expressions that are possible to use in relational operator predicates are of the following categories:

- Process functions: Extract values from process instances
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- Signal functions: Extract values from signals
- Global functions: Examine global aspects of the system state
- SDL literals: Conventional SDL constant values

**Process Functions**
Most of the process functions must have a process instance as a parameter. This process instance can be either a rule variable that has previously been defined in an exists or all predicate, a process instance identifier (<PROCESS TYPE>:<INSTANCE NO>) or a function that returns a process instance, e.g. sender or from.

- `state(<PROCESS INSTANCE>)`
  Returns the current SDL state of the process instance.

- `type(<PROCESS INSTANCE>)`
  Returns the type of the process instance.

- `iplen(<PROCESS INSTANCE>)`
  Returns the length of the input port queue of the process instance.

- `sender(<PROCESS INSTANCE>)`
  Returns the value of the imperative operator sender (a process instance) for the process instance.

- `parent(<PROCESS INSTANCE>)`
  Returns the value of the imperative operator parent (a process instance) for the process instance.

- `offspring(<PROCESS INSTANCE>)`
  Returns the value of the imperative operator offspring (a process instance) for the process instance.

- `self(<PROCESS INSTANCE>)`
  Returns the value of the imperative operator self (a process instance) for the process instance.

- `signal(<PROCESS INSTANCE>)`
  Returns the signal that is to be consumed if the process instance is in an SDL state. Otherwise, if the process instance is in the middle of an SDL process graph transition, it returns the signal that was consumed in the last input statement.
<PROCESS INSTANCE> -> <VARIABLE NAME>
Returns the value of the specified variable. If <PROCESS INSTANCE> is a previously defined rule variable, the exists or all predicate that defined the rule variable must also include a process type specification.

<RULE VARIABLE>
Returns the process instance value of <RULE VARIABLE>, which must be a rule variable bound to a process instance in an exists or all predicate.

Signal Functions
Most of the signal functions must have a signal as a parameter. This signal can be either a rule variable that has previously been defined in an exists or all predicate, or a function that returns a signal, e.g. signal.

sitype( <SIGNAL> )
Returns the type of the signal.

to( <SIGNAL> )
Returns the process instance value of the receiver of the signal.

from( <SIGNAL> )
Gives the process instance value of the sender of the signal.

<RULE VARIABLE> -> <PARAMETER NUMBER>
Returns the value of the specified signal parameter. The exists or all predicate that defined the rule variable must also include a signal type specification.

<RULE VARIABLE>
Returns the signal value of <RULE VARIABLE>, which must be a rule variable bound to a signal in a exists or all predicate.

Global Functions
maxlen()
Gives the length of the longest input port queue in the system.

instno( [<PROCESS TYPE>] )
Returns the number of instances of type <PROCESS TYPE>. If <PROCESS TYPE> is excluded the total number of process instances is returned.
depth\(()\)
Gives the depth of the current system state in the behavior tree/state space.

**SDL Literals**

- `<STATE ID>`
The name of an SDL state.
- `<PROCESS TYPE>`
The name of a process type.
- `<PROCESS INSTANCE>`
A process instance identifier of the format `<PROCESS TYPE>::<INSTANCE NO>`, e.g. Initiator:1.
- `<SIGNAL TYPE>`
The name of a signal type.
- `null`
SDL null process instance value
- `env`
Returns the value of the process instance in the environment that is the sender of all signals sent from the environment of the SDL system.
- `<INTEGER LITERAL>`
  - `true`
  - `false`
- `<REAL LITERAL>`
- `<CHARACTER LITERAL>`
- `<CHARSTRING LITERAL>`

**SDL Restrictions**
The restrictions imposed on the SDL specification by TTCN Link are basically of four different kinds:

- General SDL restrictions
- State space exploration restrictions
- Data type mapping restrictions
- TTCN name restrictions
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General SDL Restrictions
TTCN Link is based on the SDL to C compiler and has thus the same restrictions as the Simulator and Validator which are also based on the SDL to C compiler. The major restrictions are:

- No context parameters
- No channel substructures
- No signal refinements
- No axioms, literal mappings, inheritance or name class literals in abstract data types


State Space Exploration Restrictions
TTCN Link is based on state space exploration of the combined state space of the SDL system and the TTCN test case. Since there is only a finite amount of memory available in computers, this means that there will be restrictions on the size of the state space that can be handled. It is not possible to give a numeric value on this restriction since it depends both on the SDL system and on the computer, but TTCN Link has been successfully used on SDL systems with more than 10 processes on a SPARCstation 10 computer. Also see “Overview of the TTCN Link Algorithm” on page 1362.

Data Type Mapping Restrictions
Only the data types described in section “Generating the Declarations” on page 1352 are allowed on the channels to/from the system.

TTCN Name Restrictions
The mapping of concepts from SDL to TTCN generates a lot of names in TTCN. For example, the signals in SDL will become ASPs/PDUs in TTCN and SDL data types will become TTCN data types. The names of the generated entities are taken directly from the names on the corresponding SDL entity. This will lead to problems if, for example, the names are reserved words in TTCN. In this case, the names in the SDL system have to be changed.
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TTCN Link Commands in the TTCN Suite on UNIX

This section describes the extra menu choices that are available in the TTCN suite when TTCN Link is used on UNIX.

Browser Commands in the SDT Link Menu

The following menu choices are available in the Browser SDT Link menu:

- Select Link Executable
- Generate Declarations

Select Link Executable

Makes a connection between a test suite and the corresponding SDL system. In the file dialog that opens, a Link executable should be selected. If the file is not a legal Link executable, the selection will fail.

When a Link executable is selected, a place holder for it is stored in the test suite. It is possible to change the Link executable if, and only if, there is no test case which uses the current SDL system (i.e. there is no synchronized test case).

It is also possible to select a Link executable by associating the SDL system with the TTCN system in the Organizer. However, a Link executable selected in the TTCN suite will override an executable selected in the Organizer.

See also “External synonyms” on page 1353.

Generate Declarations

Generates TTCN versions of the relevant type declarations in the SDL system. The menu choice is only available if a Link executable has been selected.

The generated objects use the ASN.1 syntax. They are automatically analyzed after they have been generated. This is necessary for later operations and usage of these types. If there is no other declarations (types) in the test suite (e.g. the test suite is empty), the analysis will not fail. On the contrary if other declarations (types) already exist, the analysis
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may fail due to name conflicts and incorrect references. The error messages of this analyzing will not be displayed. To check if the generated declarations are analyzed, use the Selector and the Show Error Message command on the incorrect tables.

At the same time that the declarations are generated, a Default table will be generated. It consists of an otherwise statement for each PCO and a timeout statement.

No timer will be generated from the SDL system. If the design of the test suite requires any timers they must be defined manually.

More details about the generated tables etc. can be found in “Generating the Declarations” on page 1352.

Table Editor Commands in the SDT Link Menu

To generate a test case (the behaviour description of a test case), the test case table must be in synchronized mode. In synchronized mode, the test case is synchronized (has an established connection) with the selected Link executable.

Once in synchronized mode, the test case editor will stay in this mode as long as only commands from the SDT Link menu are used. As soon as any field in the table (besides the comment fields) is edited, the synchronized mode will be terminated. It is however possible to analyze the test case without leaving the synchronized mode.

The following commands are available from a Table Editor for test cases or test steps. They are applied on a behaviour line (they insert a new behaviour line below/after the behaviour line with the input focus) hence it is required that the test case or the test step either is empty or has the input focus on a leaf row.

When each of these commands is performed the input focus is moved to the new generated behaviour line.

- Send
- Receive
- Start Timer
- Cancel Timer
- Attach
- Resynchronize
- Show SDL
- Show MSC
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- Show Coverage
- Show Options

**Send**

Adds a send statement below/after the behaviour line with the input focus. The new behaviour line will have an increased indent level compared with the previous one.

![Send dialog](image)

Figure 245: Send dialog

The send statement with the selected PCO, ASP/PDU and constraint will be verified by the selected SDL system. If the verification does not fail, a send statement is generated and inserted below/after the row with the input focus. For a more detailed description of this dialog, see "Add Send Statement" on page 1172 in chapter 26, *The TTCN Table Editor (on UNIX)*.

**Receive**

Adds appropriate receive and/or timeout statement(s) below/after the behaviour line with the input focus. The new row(s) will have the same
indent level. This indent level will be increased compared with the previous one.

For each retrieved receive statement from the SDL system, a new Constraint is generated if there is no appropriate Constraint (a Constraint with a similar value). The new Constraint will be named with a unique name and will be analyzed. This new name is used in the Constraints Ref column.

**Start Timer**

Adds a start timer statement below/after the behaviour line with the input focus. The new behaviour line will have an increased indent level compared to the previous one.

![Start Timer dialog](image)

The start timer statement with the selected timer will be verified with the selected SDL system. If the verification does not fail, a start timer statement is generated and inserted below/after the behaviour line with the input focus. For a more detailed description of this dialog see “Add Send Statement” on page 1172 in chapter 26, *The TTCN Table Editor (on UNIX)*.
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Cancel Timer
Analogous to Start Timer.

Attach
Adds an attachment statement below/after the row with the input focus. The new behaviour line will have an increased indent level compared to the previous one.

The test step dialog used by this command is similar to the dialog in the Table Editor. The selected test step (the behaviour lines in the behaviour description) will be verified with the SDL system. The test step must have passed analysis before this operation.

Resynchronize
Verifies the test case in a Table Editor using the previously chosen Link executable. The table will change mode to the synchronized mode. This command is available from Table Editors for test cases and only if an SDL system is selected.

If the test case does not have any default reference and there is more than one default in the test suite, a selection dialog pops up and a default must be selected. If the test suite contains only one default, it will be selected automatically. If the test case already has a default, no change will be made.

If the test case (or the test step) contains behaviour lines, they will be verified with the current SDL system. If the verification of any line fails, the table will keep the normal mode.

Show SDL
Opens the SDL Editor with the symbols selected which were executed in the SDL system and are associated with the behaviour line which has the input focus. More precisely, the SDL symbols which were executed after the current test case line but before the next test case line, are selected exactly.

Show MSC
Opens the MSC Editor with a process level MSC that illustrates the execution path from the start of the SDL system to the state corresponding to the behaviour line with input focus.
**Show Coverage**

Opens the Coverage Viewer that displays test coverage information for the current test case. The test coverage displays how many times each symbol in the SDL system has been executed during the generation of the test case. Note that the important information is not the exact number of times a particular symbol has been executed (since this is dependent upon the particular algorithm used by the Link executable). The important information is whether a symbol has been executed or not. If a symbol in the SDL system has not been executed when generating the test case, the requirement defined by this symbol is not tested by the test case.

