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## The Problem

- Given a text $T$ and a patter $P$, find an occurrence of $P$ inside $T$ or return no match.
- Tis of size $t, P$ is of size $p$.
- Example:

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l}
\hline A & B & A & B & C & \\
\hline B & P & A & C & A & B & A \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Naïve Solution

- Compare P to T starting at position 1.
- If mismatch, move $P$ to the right and try again.
- If match, return current position.
- Worst-case: (t-p+1)*p comparisons, that is $\mathrm{O}\left((\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{p})^{*} \mathrm{p}\right)$. If $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{t})$ then we have $O\left(t_{A N}^{*} p\right)$.

```
naïve_find(T,P):
p = length(P)
t= length(T)
for i=0 to t-p do
    ok = true
    for j=1 to p do
        if P[j]!= T[i+j] then
        ok = false
        break
        fi
        done
    if ok then return i+1
done
return -1
```


## Example



## Solution With Finite Automata

- Given $P$, it is possible to construct a finite automaton that is used to scan $T$ in $O(t)$.
- Idea: Remember the last matched substring and re-use the information.
- Matching = reach the state *. No match $=$ get stuck in the automaton.
- Pre-processing required: Construct the automaton in $O\left(p^{*} / a / p h a b e t /\right)$.


## Example With Automaton



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline B & C & A & C & A & B & A & B & A & B & C & C & A \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \text { (1) (1) (1) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) } 5 \\
& \text { (1) (1) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (5) * Match! }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example With Automaton

$$
A B A B C
$$



| B | C | A | C | A |  |  |  | B | A | B |  |  |  | stuck in the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) 1) (1) (2) (1) (2) (3) (4) 5 (4) (5) (4) 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | tomaton |
| (1) (1) (2) (1) (2) (3) (4) 55) (4) (5) (4) (1) (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No mat |

## Knuth-Morris-Pratt Flowchart

- Given $P$, it is possible to construct a finite flowchart that is used to scan $T$ in $O(t+p)$.
- Idea is to remember the maximum of matchable characters before the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ position.
- Matching $=$ reach the state * No match $=$ get stuck in the automaton.
- Pre-processing: Construct the flowchart in $O\left(p^{2}\right)$.


## Example With Flowchart



Construct next table (f):

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline A & B & A & B \\
C & - \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 2
\end{array}
$$

## Example With Flowchart

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $n$ | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | $B$ | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $*$ |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |


|  | B | C | A | C | A | B | A | B | A | B | C | C | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | A | A | A | B | A | B | A | B | C | B | C | Match! |  |
| $n$ | 0 | 0 | , 2 | 1 ) | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| 1 | $n$ | $n$ |  | A |  |  |  |  | A |  | * |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $n$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Boyer-Moore Algorithm

- Idea is to skip text without checking it. Scan from right to left, use heuristics to decide how far to jump.
- Average running time $O(t / p)$, worst $O\left(t^{*} p\right)$.



## Rabin-Karp Algorithm

- Use a hash function to identify equal strings! Very powerful for multi-pattern matching.
- Trick: Use a special hash function. Treat the character as a number in some base (usually a big prime) and compute the next hash iteratively. Hopefully, we have few collisions.
- Average running time $O(t)$, worst $O\left(t^{*} p\right)$.


## Rabin-Karp Algorithm

- Hash update = "shift" in the corresponding base.
- In practice, useful to use base 256 for characters and a prime as the hash table size.
- Very fast and hash performs reasonably well.


## Example With Rabin-Karp

$A]$ B A B C $\longrightarrow$ hash $_{p} O(p)$.
B $C$ C $A$
Initial hash $O(p)$. Test $O(1) \rightarrow$ no.

Update hash $O(1)$. Test $O(1) \rightarrow$ no.

Updates of hash $O(1)+$ tests $O(1) \ldots$ Test $O(1) \rightarrow$ yes.
Test $\mathrm{P} O(p) \rightarrow$ yes.

