(How to Implement)Basic CommunicationOperations

Alexandre David 1.2.05 adavid@cs.aau.dk

Overview

- One-to-all broadcast & all-to-one reduction
- All-to-all broadcast and reduction
- All-reduce and prefix-sum operations
- Scatter and Gather
- All-to-All Personalized Communication
- Circular Shift
- Improving the Speed of Some Communication Operations

Collective Communication Operations

- Represent regular communication patterns.
- Used extensively in most data-parallel algorithms.
- Critical for efficiency.
- Available in most parallel libraries.
- Very useful to "get started" in parallel processing.
- Basic model: $t_s + mt_w$ time for exchanging a *m*-word message with cut-through routing.

Interesting:

- To know:
 - Data transfer time is roughly the same between *all pairs* of nodes.
 - Homogeneity true on modern hardware (randomized routing, cut-through routing...).

• $t_s + mt_w$

- Adjust t_w for congestion: effective t_w .
- Model: bidirectional links, single port.
- Communication with point-to-point primitives.

Broadcast/Reduction

- One-to-all broadcast:
 - Single process sends identical data to all (or subset of) processes.
- All-to-one reduction:
 - Dual operation.
 - *P* processes have *m* words to send to one destination.
 - Parts of the message need to be *combined*.





Broadcast

Reduce

One-to-All Broadcast – Ring/Linear Array

- Naïve approach: send sequentially.
 - Bottleneck.
 - Poor utilization of the network.
- Recursive doubling:
 - Broadcast in logp steps (instead of p).
 - Divide-and-conquer type of algorithm.
 - Reduction is similar.





Example: Matrix*Vector



One-to-All Broadcast – Mesh

- Extensions of the linear array algorithm.
 - Rows & columns = arrays.
 - Broadcast on a row, broadcast on columns.
 - Similar for reductions.
 - Generalize for higher dimensions (cubes...).





One-to-All Broadcast – Hypercube

- Hypercube with 2^d nodes = d-dimensional mesh with 2 nodes in each direction.
- Similar algorithm in d steps.
- Also in log p steps.
- Reduction follows the same pattern.





All-to-One Broadcast Balanced Binary Tree

- Processing nodes = leaves.
- Hypercube algorithm maps well.
- Similarly good w.r.t. congestion.



Algorithms

- So far we saw pictures.
- Not enough to implement.
- Precise description
 - to implement.
 - to analyze.
- Description for hypercube.
- Execute the following procedure on all the nodes.



1.	procedure ONE_TO_ALL_B	$C(d, my_id, X)$	
çu	rrent dimension	111 /* Set all <i>d</i> bits of <i>mask</i> to 1 */	
4. 5	for $i := d - 1$ downto 0 mask := mask XOR	do p_i . 011 /* Outer loop */ $(* 001)_{it} i 000_{ik} to 0 */$	
<i>5</i> . 6.	if (my_id AND mask)	$= 0 \text{ then } /* \text{ If lower } i \text{ bits of } my_id \text{ are } 0 */$	
7.	if $(my_i d \text{ AND } 2^i)$	h = 0 then	
8.	msg_destinati	$on := my id \text{XOR} 2'; \qquad \textbf{001} \textbf{10}$	000 11
9.	send X to msg	destination;	
10.	else		
11.	msg_source :=	$= my_i d \operatorname{XOR} 2^i;$	
12.	receive X from	msg_source;	
13.	endelse;		
14.	endif;		
15.	endfor;		
16.	end ONE_TO_ALL_BC	011 000	001 000
			17









Algorithm For Any Source

1.	procedure GENERAL_ONE_TO_ALL_BC	$C(d, my_id, source, X)$
----	---------------------------------	---------------------------

2. **begin**

8.

9. 10.

12.

13.