**Show Options**

Shows the current settings of the configuration parameters that control the way the Link executable explores the state space of the combined SDL/TTCN system.

---

**TTCN Link Commands in the TTCN Suite in Windows**

In Windows, different TTCN Link commands are included in the SDT Link menu and the Link dialog. The menu choices in the SDT Link menu are used for selecting the Link executable, generating declarations and for showing execution information. By using the Link dialog, you can generate various statements.

The SDT Link menu and the Link dialog will be explained below.

**The SDT Link Menu**

The following menu choices are included in the SDT Link menu:

- Select Link Executable
- Generate Declarations
- Show SDL
- Show MSC
- Show Coverage
- Show Options
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Select Link Executable
Makes a connection between a test suite and the corresponding SDL system. Opens a dialog in which you may select the Link executable.

It is also possible to specify the Link executable in the Organizer by associating the SDL system with the TTCN system. However, a Link executable selected in the TTCN suite will override an executable selected in the Organizer.

See also “External synonyms” on page 1353.

Generate Declarations
Generates TTCN versions of the relevant type declarations in the SDL system. The menu choice is only available if a Link executable has been selected.

The generated objects use the ASN.1 syntax. They are automatically analyzed after they have been generated. This is necessary for later operations and usage of these types.

At the same time as the declarations are generated, a Default table will be generated. It consists of an otherwise statement for each PCO and a timeout statement.

Timers will not be generated from the SDL system. If the design of the test suite requires any timers, they must be defined manually.

Show SDL
Opens an SDL Editor with the symbols selected which were executed in the SDL system and are associated with the selected behaviour line. More precisely, the SDL symbols which were executed after the current test case line but before the next test case line, are selected exactly.

Show MSC
Opens an MSC Editor with a process level MSC that illustrates the execution path from the start of the SDL system to the state corresponding to selected the behaviour line.
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Show Coverage
Opens an SDL Coverage Viewer that displays test coverage information for the current test case. The test coverage displays how many times each symbol in the SDL system has been executed during the generation of the test case. Note that the important information is not the exact number of times a particular symbol has been executed (since this is dependent upon the particular algorithm used by the Link executable). The important information is whether a symbol has been executed or not. If a symbol in the SDL system has not been executed when the test case was generated, the requirement defined by this symbol is not tested by the test case.

Show Options
Shows the current settings of the configuration parameters that control the way the Link executable explores the state space of the combined SDL/TTCN system.

The Link Dialog
The Link dialog can be opened from the SDT Link menu. The Link dialog can only be used when a behaviour line is selected in the table or if the table does not yet contain a behaviour line. When the Link dialog is opened, the current table automatically becomes synchronized with the Link executable, that is, the table is read-only. The only time synchronization is lost for the current table, is when TTCN Link is activated in another table or when you insert a behaviour line from the Data Dictionary dialog in the current table.

The Link dialog has almost the same appearance as the Data Dictionary dialog. A list in the lower left corner of the dialog makes it possible to switch between the Link and Data Dictionary dialog. For more information about the Data Dictionary, see “Creating Behaviour Lines” on page 1253 in chapter 31, Editing TTCN Documents (in Windows).

The operations available in the Link dialog will be described below. The operations are applied on a selected behaviour line and the result is that a new behaviour line is inserted below/after. The test case or the test step must be empty or a leaf row must be selected.
The Send/Receive Tab

Generate a send statement by selecting a PCO, ASP/PDU, constraint, etc. When you press the Apply button, the statement will be verified by the selected SDL system. If the verification succeeds, a new send statement will be inserted below the selected behaviour line.

Generate receives and/or timeout statement(s) below the selected behaviour line by clicking the Generate Receives button. The new row(s) will all have the same indent level. This indent level will be increased compared with the previous one.

This operation is only valid when the current selected behaviour line is a leaf row.

For each retrieved receive statement from the SDL system, a new Constraint is generated if there is no appropriate constraint (a constraint with a similar value). The new constraint will be named with a unique name and will be analyzed. This new name is used in the Constraints Ref column.

Figure 247: Generating send statements

For each retrieved receive statement from the SDL system, a new Constraint is generated if there is no appropriate constraint (a constraint with a similar value). The new constraint will be named with a unique name and will be analyzed. This new name is used in the Constraints Ref column.
The **Timer Tab**

Generate a timer statement by selecting *Start* or *Cancel* and a timer from the listbox (optional for *Cancel*). When you click the *Apply* button, the timer statement will be verified against the SDL system. If the verification succeeds, a timer statement will be generated and inserted below the selected behaviour line. The new behaviour line will have an increased indent level compared to the previous one.

![Figure 248: Generating timer statements](image)

The **Attachment Tab**

When you select an attachment and click *Apply*, an attachment statement will be generated below the selected behaviour line. The new line will have an increased indent level compared to the previous one.

The selected test step (the behaviour lines in the behaviour description) will be verified with the SDL system. The test step must have passed analysis before this operation.

**Note:**

TTCN Link does not support attachment parameters.
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The generation of a TTCN test suite with Autolink proceeds in several steps.

Figure 249: Test suite generation with Autolink
You start by specifying an SDL system, see “Specifying the SDL System and Performing Other Preparations” on page 1394. Based on this SDL specification, you generate a Validator application which includes Autolink.

Next, you define MSC test cases, see “Defining MSC Test Cases” on page 1396, which describe the purpose of the test cases of your test suite. They are stored on disk as system level MSCs, that is, MSCs with only one instance axis for the SDL system and one or more instance axis for the environment. Test cases may contain test steps which are stored as separate system level MSCs on disk.

You may also want to define an Autolink configuration, see “Defining an Autolink Configuration” on page 1411, in order to guide the naming and parameterization of constraints. Autolink can also be configured to store test cases into a hierarchy of test groups.

The next step is to generate test cases, see “Translating MSCs into Test Cases” on page 1416. This can be done either by a state space exploration of the SDL system or by directly translating system level MSCs into test cases. In any case, the result is an internal representation for each test case. At the same time, a list of constraints is generated. These constraints can be renamed and merged, see “Modifying Constraints” on page 1417. You can also add new constraints manually.

Finally, you generate a TTCN test suite, see “Generating a TTCN Test Suite” on page 1419, based on the test case representations and the list of constraints. The test suite is stored on disk in TTCN-MP format. On UNIX, you can import this file in the TTCN suite; in Windows, you can simply open it in the TTCN suite. On both platforms, you can convert the TTCN-MP file to the graphical TTCN format in the Organizer.

On the following pages, the steps will be described in more detail.

Specifying the SDL System and Performing Other Preparations

Before you start the Validator, directories must be created where you will store test case and test step representations. If there are no appropriate directories:

- Create one directory for test cases and one directory for test steps in your working directory.
Using Autolink

Specifying the SDL System
You have to specify an SDL system in order to create a Validator. At minimum, you must specify all channels to the environment of your system and all signals sent via these channels. With such a minimal specification, you can use Autolink to translate MSCs directly into TTCN by using the Translate-MSC-Into-Test-Case command. The advantages and disadvantages of using this command are described in “Translating MSCs into Test Cases” on page 1416.

Generating and Starting a Validator
When you have specified the SDL system, you can generate and start the Validator. How to do this is described in “Generating and Starting a Validator” on page 2323 in chapter 54, Validating a System.

Specifying Directories
Before you start defining test cases and test steps, you have to specify where they are to be saved:

1. In the Validator, select Autolink: Test Cases Directory from the Options2 menu.
   – This corresponds to the command Define-MSC-Test-Cases-Directory.

2. In the dialog that will be displayed, select the test case directory that you previously created and click OK.

   – This corresponds to the command Define-MSC-Test-Steps-Directory.

4. In the dialog that will be displayed, select the test step directory that you previously created and click OK.

When you later leave the Validator, you can save these values.
Defining MSC Test Cases

In Autolink, an MSC test case is derived from a path. A path is a sequence of events that have to be performed in order to go from a start state to an end state. There are two ways to define MSC test cases:

1. Interactive simulation and manual specification
2. Automatic computation by Autolink (see “Defining MSC Test Cases Automatically - Coverage Based Test Generation” on page 1410)

Defining MSC Test Cases Interactively

The creation of MSC test cases by interactive simulation proceeds in several steps:

1. Specify the start state of the test case.
   - If this state is identical to the root of the behavior tree, nothing has to be done. Otherwise, you must navigate to the desired state, for example by using the Navigator, selecting a previously defined report or verifying an MSC. Then you set the root to the current state with the Define-Root Current command.

2. Navigate through the system to the desired end state.

3. Select MSC: Save Test Case from the Autolink! menu.
   - This corresponds to the Save-MSC-Test-Case command.

Note:

Autolink always considers the current root of the behavior tree to be the start state of a path.

Also note that when a test case is generated, the root has to be the same as it was at the moment of the test case definition. You have to keep track of the start state with Print-Path and Goto-Path, for example if you want to leave the Validator temporarily.

2. Navigate through the system to the desired end state.

3. Select MSC: Save Test Case from the Autolink! menu.
   - This corresponds to the Save-MSC-Test-Case command.

An MSC test case consists of one instance axis for the SDL system and a separate instance axis for each channel to/from the environment. In TTCN terms, the single SDL system instance represents the System Under Test (SUT). The environment instances represent the Points of Control and Observation (PCO); PCOs are the interface between the test system and the SUT.
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The system level MSC that will be saved contains the observable events of the path between the start and the end state. Observable events represent the external interaction that takes place between the SDL system and its environment. (During conformance testing, external interaction takes place between the implementation and the test system.)

Figure 250 shows an MSC test case.

![Figure 250: An MSC test case](image)

**Incorporating Test Steps in Test Cases**

Typically, test cases are structured logically into several parts, for example a preamble, a test body and a postamble. These parts are called test steps. You may incorporate test steps in a test case by using MSC references.
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Figure 251 shows an MSC with two MSC references. Each of the referenced MSCs represents a separate test step; they are called Preamble and Postamble.

Figure 251: An MSC with a preamble and a postamble

Test steps are stored with the same file extension as test cases (.mpr). They are created in analogy to test cases with Save-MSC-Test-Step.

If you want to create a test case with a preamble and a postamble, several steps are necessary:

1. Make sure that you have specified the directories for test cases and test steps as described in “Specifying Directories” on page 1395.
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2. Set the root of the state space to the start state of the test case by using the command Define-Root Current.

