

This is about pthreads.





Programming paradigms for shared address space machines focus on constructs for expressing concurrency and synchronization. Communication in shared memory programming is implicitly specified. We focus on minimizing data-sharing overheads (for MPI it's communication overheads).









#inc	Thread Creation lude <pthread.h> &lt; thread_create( pthread_t *thread_handle, </pthread.h>	Header.
	Function to call with its argument.	
21-03-2006	Alexandre David, MVP'06	8

Invokes *thread\_function* as a thread.

Notes:

•The identifier *thread\_handle* is written before the function returns.

•The function returns in the main thread, the function thread\_function runs in parallel in another thread.

•On uni-processor machines the thread may preempt its creator thread.

•There is a returned result (success or not).

•Beware of race conditions: Make sure to initialize everything before creating the thread (and not after).



And returns success (0) or an error code.



This is a lousy computation of pi. Based on area ratios. Take a square 1x1 and put a circle inside. Square area = 1, circle area =  $\pi r^2 = \pi/4$  (r =  $\frac{1}{2}$ ). Choose many points randomly and the ratio hits/total will converge towards  $\pi/4$ .



Call to **rand\_r**, worse than drand48 or rand, because we need a **reentrant** function.



Speedup of 3.91, efficiency = 0.98. Note: The threads do not synchronize with each other.



Race condition: The result depends on the order of the different statements in parallel, i.e., the interleaving. Inconsistent result: It does not correspond to any serialization of the threads (considering the test-and-update atomic).



In the book "critical segment" but usually called "critical section". The call to "lock-a-thread" is blocking and returns only when the lock is acquired. Of course all locks must initialized to unlocked when starting programs.

Be also careful on the granularity of what you lock. Locking big portions of code is bad since you are killing parallelism for the code you are locking.

	lutex-Lock	
int	<pre>pthread_mutex_init( pthread_mutex_t *mutex_lock, const pthread_mutextattr_t *lock_attr);</pre>	
int	<pre>pthread_mutex_lock( pthread_mutex_t *mutex_lock);</pre>	
int	pthread_mutex_unlock( pthread_mutex_t *mutex_lock);	
21-03-2006	Alexandre David, MVP'06	15

Return value, as usual.

Do not unlock an already unlocked mutex.

When unlocking a mutex, there may be another thread that will be unblocked. The choice depends on the scheduler, i.e., you don't know and you shouldn't assume anything.

NULL argument means default value – but no NULL for mutex\_lock!

Exa	mple Revisited		
pthread	d_mutex_t minimum_value_lock;		
 main() {			
	pthread_mutex_init(&minimum_value_lock, NULL);		
 } void *fi	ind_min(void *list_ptr) {		
	 pthread_mutex_lock(&minimum_value_lock); if (my_min < minimum_value) minimum_value = my_m pthread_mutex_unlock(&minimum_value_lock);		
}			
21-03-2006	Alexandre David, MVP'06	16	

Careful with the use of mutex locks. Don't use one mutex lock for all your locks if they are independent. Use one different lock for different kinds of code segments that are not mutually exclusive – it may still be the case that you have 2 portions of code accessing the same data, in which case you need to use the same lock.