3. *my_virtual_id* := *my_id* XOR *source*;

4.
$$mask := 2^d - 1;$$

- 5. **for** i := d 1 **downto** 0 **do** /* Outer loop */
- 6. $mask := mask \text{ XOR } 2^i$; /* Set bit *i* of mask to 0 */
- 7. **if** $(my_virtual_id$ AND mask) = 0 **then**
 - if $(my_virtual_id$ AND $2^i) = 0$ then
 - $virtual_dest := my_virtual_id$ XOR 2^i ;
 - **send** X to (*virtual_dest* XOR *source*);
 - /* Convert virtual_dest to the label of the physical destination */

- virtual_source := my_virtual_id XOR 2ⁱ;
- **receive** X from (*virtual_source* XOR *source*);
- /* Convert virtual_source to the label of the physical source */
- 14. endelse;
- 15. endfor;
- 16. end GENERAL_ONE_TO_ALL_BC

Reduce Algorithm

1. **procedure** ALL_TO_ONE_REDUCE(*d*, *my_id*, *m*, *X*, *sum*)

```
2.
     begin
3.
         for j := 0 to m - 1 do sum[j] := X[j];
4.
         mask := 0:
5.
         for i := 0 to d - 1 do
            /* Select nodes whose lower i bits are 0 */
            if (my_i d \text{ AND } mask) = 0 then
6.
                if (my_i d \text{ AND } 2^i) \neq 0 then
7.
                   msg\_destination := my\_id \text{ XOR } 2^i;
8.
9.
                           In a nutshell:
10.
                reverse the previous one.
11.
12.
                   receive X from msg_source;
13.
                   for j := 0 to m - 1 do
14.
                       sum[j] := sum[j] + X[j];
15.
                endelse:
16.
            mask := mask \text{ XOR } 2^i; /* Set bit i of mask to 1 */
17.
         endfor:
18.
     end ALL_TO_ONE_REDUCE
```



p processes $\rightarrow \log p$ steps (point-to-point transfers in parallel). Each transfer has a time cost of $t_s + t_w m$. Total time: $T = (t_s + t_w m) \log p$.

All-to-All Broadcast and Reduction

- Generalization of broadcast:
 - Each processor is a source and destination.
 - Several processes broadcast different messages.
- Used in matrix multiplication (and matrixvector multiplication).
- Dual: all-to-all reduction.





Figure 4.8

.8 All-to-all broadcast and all-to-all reduction.





etc...

All-to-All Broadcast Algorithm

- 1. **procedure** ALL_TO_ALL_BC_RING(*my_id*, *my_msg*, *p*, *result*)
- 2. **begin** 3. *left* := $(my_id - 1) \mod p$; Ring: mod p. 4. *right* := $(my_id + 1) \mod p$; Receive & send - point-to-point.
- 5. result := my_msg;
 6. msg := result;
 Initialize the loop.
- 7. **for** i := 1 **to** p 1 **do**
- 8. send msg to right;
 9. receive msg from left;
 - selid msg to right,
receive msg from left;Forward msg.result := result U msg;Accumulate result.
- 12. end ALL_TO_ALL_BC_RING

endfor:

Algorithm 4.4 All-to-all broadcast on a *p*-node ring.

10.

11.

All-to-All Reduce Algorithm

procedure ALL_TO_ALL_RED_RING(*my_id*, *my_msg*, *p*, *result*) 1.

2. begin

- 3. $left := (my_id - 1) \mod p;$
- 4. $right := (my_id + 1) \mod p;$

5.
$$recv := 0;$$

6. for i := 1 to p - 1 do

7.
$$j := (my_id + i) \mod p$$

8. $temp := msg[i] + recv$:

- temp := msg[j] + recv;
- 9. send *temp* to *left*;
- **receive** *recv* from *right*; 10.
- 11. endfor:
- 12. $result := msg[my_id] + recv;$
- end ALL_TO_ALL_RED_RING 13

Accumulate and forward.

Last message for *my_id*.

Algorithm 4.5 All-to-all reduction on a *p*-node ring.









All-to-All Broadcast – Meshes

- Two phases:
 - All-to-all on rows messages size m.
 - Collect sqrt(p) messages.
 - All-to-all on columns messages size sqrt(p)*m.