3. Navigate to the end of the path of the preamble.

4. Use Save-MSC-Test-Step to save the preamble.

5. Set the root of the state space to the current state by using the command Define-Root Current.

6. Navigate to the end of the path of the test body.

7. Use Save-MSC-Test-Case to save the test case/test body.

8. Set the root of the space to the current state.

9. Navigate to the end state of the test case.

10. Use Save-MSC-Test-Step to save the postamble.

11. Add two MSC references manually to the MSC test case with the MSC Editor.

Alternatively, you may create a single MSC test case and split the file into preamble, test body and postamble afterwards.

Test steps may refer to other test steps, but not to test cases. During test case generation, Autolink keeps track of the nested structure of test cases and test steps.

Note:

When Autolink generates test cases (see Generate-Test-Case and Translate-MSC-Into-Test-Case), the semantics of MSC references and MSC reference expressions are different from the semantics given in the ITU-T Recommendation Z.120!

Autolink requires that a test step is completely evaluated before the next test step starts, i.e. it synchronizes among references, whereas Z.120 considers MSC references as macros which do not have to be evaluated as a unit.

With regard to Figure 251, this means that all signals of the test body in mi_inres2 have to be evaluated before the postamble starts.
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Autolink supports test suite timers. There are three types of timers which are commonly needed in test sequences:

1. A global timer is specified to guarantee that test cases end even if they are blocked during execution due to an error. By default, Autolink generates a global timer $T_{Global}$ automatically and starts it at the beginning of each test case. At the end of each test sequence, $T_{Global}$ is cancelled. The automatic generation of timers can be enabled and disabled with the Define-Global-Timer command.

2. A delaying timer is used to delay the sending of a signal from the tester to the SUT. This may be done for several reasons; for example, the sending is delayed on purpose to specify an invalid behavior of the environment.

3. A guarding timer is used to check that the SUT sends a signal within a predefined amount of time.

Delaying and guarding timers have to be specified manually on the environment instances in test case MSCs. As an example, the MSC in Figure 252 contains a guarding timer $T_{Guard}$ on instance ISAP1 and a delaying timer $T_{Wait}$ on instance MSAP2.

$T_{Guard}$ is set prior to sending a signal to the SUT and reset after the corresponding response from the SUT. If message ICONconf is received in time, test execution proceeds normally. Otherwise, a timeout of $T_{Guard}$ will be caught in the Default Dynamic Behavior description and lead to a fail verdict.

The setting of timer $T_{Wait}$ is followed immediately by a timeout event, causing the tester to delay the sending of message MDATreq.

Note:

Test suite timers are part of the environment of the SUT. Correspondingly, there is no explicit relation between these timers and any timers which might be used within the system. Autolink simply translates timer set events on environment instances into TTCN START operations, timer reset events into TTCN CANCEL operations and timeout events into TTCN TIMEOUT events. However, timer events on any MSC instance belonging to the SUT are not translated into TTCN statements.
Autolink creates timer declarations automatically from the information which it finds in the test case MSCs. From the MSC in Figure 252, Autolink generates a declaration for timer T_Guard with the default duration set to 10 and ms as unit. Correspondingly, the default duration for T_Wait is set to the test suite parameter PIX_Wait and its unit is set to ms as well. Autolink also generates a declaration for PIX_Wait.

This implicit declaration mechanism is convenient if the test suite consists of only one test case. If there are more test cases using timers, declaration conflicts may arise since timers are declared globally for the test suite. In order to solve this problem, Autolink provides the Define-Timer-Declaration command to explicitly declare test suite timers. Explicit timer declarations can not be modified by implicit declarations. It is therefore recommended to define all timer declarations explicat before test case computation starts. In that case, only the timer name must be provided for timer set events in the test case MSCs. The duration is optional (Autolink uses the duration value if it is not empty and different from the default duration specified in the declaration). Finally, the unit can be omitted from the test case MSCs if an explicit declaration exists.

Figure 252: Test case MSC with guarding and delaying timer

MSC guarddelay
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The use of test suite timers and their declaration is explained in more detail in “Test Suite Timers” on page 1445. With respect to timers, the TTCN output generated by Autolink depends on the test architecture. This is also discussed in “Test Suite Timers” on page 1445.

Defining Multiple Test Cases by HMSC Diagrams

HMSC diagrams can be used to illustrate the relationship between various test cases. For example, even though test cases normally have different test purposes, they might share the same preamble and postamble. This commonness can be graphically expressed by the use of an HMSC diagram such as the one in Figure 253.

**Note:**

HMSCs are not supported by Generate-Test-Case but only by Translate-MSC-Into-Test-Case.

![Figure 253: Three test cases described by one HMSC diagram](image)

When the HMSC Test is taken as input, Autolink will create three test cases which consist of the test steps Preamble/Valid/Postamble, Preamble/Invalid/Postamble and Preamble/Inopportune/Postamble.

The general interpretation of HMSCs can be described by a simple rule: Autolink generates a separate test case for each possible path through an HMSC. Of course, HMSCs may have more than one node with several outgoing edges, resulting in a potentially large number of test cases.
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Note:
Autolink does not translate loops directly into equivalent constructs in TTCN. Instead it handles loops by unrolling. Therefore, you should not introduce loops in HMSC diagrams.

All test cases need to have unique names. With regard to the HMSC Test in Figure 253, the resulting test cases will be named Test_Valid, Test_Invalid and Test_Inopportune. Whenever there is a branch in the HMSC, the name of the succeeding MSC reference is postfixed to the name of the top-level MSC (separated by '_').

Describing Indeterministic Behaviour by Inline and Reference Expressions

Sometimes, a system under test may not behave deterministically. For example, there may be unpredictable failures. A tester should be able to handle such situations. By the use of inline expressions and MSC reference expressions, it is possible to describe test cases where the tester reacts flexibly depending on the system behaviour.

If some of your test cases only differ slightly at some point in the test case, you may also use inline and reference expressions to describe different behaviour of the tester. In that case, Autolink generates separate test cases.

Autolink supports the following operators in MSC expressions:

- **The alternative operator** (alt) is suitable for the description of situations where the continuation of a test case depends on the former output of the system. If both alternatives start with a signal sent from the system to the tester (i.e. the environment), Autolink will generate two branches within a single test case.

  On the other hand, the operator may also be used to specify two alternative test sequences. If both alternatives start with a signal sent from the tester (environment) to the system, Autolink will generate two distinct test cases.

- **The optional operator** (opt) can be used, e.g., to accept signals which may or may not be sent by the system or to react to unexpected signals in a way that the test case can be continued normally afterwards.
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- The *exception* operator (`exc`) is intended to be used for error handling. An exception expression may contain signals which prevent the test system from continuing the regular test execution. In MSC `ConnectionRequest` (Figure 254 on page 1404), the reception of signal `IDISind` immediately stops the test case. Optionally, an exception includes a sequence of signals which bring the system under test back into a stable testing state. An exception always results in an "INCONC" verdict.

- The *loop* operator (`loop`) can be used to describe the iterative execution of a (portion of a) test case. As in HMSCs, Autolink does not translate loop expressions directly into equivalent constructs in TTCN. Instead, it handles loops by unrolling. If no upper loop boundary is given, the loop is evaluated up to three times.

- Finally, the *sequence operator* (`seq`) can be used within reference expressions in order to state that one test step follows another.

**Note:**

Autolink does not support the *parallel* (`par`) and *substitution* (`subst`) operator within inline and reference expressions.

---

**Figure 254: Test case with exception handling**

```
MSC ConnectionRequest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ICONreq</th>
<th>ICONind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td>ICONreq</td>
<td>ICONind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td>ICONind</td>
<td>ICONresp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
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Of course, the usage of the different operators is not restricted to the applications described above. On the other hand, not all MSCs containing inline or reference expressions may describe sensible test cases.

**Synchronization among MSC expressions**

As mentioned before, Autolink synchronizes at the beginning and the end of MSC references. This modification of the semantics given in the MSC standard is motivated by the ability to consider separate MSCs as different test steps. If we cannot guarantee that all events in one MSC are evaluated before the next MSC is entered, we cannot draw a line between two subsequent test steps.

MSC reference expressions are a generalization of plain MSC references. Autolink generates distinct TTCN test steps for each of the MSCs involved in the expression. For that reason, it makes sense to synchronize at MSC references, too.

When it comes to inline expressions it is not really necessary to synchronize at their beginning and their end. However, for consistency Autolink synchronize at inline expressions, as well.

There are situations where synchronization among inline expressions is preferable, whereas in other cases the TTCN output and Autolink’s error messages may be confusing.

In Figure 255, two examples are given. For MSC Sync1, Autolink will generate a test case where either ReceiveB or ReceiveC is anticipated before the test execution proceeds with SendD. If Autolink did not synchronize at the end of the alternative expression, SendD would be sent before ReceiveB (please note that Autolink prioritizes send events). Moreover, since both alternatives are combined in a single behaviour tree, SendD would erroneously become an alternative to ReceiveC!

Unfortunately, there are also cases where synchronization results in unexpected TTCN test cases. For the second MSC in Figure 255, Autolink will try to generate the following event tree:

```plaintext
Env1 ! SendA  
  Env2 ? OptReceiveB  
    Env1 ! SendC  
    Env2 ? ReceiveD  
  Env1 ! SendC  
  Env2 ? ReceiveD
```
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Of course, Autolink detects that there is a conflict among the two alternatives $OptReceiveB$ and $SendC$ and will print a warning.

Whenever Autolink issues a warning, you should carefully inspect your MSC test case definition. For example, when MSC $Sync2$ is interpreted as a test case, it is unclear how long a tester shall wait until it outputs $SendC$. On the other hand, if $OptReceiveB$ is not logically caused by $SendA$ or may received at any time, a possible solution would be to move $SendC$ above the optional expression. In this case, no conflict arises.

Synchronizing Test Events with Conditions

The messages in MSCs are only partially ordered. If a test generation tool would generate all possible test sequences, then send events could appear as alternatives to receive events in TTCN test cases, making them indeterministic. To solve this problem, three solutions are possible:

1. Events received by the tester are prioritized over events sent to the SUT.
2. Events sent to the SUT are prioritized over events received by the tester.
3. All messages in the MSC are evaluated from top to bottom. In that case, only one sequence of test events is generated.

The first alternative may lead to deadlocks and therefore it is not supported by Autolink. Alternative three may be selected with the `Define-Autolink-Generation-Mode` command.

By default, Autolink uses the second alternative and prioritizes events which are sent from the test system to the SUT over events which are received by the tester from the SUT.
Figure 255: Synchronization among inline expression
However, there are situations where this “send immediately” strategy leads to incorrect test cases. Consider the following small example: A calls B, B accepts the call, then C tries to call B and gets a busy signal. A corresponding MSC test purpose description would look similar to the one in Figure 256. What test sequence will Autolink generate? First, the tester will send \texttt{Request(B)} to the SUT through PCO A. Next, \texttt{Request(B)} will be sent to the SUT through PCO C, since this is the next send event on any of the tester instances.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{Sync_Example}
\caption{Synchronization example 1}
\end{figure}
Most likely, this is not what you have anticipated intuitively; the tester should send the second Request(B) only after it has received Confirmation through PCO A. This is where explicit synchronization with conditions comes in. Figure 257 contains the same MSC as Figure 256, with a global condition added between the reception of Confirmation at PCO A and the sending of Request(B) through PCO C. If the Autolink synchronization option is turned on (with Define-Condition-Check), then events below the condition can only be executed if all events above the condition have been executed as well. The condition effectively becomes a synchronization point. In the example, the sending of Request(B) through C will be delayed until Confirmation has been received at PCO A. The name in the condition is required by the ITU Recommendation Z.120, however it is only considered as a comment and does not have any semantics.
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Note:
The condition check option also applies to the MSC verification function of the Validator.

Listing and Clearing Test Cases and Test Steps

- Use `List-MSC-Test-Cases-And-Test-Steps` for listing all MSC test cases and test steps that are defined in the current test cases and test steps directories.
- Use `Clear-MSC-Test-Case` to delete an MSC test case.
- Use `Clear-MSC-Test-Step` to delete an MSC test step.

Note:
Autolink distinguishes between the MSC test case name (which is the name of the system level MSC) and the name of the file on disk that contains the MSC test case. Usually, these names are identical except for the file extension.

When accessing the test cases and the test steps directory, Autolink always refers to the file names, meaning that you also have to specify the file extension (either `.mpr` or `.msc`).

Defining MSC Test Cases Automatically - Coverage Based Test Generation

One common method to generate a test suite is to select a number of test cases which, taken together, obtain a high coverage of the SDL specification. Ideally, this coverage should be 100%. In that case, every SDL symbol in the specification is executed at least once during test generation.

Autolink provides a special state space exploration technique, called `Tree Walk`, which is optimized for finding paths through the state space of the SDL specification that result in a high SDL symbol coverage. Tree Walk combines the advantages of both the depth-first and breadth-first search strategy - it is able to visit states located deep in the reachability graph and to find a short path to a particular state at the same time.

- To use Tree Walk, apply the `Tree-Walk` command.
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The execution of Tree Walk is controlled by two options:

1. The maximum computation time (specified in minutes)
2. The targeted coverage (specified in percent)

When Tree Walk is used, it generates a report for each sequence of transitions in the state space that increases the number of visited SDL symbols. These reports can be converted into system level MSCs with the command `Save-Reports-as-MSC-Test-Cases`.

Defining an Autolink Configuration

Autolink offers a special language for defining rules for the naming and parameterization of constraints, the introduction of test suite parameters and constants, and the distribution of test cases and test steps into test groups.

- To specify Autolink configuration rules, use the command `Define-Autolink-Configuration`.

While it is possible to define an Autolink configuration on the fly at the Validator command prompt, it is better to write a command file which includes the configuration.

- To load this file, use the `Include-File` command.
  
  The command corresponds to the menu choice `Configuration: Load` in the `Autolink2` menu.

- To remove a loaded configuration, use the `Clear-Autolink-Configuration` command.

- To display the current configuration, use the `Print-Autolink-Configuration` command.

- To save a configuration, use the `Save-Autolink-Configuration` command.

See also:

- “Translation Rules” on page 1421 for an introduction to translation rules.

- “Test Suite Structure Rules” on page 1427 for the methodology for test suite structure rules.
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- “Syntax and Semantics of the Autolink Configuration” on page 1433 for a detailed description of the configuration language.

Computing Test Cases

Once you have defined a set of MSC test cases, you can compute internal test case representations for each MSC test case.

- To compute MSC test cases, use the Generate-Test-Case command.

  A bit-state exploration will be started which aims at finding all possible sequences of observable events that conform to the MSC. In addition, Autolink searches for inconclusive events. These are events that represent deviations from the behavior specified in the MSC test case, but which are valid alternatives according to the SDL specification.

Either a single test case or a set of test cases in the current test cases directory may be generated at the same time, depending on the parameter of Generate-Test-Case. If an already generated test case is regenerated, its former internal representation and its corresponding constraint definitions are replaced.

Note:

If the SDL specification is not detailed enough in the sense that it does not model the signal flow in a given MSC, the generation of the test case fails. MSCs which cannot be verified can still be converted into test cases with the Translate-MSC-Into-Test-Case command, which is described in “Translating MSCs into Test Cases” on page 1416.

Inline expressions, MSC reference expressions and HMSC diagrams are not supported by Generate-Test-Case. (However, you can use these structural concepts for Translate-MSC-Into-Test-Case.) If you want to generate test cases based on HMSCs, you have to transform your HMSC diagrams into basic MSCs first. You can do this by verifying the HMSCs and saving all MSC Verification reports as MSC test cases (command Save-Reports-as-MSC-Test-Cases).

With complex systems, test generation may take a while. To avoid time-consuming test generation failures, you should verify all MSCs first.

- To verify all MSC, use the Verify-MSC command.
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In most cases, MSC verification takes only a fraction of the time needed for test generation.

Listing and Clearing Generated Test Cases

- You can list all generated test cases with the `List-Generated-Test-Cases` command.
- You can clear all generated test cases with the `Clear-Generated-Test-Case` command.

Displaying and Saving the Internal Representation

- You can display the internal representation of a generated test case – without having to save the test suite and start the TTCN suite – with the `Print-Generated-Test-Case` command.
- You can save an internal test case representation with the `Save-Generated-Test-Case` command.
- You can load an internal test case representation back into memory with the `Load-Generated-Test-Cases` command.

This makes it possible to distribute the generation of a set of test cases to several computers. When all test cases have been generated and saved in individual files, they can be reloaded on a single machine and saved as a complete test suite.

State Space Exploration Parameters

There are several parameters which influence the state space exploration:

- You can set the maximum search depth with the `Define-Autolink-Depth` command (default value is 1000).
  
  If the search depth is set too low, this may result in incomplete pass paths. Since the maximum search depth normally has no impact on the performance of the state space search, it is recommended that you always use a large value (> 1000).

- You can resize the hash table with the `Define-Autolink-Hash-Table-Size` command (default size is 1,000,000 bytes).
  
  Increasing the hash table size may be necessary if the SDL system is rather complex.
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- Normally, Autolink changes the regular Validator state space options, because the default values of the Validator are not sufficient for generating correct test cases.

  For example, for the Inres protocol specification, the following commands are issued:

  Define-Scheduling All
  Define-Transition Symbol-Sequence
  Define-Symbol-Time Zero
  Define-Priorities 2 1 3 2 2
  Define-Channel-Queue ISAP1 On
  Define-Channel-Queue MSAP2 On
  Define-Max-Input-Port-Length 10

  Other SDL systems require different Define-Channel-Queue commands.

  Autolink automatically resets the original options when a test case generation is finished. Therefore, previously defined reports are still valid (unless they were generated by verifying an MSC).

  If you do not want to use the default settings for any reason, use the Define-Autolink-State-Space-Options Off command. This disables the automatic setting of Autolink’s default options.

Test Case Generation Messages

After the generation of a test case, some warnings or error messages may be displayed:

- **Error:** Autolink did not find any complete pass paths. No test case is generated.

  This message appears if no final TTCN *pass* verdict has been assigned to any event in the internal test case representation.

  The most common reason for test generation failure is that the MSC does not describe a valid trace of the SDL system. Hence, before running Autolink, you should check that the MSC test case can indeed be verified using command Verify-MSC.

  The error message above may also appear if the maximum search depth is set too low. Check the state space exploration statistics which are displayed by Autolink at the end of the test case generation. If the number of truncated paths is greater than zero, you should increase the maximum search depth. The required value for
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the search depth depends both on the length of the test case and on the selected state space options of the Validator.

Autolink may also fail if you do not specify the signal parameters in the MSC test cases, but instead use global test values. Make sure that at least all signals sent into the system are fully specified in the MSC.

- **Warning:** Incomplete pass path found.
The first event X on the incomplete branch gets an ‘INCONC’ verdict.

This message is displayed if a path in the state space is pruned before a final TTCN pass verdict has been assigned to an observable event. (Note that in this context a pass path refers to the internal test case representation whereas otherwise, a path refers to the state space of the SDL system.) If this happens, the first event X of the incomplete subtree is reassigned as a TTCN inconc verdict, and the rest of the subtree is discarded.

The reasons for a pass path being pruned are numerous. The system level MSC may not be verifiable, for example if the state space is too restricted, or there may be a path in the state space of the SDL system that only partially verifies the MSC. A common problem is the maximum search depth being too low (see above).

- **Warning:** Alternative events found for send event.
Inconclusive events are deleted.

For test generation, it is assumed that signals which can be sent to the system are sent instantaneously. Therefore, alternatives to send events are not desired.

With the default options of Autolink, this message should not appear. If you use your own Autolink options, check whether Input from ENV has the highest priority. To correct the problem, you should use the Define-Priorities command with parameters $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq x_3 \geq x_4$, where $x_i > 1$.

- **Warning:** No translation rule can be applied to the following signal: <Signal Name>

If you define an Autolink configuration containing translation rules, Autolink assumes that you want to map all SDL signals onto constraints with the help of user-defined rules. Hence, you will be
warned if there is a signal in a test case to which no translation rule can be applied.

- Warning: There are events following an event with final ‘PASS’ verdict.

When the MSC test case has been simulated completely, the SDL system was not yet in a stable state. Instead, it sent out one or more additional signals which were not specified in the MSC test case. You should carefully review your test specification, since during a test campaign you may not be able to successfully execute another test case after the execution of the faulty test case.

**Translating MSCs into Test Cases**

If an SDL system is not fully specified, some or all MSCs may not be converted into test cases if you use the Generate-Test-Case command. Instead, you can use the Translate-MSC-Into-Test-Case command to translate MSCs directly into the same internal test case format which is used for test cases generated by state space exploration. Therefore, you can use all commands related to listing, displaying, removing, saving and loading of test cases (introduced in “Computing Test Cases” on page 1412) with directly translated test cases as well.

Furthermore, all rules for constraint naming (see “Translation Rules” on page 1421) and test grouping (see “Test Suite Structure Rules” on page 1427) apply to translated test cases, too.

**Note:**

Since the translation of MSCs into test cases does not perform a state space exploration, there is no guarantee that the MSCs and hence the test cases describe valid traces of the specification or the implementation, respectively. Instead, the validity of the test cases has to be assured by the developer. Furthermore, no inconclusive events can be computed during MSC translation. Therefore, the resulting test cases return a fail verdict for any deviation from the behavior described in the MSC.

Either a set of test cases in the current test cases directory or just a single one may be translated at the same time, depending on the parameter of Translate-MSC-Into-Test-Case. If an already translated test case is retranslated, its former internal representation and its constraint definitions are replaced.
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Two different algorithms are available for MSC translation: With the Define-Autolink-Generation-Mode command, you can choose the semantics used to interpret MSCs during translation. By default, Autolink uses the standard semantics of MSC. If the generation mode is set to “total ordering”, then the sequence of input and output events in the MSC is determined straight from top to bottom. If there are two events on different environment instances, Autolink evaluates the event which is closest to the top of the MSC first.

**Note:**
For test generation with state space exploration (using the Generate-Test-Case command), total ordering is not supported.

**MSC into TTCN Translation Messages**
After the generation of a test case, some warnings or error messages may be displayed:

- **Error:** No test case could be generated. Please check whether the MSC contains separate instance axes for each channel to the environment.
  
  The Translate-MSC-Into-Test-Case command does not support the translation of MSCs with only one instance axis for the environment. Use MSCs with a separate instance axis for each channels to the environment (i.e. for each PCO).

- **Warning:** No translation rule can be applied to the following signal: <Signal Name>
  
  If you define an Autolink configuration containing translation rules, Autolink assumes that you want to map all SDL signals onto constraints with the help of user-defined rules. Hence, you will be warned if there is a signal in a test case to which no translation rule can be applied.

**Modifying Constraints**
It is highly recommended that you specify constraints naming and parameterization rules in an Autolink configuration file. Otherwise, a generic name of the form <Test case name>_<three digit number> is assigned to each constraint during test case generation. However in the latter case, you will probably find it useful to modify the constraints generated by Autolink.
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- Use the Rename-Constraint command to change the name of a constraint.

Besides renaming a constraint, it is also possible to merge two constraints. Do this by giving a constraint the same name as another one. Then you will have to select which of the two signal definitions should be kept (unless they are identical). There is one restriction: Constraints with formal parameters cannot be overwritten by other constraints.

See also “Translation Rules” on page 1421.

- Use the Merge-Constraints command to merge two constraints by potentially introducing formal parameters.

If the original constraints are used in test cases, their constraint references will be updated. This means that the concrete signal parameters (which were replaced by formal parameters) will be moved to the constraint references.

**Note:**
If you rename a constraint or merge two constraints, the internal test case descriptions are updated, too. The links between the events in the test cases and their corresponding constraints remain consistent.

- Use the Define-Constraint command to add new constraints to the current list of constraints.

If a constraint with the same name but a different signal definition already exists, you will have to choose what to do – rename the new constraint, overwrite the old constraint or remove the new definition.

- Use the Parameterize-Constraint command to replace concrete signal parameter values in a constraint by formal (symbolic) parameters. If the parameterized constraint is used in a test case, the parameter value is not lost, but maintained in the constraint references of the referring test cases instead.

- Use the Clear-Constraint command to delete a constraint.

- Use the List-Constraints command to list all currently defined constraints.

- Use the Save-Constraint command to save one or all constraints.
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- Use the Load-Constraints command to reload saved constraints.

Generating a TTCN Test Suite

- Use the Save-Test-Suite command to save a test suite in a TTCN-MP file.

  The internal representations of the test cases will be kept in memory in order to allow you to save test suites in different formats.

- There are two fundamentally different formats to save test suites: “Traditional” TTCN and concurrent TTCN. To switch between these formats, use the Define-Concurrent-TTCN command. For a detailed description of the concurrent TTCN format, see “Concurrent TTCN” on page 1440.

- By default, constraints are stored as ASN.1 ASP constraints, but before you generate a test suite, you may change an option to have them stored as ASN.1 PDU constraints instead. To do this, use the Define-TTCN-Signal-Mapping command. You are also allowed to select the correct type of constraint for each signal individually by adding rules to an Autolink configuration (see “Defining ASP and PDU Types” on page 1431).

- There are three possible output formats for test steps. Use the Define-TTCN-Test-Steps-Format command to select an output format:
  - One possibility is to store the test steps of a single test case as local trees. If a test step is used several times, only one behavior description is generated.
  - Test steps can also be stored globally in the test step library. If a test step is used several times in different test cases, only one behavior description is generated.
  - A third alternative is to generate “flat” test cases by including the events of the test steps directly in the test case dynamic behavior descriptions. In this case, no information about test steps is put into the TTCN test suite.

  Note:

  A test step that is used in several places may lead to trees with different inconclusive events or different verdicts. In this case, they will be given new, unique names.
Preliminary Pass Verdicts
Test cases that are structured into preamble, test body and postamble will automatically be assigned preliminary pass verdicts at the end of the test body. However, test cases can contain an arbitrary number of MSC references (and hence test steps). Therefore, preliminary pass verdicts will be assigned to all events that are directly followed by the last top-level MSC reference in the test case. The preliminary pass verdicts will only be assigned if no event follows the last MSC reference. The event to which a preliminary pass verdict is assigned may appear within the test body as well as within a test step.

Test Suite Generation Messages
During the saving of a test suite, some warnings or error messages may be displayed:

- **Warning: Test step `<TS>` resulted in different trees.**
  The trees are renamed to `<TS>_1`, `<TS>_2`, etc. in the test suite.

  If an MSC test step is reused in several test cases, the resulting TTCN test steps may be different. Typically, this warning appears if a test step is used as a preamble in one test case and then again as a complete test case by itself. In the latter case, a final pass verdict is assigned to the test case, while in the former one it is not.

- **Warning: No test suite structure rule defined for test case/step `<TestCaseName/TestStepName>`**.

  If you define an Autolink configuration containing test suite structure rules, Autolink assumes that you want to place all test cases/steps in test groups defined by the test suite structure rules. Hence, you will be warned if there exists a test case/step to which no rule can be applied.

- **Warning: Test suite parameter/constant `<Name>` is not unique.**
  It is renamed to `<Name>_1`, `<Name>_2`, etc. in the test suite.

  By using translation rules (see “Translation Rules” on page 1421) you can introduce test suite parameters and constants. These parameters and constants are checked for consistency in a similar way as test suites. With the warning above, Autolink informs you that it had to rename test suite parameters/ constants in order to resolve naming conflicts.
Translation Rules

In “Modifying Constraints” on page 1417, you have learned how to change constraints. However, assigning sensible names to automatically generated constraints is a tedious task. Especially if you have to refine the SDL specification and then to repeat the test generation process, there is a lot of manual work. Moreover, the number of generated constraints may become very large if you do not use constraint parameterization.

In order to address these problems and some additional issues, you can specify so-called translation rules. These rules control the look of a test suite with regard to the following items:

1. Naming of constraints
2. Parameterization of constraints
3. Replacement of signal parameter values by wildcards in a constraint declaration table
4. Introduction and naming of test suite parameters and test suite constants

Translation rules build one integral part of an Autolink configuration (see also “Test Suite Structure Rules” on page 1427). Before you start the test generation, you can develop an Autolink configuration file that contains a Define-Autolink-Configuration command. The set of translation rules which tell Autolink how to construct constraints and treat parameters for particular signals, are provided as a kind of long parameter to this command.

For some examples, see “Examples of Translation Rules” on page 1421. More information can be found in “Defining an Autolink Configuration” on page 1411 and “Syntax and Semantics of the Autolink Configuration” on page 1433.

Examples of Translation Rules

A typical translation rule may look like this:

Example 257