Algorithm

- 1. **procedure** ALL_TO_ALL_BC_MESH(*my_id*, *my_msg*, *p*, *result*)
- 2. begin

/* Communication along rows */ 3. $left := my_i d - (my_i d \mod \sqrt{p}) + (my_i d - 1) \mod \sqrt{p};$ 4. $right := my_id - (my_id \mod \sqrt{p}) + (my_id + 1) \mod \sqrt{p};$ 5. result := my_msg ; 6. msg := result;7. for i := 1 to $\sqrt{p} - 1$ do 8. send msg to right; 9. **receive** *msg* from *left*; 10. result := result \cup msg; 11. endfor:

/* Communication along columns */ $up := (my_id - \sqrt{p}) \mod p;$ 12. $down := (my_id + \sqrt{p}) \mod p;$ 13. msg := result;14. 15. for i := 1 to $\sqrt{p} - 1$ do 16. send msg to down; 17. **receive** *msg* from *up*; 18. result := result \cup msg; 19. endfor; end ALL_TO_ALL_BC_MESH 20.

All-to-All Broadcast - Hypercubes

- Generalization of the mesh algorithm to log p dimensions.
- Message size doubles at every step.
- Number of steps: logp.

All-to-All Broadcast – Hypercubes



(a) Initial distribution of messages



(b) Distribution before the second step



26+29-03-2010



(d) Final distribution of messages



1. **procedure** ALL_TO_ALL_BC_HCUBE(*my_id*, *my_msg*, *d*, *result*)

2. begin

- 3. $result := my_msg;$
- 4. **for** i := 0 **to** d 1 **do**
- 5. $partner := my_id \text{ XOR } 2^i;$
- 6. **send** *result* to *partner*;
- 7. **receive** *msg* from *partner*;
- 8. $result := result \cup msg;$
- 9. **endfor**;
- 10. end ALL_TO_ALL_BC_HCUBE

Loop on the dimensions

Exchange messages

Forward (double size)

Algorithm 4.7 All-to-all broadcast on a *d*-dimensional hypercube.

All-to-All Reduction – Hypercubes

- 1. **procedure** ALL_TO_ALL_RED_HCUBE(*my_id*, *msg*, *d*, *result*)
- 2. begin

```
3.
         recloc := 0:
                                                         Similar pattern
4.
         for i := d - 1 to 0 do
5.
            partner := my_i d \text{ XOR } 2^i;
                                                         in reverse order.
6.
            j := my_i d \text{ AND } 2^i;
            k := (my_i d \text{ XOR } 2^i) \text{ AND } 2^i;
7.
8.
            senloc := recloc + k;
9.
            recloc := recloc + j;
            send msg[senloc .. senloc + 2^i - 1] to partner;
10.
            receive temp[0.. 2^{i} - 1] from partner;
11.
            for j := 0 to 2^i - 1 do
12.
                                                                Combine results
13.
                msg[recloc + j] := msg[recloc + j] + temp[j];
            endfor:
14.
15.
         endfor:
         result := msg[my_id];
16.
```

17. end ALL_TO_ALL_RED_HCUBE

Algorithm 4.8 All-to-all broadcast on a *d*-dimensional hypercube. AND and XOR are bitwise logical-and and exclusive-or operations, respectively.
Cost Analysis (Time)

- Ring:
 - $T = (t_s + t_w m)(p-1).$
- Mesh:
 - $T = (t_s + t_w m)(\sqrt{p-1}) + (t_s + t_w m p)(\sqrt{p-1})$ = $2ts(\sqrt{p-1}) + t_w m(p-1)$.
- Hypercube:

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{\log p} (t_s + 2^{i-1}t_w m)
onumber \ = t_s \log p + t_w m(p-1).$$

log*p* steps message of size 2ⁱ⁻¹m.

Dense to Sparser: Congestion



Figure 4.12 Contention for a channel when the communication step of Figure 4.11(c) for the hypercube is mapped onto a ring.

26+29-03-2010

All-Reduce

- Each node starts with a buffer of size *m*.
- The final result is the same combination of all buffers on every node.
- Same as all-to-one reduce + one-to-all broadcast.
- Different from all-to-all reduce.



All-Reduce Algorithm

- Use all-to-all broadcast but
 - Combine messages instead of concatenating them.
 - The size of the messages does not grow.
 - Cost (in log *p* steps): $T = (t_s + t_w m) \log p$.