```
TRANSLATE MDATind
CONSTRAINT NAME "C_" + $0
PARS $1="Type"
```
Example 257 explains how signal MDATind is translated into a suitable TTCN constraint. The rule above states that the name of a constraint for signal MDATind consists of the concatenation of text "C_" and the “nullth” parameter – which is the name of the signal itself. Therefore, signal MDATind is translated into a constraint called C_MDATind.

Additionally, the first parameter of the signal (referred to by $1$) becomes a parameter of the constraint. The name of the formal parameter is Type. It is printed both in the Constraint Name line and the Constraint Value section of the constraint declaration table. The actual parameter of the constraint is printed in the dynamic behavior table of each test case that uses this constraint.

A constraint declaration table for signal MDATind is shown in Figure 258.

![Figure 258: A constraint declaration with a formal parameter](image)

It is also possible to define a single translation rule for more than one signal. This is especially useful if similar signals exist which can be treated in the same way.
Example 258

TRANSLATE MDATind | MDATreq
CONSTRAINT NAME "C_" + $0
    PARS $1="Type"
END

If either MDATind or MDATreq is identical to the signal for which a constraint is to be created during test generation, the rule in Example 258 is applied. The value of $0 depends on the name of the actual signal investigated at run-time. Since the first signal parameter is always to be replaced by the formal parameter Type, the rule is only valid if each of the alternative signals, i.e. MDATind, and MDATreq, has at least one parameter. When parsing an Autolink configuration, all translation rules are checked automatically for validity.

Constraint names may not only be based on texts and signal names. They can also depend on signal parameters. In a translation rule, a signal parameter is referred to by its number, prefixed with a dollar character ($). (Note that Autolink only supports parameters on the top level – it is not possible to refer to a component of a nested parameter.)

In some cases, it is not desirable to use the value of a signal parameter directly as part of a constraint name. For example, a protocol engineer might encode complicated signal information with abbreviations or numbers. But for the TTCN output, parameter values should be mapped onto more meaningful expressions.

Therefore, you may define functions which take an arbitrary number of parameters and map them onto text. In Example 259, the value of the first parameter of signal MDATind is passed to function PDUType. Depending on the concrete parameter value, which occurs during test case generation, the function returns a text. This text forms the second part of the constraint name.
Example 259

TRANSLATE MDATind
    CONSTRAINT NAME "Medium_" + PDUType($1)
END

FUNCTION PDUType
    $1 == "CR"  : "Ind_Connection_Request"
    $1 == "AK"  : "Ind_Acknowledge"
    $1 == "DR"  : "Ind_Disconnection_Request"
    TRUE        : "Indication"
END

Note:
In a translation rule, $i$ refers to the i-th parameter of the signal for which a constraint is created. However in a function, $i$ denotes the i-th parameter which was passed to the function.

You may define complex rules whose evaluation is guarded by conditions. This is illustrated in Example 260.

Example 260

TRANSLATE "MDATind"
    IF $1 == "CR" THEN
        CONSTRAINT NAME "Medium_Connection_Request"
    END
    IF $1 == "AK" AND $2 == "zero" THEN
        CONSTRAINT NAME "Medium_Acknowledge_Zero"
    END
    CONSTRAINT NAME "Medium_Indication"
        PARS $1="Type"
END

Conditional translations can be defined by IF-statements. Only if the condition(s) following the IF keyword is/are satisfied, the constraint is built according to the subsequent specification. A translation rule can contain several IF-clauses. The first clause which condition is satisfied (or which does not have an IF statement at all) is chosen for translation.

In the example above, signal MDATind is translated into a constraint called Medium_Connection_Request if the first signal parameter equals CR, and to a constraint called Medium_Acknowledge if the first two signal parameters equal AK and zero respectively. If neither condition is satisfied, the unconditioned section is evaluated. In this case, a constraint with name Medium_Indication and formal parameter Type
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is created. Note that the parameter definition is not taken into account if any of the former IF-conditions is satisfied!

Sometimes, it is useful to indicate that a specific signal parameter is irrelevant. For example, assume that if the first parameter of signal MDAT-ind is CR, the values of the second and third parameter can be ignored. Hence, you can replace them by wildcards in a constraint table. In Example 261, a MATCH statement is added that tells Autolink to replace the values of the signal parameters 2 and 3 by asterisks. The resulting constraint table is displayed in Figure 259.

Example 261

TRANSLATE "MDATind"
   IF $1 == "CR" THEN
      CONSTRAINT NAME "Medium_Connection_Request"
      MATCH $2="*", $3="*"
   END
   CONSTRAINT NAME "Medium_Indication"
   PARS $1="Type"
END

Note:
The application of TTCN matching mechanisms is only valid for receive events. Hence, you are not allowed to apply the MATCH statement to signals that become send events in TTCN.

Translation rules also allow to introduce test suite parameters and constants. Test suite constants are useful if a concrete parameter value does not give any clues about its meaning and hence should be replaced globally by a more meaningful name. Test suite parameters should be introduced if signal parameter values are implementation dependent. By defining a test suite constant/parameter, a concrete signal parameter

Figure 259: A constraint table with TTCN matching expressions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constrained Name</th>
<th>Medium_Connection_Request</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASP-Type</td>
<td>MDATInd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description Path</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constraint Value</td>
<td>[PDU[Type] CR, sequenceNumber2, (EDU[Type])]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detailed Comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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value in a constraint table is replaced by a symbolic constant. The assignment of concrete values to symbolic test suite constants/parameters is made in additional TTCN tables which are created automatically by Autolink.

Example 262 illustrates the use of test suite parameters and constants. If the condition is satisfied, the second signal parameter is replaced globally by $SeqNo$ in the TTCN test suite. The third signal parameter is replaced by a test suite parameter called $DataValue$. This parameter refers to PICS/PIXIT proforma entry $PICS_Data$.

If signal $MDATreq$ has not been used for data transfer, the value of the first signal parameter is replaced by a test suite constant which name is based on the concrete signal parameter value. A constraint table and an according constant table for this case is shown in Figure 260 and Figure 261.

Example 262

```
TRANSLATE "MDATreq"
IF $1 == "DT" THEN
    CONSTRAINT NAME "Medium_Req_Data_Transfer"
    TESTSUITE CONSTS $2="SeqNo"
    PARS $3="DataValue" / "PICS_Data"
END
    CONSTRAINT NAME "Medium_Req_" + PDUType($1)
    MATCH $3="*"
    TESTSUITE CONSTS $1=PDUType($1)
END

FUNCTION PDUType
$1 == "CC" : "ConConf"
|$1 == "AK" : "Acknowledge"
|$1 == "DR" : "DisconRequest"
|$1 == "DT" : "DataTransfer"
|$1 == "CR" : "ConRequest"
END
```
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An Autolink configuration typically consists of a large number of translation rules which are evaluated from top to bottom. If a constraint cannot be constructed based on the given rules, a generic name will be assigned to the constraint, in the same way as when no translation rules are defined.

Test Suite Structure Rules

In TTCN, test cases can be combined in test groups. Each test group aims at testing the system under test for one particular aspect. Test groups again can be part of other higher level test groups, resulting in a hierarchy of test groups.

Test steps can be put into test groups as well. In the following, test cases and test steps will not be distinguished, as test structure rules apply to both.

When you start designing a test suite, you should have a clear notion of what the structure of the test suite will be. In fact, for successful test suite development, it is important to first determine what should be tested and how the tests can be classified, before individual test cases are specified.

If you use Autolink for test generation, the test cases are described by MSCs. Ideally, the names of the MSCs should give information about
the structure of the resulting test suite. Because of this, you may specify rules for the automatic placing of test cases in different test groups, depending on the names of the corresponding MSCs. These test suite structure rules prevent you from repeating a lot of manual work if you regenerate the test suite due to a modification of the underlying SDL specification. Moreover, test suite structure rules (TSS rules) also save you a lot of work if you create a test suite only once, since a single rule can be applied to several test cases. As will be shown in the example below, one rule may be enough to describe the structure of a complete test suite.

Test suite structure rules are part of an Autolink configuration. Before the test generation starts, you can write an Autolink configuration file which contains a Define-Autolink-Configuration command. The TSS rules are provided as a kind of long parameter to this command.

For details on the Autolink configuration commands see “Defining an Autolink Configuration” on page 1411. A precise description of the Autolink configuration language is given in “Syntax and Semantics of the Autolink Configuration” on page 1433.

Examples of Test Suite Structure Rules

In the following, it is assumed that you want to create a test suite in which test cases can be classified according to three different criteria. On the top level, tests can be distinguished by whether they are related to mandatory or optional capabilities. On the next level, tests may focus on particular protocol phases, for example connection establishment, data transfer and disconnection. Finally, valid, invalid or inopportune behavior may be displayed. A resulting test suite should have the following structure:

```
Mandatory
  Connection
    Valid
    Invalid
    Inopportune
  DataTransfer
    Valid
    ...
    Disconnection
    ...
Optional
  ...
```

It is further assumed that having this structure in mind, you have created MSC test cases with the following names:
MSC test cases that belong to the same test group are numbered sequentially.

Now, a simple TSS rule for the scenario above may look like this:

**Example 263**

```
PLACE V_Con_Man_01
IN "Mandatory" / "Connection" / "Valid"
END
```

**Example 263** states that test case *V_Con_Man_01* is intended to be placed in the test group *Valid*. Since this test group is placed in another test group (*Connection*), you have to specify the complete path, composed of all groups in hierarchical order. The names of the test groups are separated by a slash ('/') in analogy to the notation of test group references in the TTCN standard.

If you want to place several test cases in the same test group, you can use the alternative operator ('|') in the header of a TSS rule:

**Example 264**

```
PLACE IO_Data_Opt_01 | IO_Data_Opt_02
IN "Optional" / "DataTransfer" / "Inopportune"
END
```

**Example 264** places both *IO_Data_Opt_01* and *IO_Data_Opt_02* in test group *Optional/DataTransfer/Inopportune*.

Rules like the one shown in **Example 263** and **Example 264** can be applied to MSC test cases with arbitrary names. In the best case, you have to write one TSS rule for each test group.

However, there is a direct relation between the MSC names and the test groups. For example, the two characters *IO* at the beginning of an MSC name indicate that the corresponding test case has to be placed in a test group called *Inopportune*. Using this information, the number of TSS rules can be further reduced as explained below.
You are allowed to use patterns in the header of a test suite structure rule. The following characters have a special meaning when used in the header:

- `*` matches zero or more arbitrary characters.
- `?` matches exactly one arbitrary character.
- `[..]` matches any single character in the enclosed lists. In order to represent characters ranges, you can type two characters separated by a dash (`-`). For example, `"[a-z]"` denotes an arbitrary lowercase letter. If the first character is a `!`, any character not enclosed is matched.

Note:
Patterns can also be used in a similar way in the header of translation rules. This is useful if signals with similar names are to be treated equally.

Now consider the following complex rule and its auxiliary functions:

```
Example 265

PLACE "**" + " " + "**" + " " + "??" + " " + "**"
IN    OptMan( @5 ) / Phase( @3 ) / Behavior( @1 )
END

FUNCTION OptMan
$1 == "Opt" : "Optional"
| $1 == "Man" : "Manual"
END

FUNCTION Phase
$1 == "Con" : "Connection"
| $1 == "Data" : "DataTransfer"
| $1 == "Dis" : "Disconnection"
END

FUNCTION Behavior
$1 == "V" : "Valid"
| $1 == "IV" : "Invalid"
| $1 == "IO" : "Inopportune"
END
```

With the rule in Example 265, all test cases can be placed in their appropriate test groups.
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When a test suite structure rule is evaluated, it is first checked whether one of the terms following the keyword \texttt{PLACE} (which are separated by ‘|’) equals the name of the investigated test case. In the rule above, there is only one term consisting of 7 parts, called atoms. These atoms are concatenated by the ‘+’ operator.

While Autolink simply has to compare strings in Example 263 and Example 264, it has to find out whether a concrete test case name matches the pattern in Example 265. If the test case name matches the pattern, the atoms in the header of the TSS rule are instantiated.

If, for example, the rule is applied to test case \texttt{IO\_Data\_Opt\_01} at run-time, the first atom (originally ‘*’) is set to “IO”. The value of the third atom becomes “Data”, the value of the fifth atom becomes “Opt” and the value of the seventh atom becomes “01”. The second, fourth and sixth atom remain unchanged as they do not contain any special characters.

In order to refer to the value of an atom in the rule header, you can use the ”at” operator (‘@’). For example, “@5” refers to the value of the fifth atom.

Additionally, you may define functions which map parameters onto texts. In Example 265, “@5” is passed to function \texttt{OptMan}. Depending on the concrete parameter value which is passed at run-time, the function returns either the text “Optional” or “Manual” (or an error message if the first function parameter is neither “Opt” nor “Man”).

An Autolink configuration typically consists of a number of TSS rules which are evaluated from top to bottom. If a test case or a test step cannot be placed in a test group based on the given rules, Autolink places it on top-level and prints an error message. In this case, you can modify your rules, reload them and apply the \texttt{Save-Test-Suite} command again.

Defining ASP and PDU Types

When Autolink produces a TTCN test suite it creates several tables in the declarations part. These tables store information about sorts, ASN.1
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data types and signal definitions used in the SDL system. By default, Autolink applies the following rules:

1. SDL sort definitions are mapped onto *ASN.1 type definitions*.
2. ASN.1 data types defined externally in an ASN.1 module are listed as *ASN.1 type definitions by reference* in TTCN.
3. SDL signal definitions become *ASN.1 ASP type definitions*. As a consequence, if a signal is used in a test case, its corresponding TTCN constraint is stored in an *ASN.1 ASP constraint table*.

Very often, this mapping is too strict. For example, during test execution a tester may exchange both Abstract Service Primitives (ASPs) and Protocol Data Units (PDUs) with the system under test. If you want to store constraints as ASN.1 PDU constraints, you may use *Define-TTCN-Signal-Mapping* with parameter `PDU`. However, in this case *all* signals are considered to be PDUs. Moreover, this command does not apply to SDL sorts and ASN.1 data types.

In order to specify the correct for each different type of information, Autolink provides two commands in its configuration language. These commands start with either the keyword *ASP-TYPES* or *PDU-TYPES*. You can use them to declare single signals and sorts as ASPs and PDUs.

**Example 266**