Prefix-Sum

- Given *p* numbers n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{p-1} (one on each node), the problem is to compute the sums $s_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k} n_i$ for all *k* between 0 and *p-1*.
- Initially, n_k is on the node labeled k, and at the end, the same node holds S_k.

Prefix-Sum Algorithm



Algorithm 4.9 Prefix sums on a *d*-dimensional hypercube.





Scatter and Gather

- Scatter: A node sends a unique message to every other node – *unique per node*.
- Gather: Dual operation but the target node does not combine the messages into one.





7

5

(7)

7

(5)

5

(3)

(1)

3

6

3

(4,5, 6,7)

6

(4)

4

- Number of steps: logp.
- Size transferred: pm/2, pm/4,...,m.
 - Geometric sum

$$p + \frac{p}{2} + \frac{p}{4} + \dots + \frac{p}{2^n} = p \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\frac{p}{2} + \frac{p}{4} + \dots + \frac{p}{2^n} = 2p(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}) - p = 2p(1 - \frac{1}{2p}) - p = p - 1$$

$$(2^{n+1} = 2^{1 + \log p} = 2p)$$

• Cost $T=t_s \log p + t_w m(p-1)$.

All-to-All Personalized Communication

Each node sends a *distinct* message to every other node.







Figure 4.17 All-to-all personalized communication in transposing a 4×4 matrix using four processes.

26+29-03-2010











- Number of steps: *p-1*.
- Size transmitted: m(p-1),m(p-2)...,m.

$$T = t_s(p-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} it_w m = (t_s + t_w mp/2)(p-1)$$

Optimal

Optimal?

- Check the lowest bound for communication and compare to the one we have.
 - Average distance a packet travels = p/2.
 - There are p nodes that need to transmit m(p-1) words.
 - Total traffic = m(p-1)*p/2*p.
 - Number of link that support the load = p, so communication time $\ge t_w m(p-1)p/2$.













- Substitute p by \sqrt{p} (number of nodes per dimension).
- Substitute message size m by $m\sqrt{p}$.
- Cost is the same for each dimension.
- $T=(2t_s+t_wmp)(\sqrt{p-1})$

Total Exchange on a Hypercube

- Generalize the mesh algorithm to log p steps
 number of dimensions, with 2 nodes per dimension.
- Same procedure as all-to-all broadcast.

















- Number of steps: logp.
- Size transmitted per step: pm/2.
- Cost: $T = (t_s + t_w mp/2) \log p$.
- Optimal? NO
- Each node sends and receives m(p-1) words. Average distance = (logp)/2. Total traffic = p*m(p-1)*logp/2.
- Number of links = $p \log p/2$.
- Time lower bound = $t_w m(p-1)$.

An Optimal Algorithm

- Have every pair of nodes communicate directly with each other – p-1 communication steps – but without congestion.
- At jth step node i communicates with node (i xor j) with E-cube routing.

























- Remark: Transmit less, only what is needed, but more steps.
- Number of steps: *p-1*.
- Transmission: size *m* per step.
- Cost: $T = (t_s + t_w m)(p-1)$.
- Compared with $T = (t_s + t_w mp/2) \log p$.
- Previous algorithm better for small messages.

Circular Shift

- It's a particular permutation.
- Circular q-shift: Node *i* sends data to node (*i+q*) mod p (in a set of p nodes).
- Useful in some matrix operations and pattern matching.
- Ring: intuitive algorithm in min{q,p-q} neighbor to neighbor communication steps. Why?


Circular Shift on a Hypercube

- Map a linear array with 2^d nodes onto a hypercube of dimension d.
- Expand q shift as a sum of powers of 2 (e.g. 5-shift = 2⁰+2²).
- Perform the decomposed shifts.
- Use bi-directional links for "forward" (shift itself) and "backward" (rotation part)... logp steps.



Improving Performance

- So far messages of size *m* were not split.
- If we split them into p parts:
 - One-to-all broadcast = scatter + all-to-all broadcast of messages of size *m/p*.
 - All-to-one reduction = all-to-all reduce + gather of messages of size *m/p*.
 - All-reduce = all-to-all reduction + all-to-all broadcast of messages of size *m/p*.