```plaintext
ASP-TYPES
  "ICONreq" , "ICONconf", "IDATreq"
END

PDU-TYPES
  "pdu*"
END
```

In Example 265, three SDL signals, namely `ICONreq`, `ICONconf` and `IDATreq` are specified as ASPs. The second rule states that all signals and sorts whose name starts with “pdu” shall be considered to be PDUs. If constraints with corresponding types are used, they are stored as PDU constraints as well.

**Stripping signal definitions**

When it comes to the automatic generation of TTCN test suites, one of the drawbacks of SDL is that any data which is exchanged between a
system and its environment has to be encapsulated in signals. Especially, if your SDL specification makes use of ASN.1 data types, this restriction imposes a redundant embedding. On the other hand, the concept of signals does not exist in TTCN. Instead, common data values can be sent and received directly. For that reason, Autolink allows to strip signals.

Consider a signal type defined as $MDATreq(PDUType)$. If a signal of this type is used in a test case, say $MDATreq(\{CC\})$, then Autolink will generate a constraint of type $MDATreq$. However, what you may want to generate is a constraint of type $PDUType$, i.e. the signal should be stripped from its parameter.

Example 267

```
STRIP-SIGNALS
  "MDATreq"
END

PDU-TYPES
  "PDUType"
END
```

Example 267 presents a short Autolink configuration statement that tells Autolink to unwrap the signal parameter when generating constraints that are related to signal $MDATreq$ in the SDL specification.

Please note that signal stripping can only be applied to signals that have exactly one parameter! Moreover, the embedded parameter must be declared either as PDU or as ASP. If these conditions do not hold, Autolink refuses to strip the signal and issues a warning. If a signal can be stripped successfully, no declaration is generated for it in the TTCN declaration part, since it is not used in the constraints part.

Syntax and Semantics of the Autolink Configuration

**Autolink Configuration**

The definition of an Autolink configuration is started with the keyword `Define-Autolink-Configuration` and is terminated with `End`. It consists of an arbitrary sequence of five different kinds of statements:
Translation rules, test suite structure rules, ASP/PDU type rules, signal stripping rules and functions.

Example 268: Syntax of Autolink configuration

```
<Start> ::= "Define-Autolink-Configuration"
"End"
<Configuration> ::= { <TransRule> | <TSStructureRule> |
ASPTypesRule | <PDUTypesRule> |
StripSignalsRule | <Function> }*
```

**Note:**

If you want to define both translation rules and test suite structure rules, you have to place them in the same configuration definition.

Rules and functions can be arbitrarily mixed in a configuration. There is no need to place rules on top of a file, nor do you have to write forward declarations for functions.

**Note:**

Autolink analyzes translation rules and test suite structure rules in the order they have been defined. As a consequence, the order of the definitions is crucial if several rules can be applied.

Translation Rules

Translation rules are evaluated whenever a constraint is created during test case generation.

A translation rule starts with the specification of the names of the signals to which it shall apply (denoted by `<AlternativeListOfTerms>`).
As sketched in the example section, translations can be made dependent on one or more conditions. Hence, the body of a translation rule may consist of one or more statements embedded by \texttt{IF} \ldots \texttt{THEN} \ldots \texttt{END} constructs. The first group of statements whose preceding conditions are satisfied (or which do not have an \texttt{IF} statement at all) is evaluated. All subsequent definitions are ignored. If no conditions hold for a given signal, Autolink looks for another translation rule which fits the signal.

There are two groups of directives starting with either the keyword \texttt{CONSTRAINT} or \texttt{TESTSUITE}.

In the \texttt{CONSTRAINT} part you can specify the name (keyword \texttt{NAME}) and the formal parameters of a constraint (keyword \texttt{PARS}) for one or more given signals. Additionally, you can tell Autolink to replace signal parameter values by a TTCN matching mechanism (keyword \texttt{MATCH}). Please note that Autolink does not perform any checks concerning matching mechanisms at run-time. It simply handles it as a textual replacement.

In the \texttt{TESTSUITE} part, you can specify that parameter values of a signal are replaced by test suite parameters and constants. The declaration of constants is preceded by the keyword \texttt{CONSTS}, test suite parameter are introduced with \texttt{PARS}.

It is possible to declare a constraint parameter and a test suite constant/parameter for the same signal parameter. However, Autolink en-
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ensures that a signal parameter is not mapped onto a test suite constant and parameter at the same time.

There exist several default values that are used when an optional parameter is not specified:

- The default name of a constraint is defined by the term ‘c’ + $0, i.e. the signal name is prefixed by a ‘c’.
- The default name of a constraint parameter is constructed by “Par” + <SignalNumber> (e.g. Par3 for the third parameter).
- If a signal parameter is specified after MATCH, but no term is given, its values is replaced by ‘*’ in the constraint table.
- The default name of a test suite constant is “TestSuiteConst”.
- The default name of a test suite parameter is “TestSuitePar”.
- By default, there is no PICS/PIXIT reference.

If there are name clashes, test suite constants and parameters are treated similar to constraints and test steps. That means, if there are two constants with the same name but different values, they are distinguished by a sequence number.

Test Suite Structure Rules

Test suite structure rules are similar to translation rules. They share most of the basic concepts, for example terms, functions and conditions. However, while translation rules are applied during test case generation, TSS rules are evaluated when you save a test suite with the Save-Test-Suite command.

A test suite structure rule starts with the specification of the names of the test cases to which it shall apply (denoted by <AlternativeListOfTerms>).

Conditions can be used in the same way as in translation rules: The first IN statement whose preceding conditions are satisfied (or which is not embedded in an IF...THEN...END statement at all), is taken into account. All subsequent statements are ignored. If no conditions hold for a given test case/step, Autolink looks for another TSS rule that fits the test case/step.
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Example 270: Syntax of test suite structure rules

```
<TSStructureRule> ::= "PLACE" <AlternativeListOfTerms>
                    <TSStructureRuleIf>*
                    [ <TSStructureRuleNoIf> ]
                    "END"
<TSStructureRuleIf> ::= "IF" <Conditions> "THEN"
                      <TSStructureRuleNoIf> "END"
<TSStructureRuleNoIf> ::= "IN" <Term> { "/" <Term> }*
```

Declaring ASP and PDU Types

The rules to declare ASP and PDU types are evaluated when a TTCN test suite is saved on disk with the `Save-Test-Suite` command. Please note that each of the rules can only be defined once in an Autolink configuration. However, this is no restriction as you can specify an arbitrary number of signals and sorts in both rules.

Example 271: Syntax for declaring ASP and PDU types

```
<ASPTypesRule> ::= "ASP-TYPES" <SequentialListOfTerms> "END"
<PDUTypesRule> ::= "PDU-TYPES" <SequentialListOfTerms> "END"
```

Stripping Signals

Rules for stripping signals are evaluated closely coupled with the rules above for declaring ASP and PDU types. Autolink only strips a signal if its only parameter is declared as ASP or PDU. Autolink only accepts one stripping rule in a configuration.

Example 272: Syntax for stripping signals

```
<StripSignalsRule> ::= "STRIP-SIGNALS" <SequentialListOfTerms> "END"
```

Functions

Functions are identified uniquely by their names. If there are two functions with exactly the same name, the one defined first is always evaluated.

Functions are visible globally, that is, they can be called by any constraint or test suite structure rule and other functions. References to
functions are resolved at run-time. If there is a call to an unknown function, the text "FunctionXXXNotFound" is returned.

Example 273: Syntax of functions

A function body consists of a number of mapping rules separated by '|'. Mapping rules specify the possible return values of a function. A mapping is performed if its corresponding condition(s) hold. Mappings are evaluated from top to bottom. If the conditions of all mappings fail, a function returns the text "NoConditionHoldsInFunctionXXX".

Function parameters can be accessed in the same way as signal parameters in a translation rule. For example, $2$ refers to the second parameter. In the context of functions, the reference $0$ denotes the name of the function. Since parameters do not have a name, but are referred to by their position instead, there is no need to declare them in the function header. If you try to access a parameter that has not been passed to the function, the missing parameter is replaced by the text "ParOutOfRange".

Note:
In conditions, the existence of a particular parameter can be checked. For example, condition

$4 == "ParOutOfRange"

checks if four parameters have been passed to the function.

Basic Expressions

The only data type defined in the Autolink configuration language is text. Whether you refer to a signal parameter or call a function, the result of the operation is always a text.

Example 274: Syntax of basic expressions

Note:
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<AlternativeListOfTerms> ::= <Term> { "|" <Term> }*
<Conditions> ::= <Condition> { "AND" <Condition> }*
<Condition> ::= <Term> { "==" | "!=" } <Term> | "TRUE"

Texts are constructed by atoms and terms. A single atom can be one of the following expressions, depending on the context in which the atom is used:

- A simple text (e.g. "Request").
- An identifier (e.g. Request). Identifiers are treated as simple texts.
- A pattern (e.g. "Sig"). Patterns can only be used in the header of constraint or test suite structure rules (for details see “Test Suite Structure Rules” on page 1427).
- A function call (e.g. OpName($3)). Function calls are not allowed in the header of constraint or test suite structure rules.
- A reference to a signal parameter (e.g. $2). References to signal parameters can only be used in the body of translation rules.
- A reference to a function parameter (e.g. $2). References to function parameters can only be used in the body of functions.
- A reference to an atom in the header of a constraint or test suite structure rule (e.g. @2). References to atoms can only be used in the body of constraint and test suite structure rules. Their application in combination with patterns is illustrated in “Test Suite Structure Rules” on page 1437.

Since an atom always evaluates to a text and a term is a concatenation of single atoms, you are allowed to use term expressions for the specification of:

- Constraint names
- Constraint formal parameter names
- Test suite parameter names
- Test suite constant names
• Test case/test step/test group names
• ... 

**Note:**
The text obtained by referring to a signal parameter is identical to the output of the signal parameter value in ASN.1 format.

A condition checks whether two texts are equal (==) or unequal (!=). There is also a special condition TRUE that always evaluates to true.

Conditions can be combined by **AND**. Only if all conditions in a conjunction hold, the expression as a whole is true.

There is no **OR** operator for combination of conditions. However, due to the consecutive evaluation of rules (from top to bottom), this is not a restriction. For example, in a function body, simply place both **OR**-operands in two subsequent mappings.

**Concurrent TTCN**

In the 1996 version of ISO IS 9646-3, TTCN has been extended with mechanisms to specify test suites for distributed test systems. These extensions are known as **concurrent TTCN**. This section explains what will happen when you save your test suite in the concurrent TTCN format.

**Declarations**

In a distributed test environment, the test system is composed of a set of **Parallel Test Components (PTC)** which each handle one or more PCOs. The test system also includes one **Main Test Component (MTC)** which starts the PTCs and computes the final test verdict. The MTC may or may not control PCOs. The main and parallel test components exchange **Coordination Messages (CM)** through **Coordination Points (CP)**.

The collection of a number of test components and their connection through coordination points is called a **test configuration**. A test suite may contain more than one test configuration, and each test case has to be associated with a specific test configuration individually.

Coordination messages and corresponding constraints have to be declared similar to messages exchanged with the system under test, but in separate tables.
Dynamic behavior description

In concurrent TTCN, the Test Case Dynamic Behaviour table only describes the behavior of the main test component. The behavior of parallel test components is stored in Test Step Dynamic Behaviour tables. Obviously, the behavior tables of every test component contain only events observed at the PCOs and CPs attached to that test component.

Parallel test components are dynamically created by the main test component. This is done by the inclusion of CREATE statements in the test case behavior description. Similarly, the DONE event can be used in the test case description to check the termination of parallel test components. The final test case verdict is computed by the MTC from the verdicts returned implicitly by all PTCs before their termination.

Synchronization of test components

With concurrent TTCN, synchronization among test components becomes a necessity. Each test component only gets a partial view of the system under test and has no inherent knowledge of the state of the other test components. Therefore, the correct order of test events can only be established through the use of coordination messages. Consider the example shown in “Synchronizing Test Events with Conditions” on page 1406. If there are separate test components to control A, B and C, then C definitely has to wait for a coordination message before sending its Request (B) message to the SUT. If it does not, the test verdict entirely depends on the relative transmission time of the messages and the order of their handling by the SUT.

The Autolink implementation of concurrent TTCN

Autolink generates concurrent TTCN specific information only during the saving of a test suite. Therefore, it does not matter if concurrent TTCN is enabled during the generation or translation of test cases. You may generate your test cases, save the test suite in non-concurrent form, then turn on concurrence and save the test suite again.
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Declarations

Autolink supports one kind of test architecture. From this generic architecture and the SDL specification of the system, a concrete test architecture is derived. The following declarations are generated automatically:

- One main test component called Master. The MTC does not control any PCOs.
- One parallel test component for each PCO. The name of the test component is \texttt{PTC}_+ Name of the PCO.
- One coordination point between the MTC and each PTC. The name of the coordination point is \texttt{CP}_+ Name of the PCO.
- One test configuration called Default\_Configuration, which contains all test components and their connections with PCOs and CPs.

Figure 262 shows the test configuration which is generated for the \textit{inres} System. In addition, the following declarations are generated:

- One ASN.1 CM Type Definition. The name of the coordination message is \texttt{CM} and its definition is \texttt{SEQUENCE\{message PrintableString\}}.
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- Two ASN.1 CM Constraint Declarations, called Proceed_Indication and Ready_Indication. Both constraints define values for the coordination message CM.

CM, Proceed_Indication and Ready_Indication are used for coordination messages between the main and parallel test components. These messages are generated automatically (see Synchronization below).

**Note:**
The test architecture and resulting default test configuration cannot be changed within Autolink. The coordination message and corresponding constraints are not changeable neither.

![Sample test case description for a main test component](image)

**Dynamic behavior description**

Autolink splits the internal test case description into separate trees for every test component. The Test Case Dynamic Behaviour table describes the behavior of the main test component; its name is equal to the name of the original MSC test description. Since the MTC does not control any PCOs, it only contains create statements for every PTC at the beginning and a done event at the end. It may also contain attached synchronization test steps in between. Figure 263 shows a sample test case description. The (PASS) verdict on line 1 initializes the result variable \( R \). At the end of the test case, \( R \) contains the final test verdict.
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Each parallel test component gets a Test Step Dynamic Behaviour table of its own. The name of the test step is *Test case name + _ + Test component name*.

**Synchronization**

Coordination messages are automatically generated by Autolink whenever a condition appears in the MSC test description. As a consequence of the test architecture used by Autolink, synchronization is done via the main test component. Here is a description of the algorithm:

1. For each MSC environment instance connected to a condition: Send a coordination message CM with constraint Ready_Indication to the MTC.
2. For each MSC environment instance connected to a condition which has a send event immediately following the condition: Receive a coordination message CM with constraint Proceed_Indication from the MTC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Behaviour Description</th>
<th>Constraint Ref</th>
<th>Verdict</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CF_ISAPI 1 CM</td>
<td>Ready_Indication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CF_ISAPI 2 CM</td>
<td>Ready_Indication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CF_ISAPI 1 CM</td>
<td>Proceed_Indication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CF_ISAPI 2 CM</td>
<td>Ready_Indication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CF_MSAP 2 CM</td>
<td>Ready_Indication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CF_MSAP 1 CM</td>
<td>Proceed_Indication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 264: Synchronization test step for a main test component*

From the viewpoint of a parallel test component, this means that whenever it reaches a synchronization point, it sends a *Ready_Indication* message to the MTC. If the event immediately following the synchronization point is a send event, then the PTC first waits for a *Proceed_Indication* message, which it receives from the MTC. All coordination events are directly included in the dynamic behavior description of the PTC.
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When it reaches a synchronization point, the main test component waits for `Ready_Indication` messages from every PTC involved in the synchronization. Afterwards, it sends `Proceed_Indication` messages to all PTCs which are about to send a message to the system under test. Since the reception of coordination messages from different PTCs can create a lot of alternative paths, all synchronization events for the MTC are put into test steps. Figure 264 shows an example of a synchronization test step for an MTC.

Note:
Coordination messages can not be specified manually in the MSC test description. There are two reasons for this: First, the Validator and Autolink can not handle messages drawn between environment instances. Second, all instances in the MSC have to relate to a channel in the SDL specification. Since the main test component has no connection with the system under test, it is not possible to add an MTC instance to the MSC.

Caveats
In order to streamline test suites and enhance their readability, Autolink automatically merges test steps which contain identical behavior descriptions. Furthermore, empty test steps are removed.

If your MSC test descriptions contain MSC references and concurrent TTCN is used to save the test suite, then the test step streamlining of Autolink may lead to unexpected results: For example, test steps for parallel test components may be renamed unexpectedly. Within test case and test step behavior descriptions, expected attachments of test steps may be missing. Nevertheless, these test suites are still correct and correspond to the original test descriptions.

Test Suite Timers
In this section, details regarding the declaration and use of test suite timers in test case MSCs is discussed.

Timer declarations
As explained in “Using Timers” on page 1400, the recommended method for using test suite timers with Autolink is to explicitly declare all timers which appear in the test suite with `Define-Timer-Declaration` before test generation is started. Nevertheless, it is possible to have a mix-
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ture of implicit and explicit declaration. Below, the rules are listed which Autolink applies when creating or updating timer declarations. In any case, the syntactical correctness of the timer name is not checked.

Creation of a new explicit timer declaration

- Autolink checks if the duration is an integer value or a syntactically correct test suite parameter. If it is neither, then a warning is displayed and the duration field remains empty. If it is a test suite parameter, a test suite parameter declaration is created in addition to the timer declaration.

- No declaration is created if the unit is not valid.

Note:
The only possibility to specify an empty duration field on purpose is to use an invalid string, e.g. a digit followed by a character.

Creation of a new implicit timer declaration

- Autolink checks if the parameter field of the timer set symbol ends with a valid unit, which means that there must be a whitespace character followed by either ps, ns, us, ms, s or min. If this is the case, then the value of the unit field is set and the rest of the string is considered to be the duration. If no valid unit can be found, then the whole parameter field is considered to be the duration.

- Autolink checks if the duration is an integer value or a syntactically correct test suite parameter. If it is neither, then a warning is displayed and the duration field remains empty. If it is a test suite parameter, a test suite parameter declaration is created in addition to the timer declaration.

Note:
It is the responsibility of the test designer to make sure that either an explicit timer declaration exists or that an implicit declaration is correct. Autolink does not guarantee that a test suite which contains implicit timer declarations passes a TTCN syntax check.

Update of an explicit declaration with an explicit one

An existing explicit declaration can not be updated. A warning is displayed and the new declaration is ignored.
The only way to remove existing timer declarations is to use the **Reset** command.

**Update of an explicit declaration with an implicit one**
An existing explicit declaration can not be updated. However, Autolink checks if the unit of the implicit declaration matches the unit of the existing explicit declaration. If it does not match, a warning is displayed.

**Update of an implicit declaration with an explicit one**
Autolink checks if the unit of the new declaration is valid. If it is not, the existing implicit declaration is kept. If the unit is valid, the implicit declaration is replaced by the explicit one.

**Update of an implicit declaration with an implicit one**
- Autolink compares the unit of the existing declaration with the unit of the new declaration:
  - if the existing unit is valid and the new one is invalid, then the existing one is kept;
  - if the existing and the new unit are identical, then the unit is not changed;
  - in all other cases, the unit field is cleared; this will result in a syntactically incorrect TTCN test suite.
- If the duration field of the existing declaration is empty or an integer value and the new duration is a test suite parameter, then the test suite parameter replaces the existing value.

**Timer pitfalls**
Timers in a test suite are declared globally. During test execution, each participating test component receives a complete set of timers which it can use independently. With respect to the readability of a test suite, the number of timer declarations should be minimized. This can be accomplished by declaring a minimal set of timers and reusing them in different test case MSCs.

If concurrent TTCN is enabled, identically named timers may also be used on different instances in the same MSC, because in the resulting TTCN test case, each MSC instance is handled by a different test component. However, if such an MSC is used in a non-concurrent context,
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then Autolink may produce unexpected test sequences and care should be taken.

Timer optimization

If concurrent TTCN output is enabled with Define-Concurrent-TTCN, then the dynamic behavior descriptions are optimized with regard to the placement of timer operations. If a timer START operation is followed immediately by a send event, then the START operation is placed on the same line as the send event. Correspondingly, if a timer CANCEL operation follows a receive event, then the CANCEL is moved up to the line with the receive event. As an example, if the test sequence according to the test case MSC is

```
START T1
  A ! someSignal
  A ? anotherSignal
  CANCEL T1
```

then Autolink optimizes this and generates the following test sequence:

```
A ! someSignal  START T1
A ? anotherSignal  CANCEL T1
```